

## NOTE

# The Nevanlinna Functions of the Riemann Zeta-Function

Zhuan Ye

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115*

E-mail: [ye@math.niu.edu](mailto:ye@math.niu.edu)

*Submitted by Joel H. Shapiro*

Received August 3, 1998

Both Nevanlinna theory and zeta-function theory have been studied for a rather long time. However, to my knowledge, there are no publications about the general distribution of the value of the Riemann zeta-function in the context of Nevanlinna theory. Due to the recent development of finding analogies between number theory and Nevanlinna theory (e.g., [9, 10, 8]), it is natural to start working on the Riemann zeta-function in the light of Nevanlinna theory.

In this note, we are going to compute the Nevanlinna characteristic function, deficiencies, and counting functions of the Riemann zeta-function. Moreover, we generalize the Riemann–von Mangoldt formula which plays an important role in zeta-function theory. Since the Riemann zeta-function is related to the Euler gamma-function, computations of the Nevanlinna functions and all deficiencies of the Euler gamma-function are also included in the Appendix of this note. With these in hand, people could tackle other problems in Nevanlinna theory for the Riemann zeta-function, for instance, finding a precise structure of the error terms of the Riemann zeta-function in the sense of the second main theorem in Nevanlinna theory, as we have done in [5] for other classical functions such as the Euler gamma-function and the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -,  $\zeta$ -,  $\sigma$ -, and  $\vartheta$ -function. In fact, Goldberg [1] and Korenkov [3] computed the Nevanlinna deficiencies of the Weierstrass  $\sigma$ -function.

For the convenience of the general reader, we briefly give some definitions and notation of Nevanlinna theory and the Riemann zeta-function. Standard references for Nevanlinna theory and for the Riemann zeta-function are [2, 4, and 7], respectively.



Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $D_R = \{|z| < r\}$ . Denote the number of poles of  $f$  in  $D_r$  by  $n(f, \infty, r)$ ; and  $n(f, a, r) = n(1/f - a, \infty, r)$  if  $a \neq \infty$ . We also let

$$N(f, a, r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(f, a, t) - n(f, a, 0)}{t} dt + n(f, a, 0) \log r.$$

This integrated function  $N(f, a, r)$  occurs naturally in the main theorems of Nevanlinna theory. It measures the number of  $a$ -values of  $f$  in  $D_r$ .

The proximity function in Nevanlinna function is defined as

$$m(f, r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},$$

$$m(f, a, r) = m(1/f - a, r) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\log^+ x = \max\{0, \log x\}$ . This function measures how close  $f$  is to the value  $a$  on the boundary of  $D_r$ .

The characteristic function of  $f$  in Nevanlinna theory is defined by

$$T(f, r) = N(f, \infty, r) + m(f, r).$$

However, let  $T(f, a, r) = N(f, a, r) + m(f, a, r)$ ; the first main theorem ([2, Theorem 1.2]) states, for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$T(f, r) = N(f, a, r) + m(f, a, r) + O(1).$$

The quantity

$$\delta(f, a) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(f, a, r)}{T(f, r)} = 1 - \limsup \frac{N(f, a, r)}{T(f, r)}$$

is called the deficiency of the value  $a$  of  $f$ . Obviously,  $\delta(f, a)$  is positive only if there are relatively few roots of the equation  $f(z) = a$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the second main theorem ([2, Theorem 2.4]) in Nevanlinna theory implies the deficiency relation

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}} \delta(f, a) \leq 2.$$

The Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(s)$  can be defined by a Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} \quad (s = \sigma + it); \quad (1)$$

or a Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad (s = \sigma + it), \quad (2)$$

where  $p$  runs through all prime numbers. The notation  $s = \sigma + it$  ( $\sigma, t$  real) in the Riemann zeta-function is traditional in this context. It is known that  $\zeta$  can be analytically continued to a meromorphic function in the whole complex plane. In short,  $\zeta$  has only one pole at  $s = 1$ , trivial simple zeros at  $s = -2n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and no zeros in

$$\{s \in \mathbb{C}: \sigma < 0\} \cup \{s: \sigma > 1\} \setminus \{-2n \in \mathbb{R}: n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

We also need the functional equation (Theorem 2.1 in [7])

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s), \quad (3)$$

and the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula ([7, Theorem 9.4])

$$N^*(R) = \frac{R}{2\pi} \log \frac{R}{2\pi} O(R), \quad (4)$$

where  $R > 0$  and  $N^*(R)$  is the number of zeros of  $\zeta$  in the region  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $0 < t < R$ .

**THEOREM 1.** (1)  $T(\zeta, r) = (r/\pi) \log r + O(r)$ .

(2)  $\delta(\zeta, \infty) = 1$ , and  $\delta(\zeta, a) = 0$ , for any  $a \neq \infty$ .

(3) There exists a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  with finite Lebesgue measure such that, for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$N(\zeta, a, r) = \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r) \quad (r \notin E).$$

*Remark.* We have seen from (4) that the relationship between the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula and Nevanlinna counting functions is

$$N(\zeta, 0, r) = 2N(r) + O(r) = \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r).$$

Moreover, part (3) of Theorem 1 tells us that

$$N(\zeta, a, r) = N(\zeta, 0, r) = \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r) \quad (r \notin E)$$

for any  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, broadly speaking, the number of zeros of  $\zeta - a$  is equal to the number of zeros of  $\zeta$  up to a term  $O(r)$ . This generalizes the Riemann–von Mangoldt formula in the sense of Nevanlinna theory.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* For  $r > 0$ , the number of trivial zeros of  $\zeta$  in  $D_r$  is  $O(r)$ . Thus (4) gives

$$n(\zeta, 0, r) \geq 2N(\sqrt{r^2 - 1}) + O(r) \geq \frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}{\pi} \log \sqrt{r^2 - 1} + O(r),$$

and

$$n(\zeta, 0, r) \leq 2N(r) + O(r) \leq \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r).$$

It follows that

$$N(\zeta, 0, r) = \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r). \quad (5)$$

Let  $\sigma_0 > 1$  be a fixed real number,  $s = re^{i\theta} = \sigma + it$ , and

$$\gamma_1(r, \sigma_0) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]: \operatorname{Re}(re^{i\theta}) > \sigma_0\},$$

$$\gamma_2(r, \sigma_0) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]: \operatorname{Re}(re^{i\theta}) < 1 - \sigma_0\},$$

$$\gamma_3(r, \sigma_0) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]: 1 - \sigma_0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(re^{i\theta}) \leq \sigma_0\}.$$

In the sequel, we always write  $s = re^{i\theta} = \sigma + it$ .

For any  $s$  with  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ , we have from (1)

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\eta^n|^s} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\eta^{\sigma_0 n}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\gamma_1(r, \sigma_0)} \log^+ |\zeta(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq O(1),$$

where  $O(1)$  only depends on  $\sigma_0$ .

For any  $s$  with  $\sigma \leq 1 - \sigma_0$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{Re}(1 - s) \geq \sigma_0$ , we have from (3)

$$\log^+ |\zeta(s)| \leq \log^+ |\Gamma(1 - s)| + O(r).$$

Therefore, (10) in the Appendix of the note implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma_2(r, \sigma_0)} \log^+ |\zeta(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq \int_{\gamma_2(r, \sigma_0)} \log^+ |\Gamma(1 - re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(r) \\ &\leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} r \log r \cos \theta \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(r) \\ &= \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the case when  $1 - \sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_0$ . Since the order of the entire function  $(s - 1)\zeta(s)$  is 1 (see [7, Theorem 2.12 and formula (2.12.6)]), we have

$$|\zeta(s)| \leq C \exp(r^{3/2}) \quad \text{for } r > 2,$$

where  $C$  is a positive absolute constant. Noting the Lebesgue measure  $|\gamma_3(r, \sigma_0)| \leq O(1)/r$ , we have

$$\int_{\gamma_3(r, \sigma_0)} \log^+ |\zeta(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq O(r^{1/2}).$$

It turns out

$$\begin{aligned} m(\zeta, r) &= \left( \int_{\gamma_1(r, \sigma_0)} + \int_{\gamma_2(r, \sigma_0)} + \int_{\gamma_3(r, \sigma_0)} \right) \log^+ |\zeta(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain from the definition of  $T(\zeta, 0, r)$ , the first main theorem, and the fact  $N(\zeta, \infty, r) = O(r)$  that

$$\begin{aligned} N(\zeta, 0, r) &\leq T(\zeta, 0, r) = N(\zeta, \infty, r) + m(\zeta, r) + O(1) \\ &\leq \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (5), we prove the first part of the theorem and

$$\delta(\zeta, \infty) = 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\zeta, \infty, r)}{T(\zeta, r)} = 1.$$

From (1), there exists  $\sigma_* > 2$  such that, for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma > \sigma_*$ ,

$$|\zeta'(s)| = \left| \frac{\log 2}{2^s} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^s} \right| \geq \frac{\log 2}{2|2^s|}.$$

Therefore, when  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma \geq \sigma_*$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_1(r, \sigma_*)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \leq |s| \log 2 + O(1) = O(r).$$

When  $1 - \sigma_* \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq \sigma_*$ , we write  $\zeta'(s) = g(s)/(s-1)^2$  where  $g$  is an entire function with order 1. By ([6, Theorem 8.71]), there is a set  $E$  of finite Lebesgue measure such that  $|g(s)| \geq \exp(-r^{3/2})$  for  $|s| = r \notin E$ . Therefore,

$$\log^+ |1/\zeta'(s)| \leq \log^+ \frac{(|s|+1)^2}{|g(s)|} \leq r^{3/2} + 2 \log r \quad (6)$$

for all large  $r$  with  $|s| = r \notin E$ . Thus, noting  $|\gamma_3(r, \sigma_*)| = O(1)/r$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_3(r, \sigma_*)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \leq |\gamma_3(r, \sigma_*)| (r^{3/2} + O(\log r)) \leq O(r).$$

When  $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1 - \sigma_*$ , we let

$$\beta_1(r) = \{ \theta \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2] : \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1 - \sigma_*, |\operatorname{Im} s| > 1 \},$$

$$\beta_2(r) = \{ \theta \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2] : \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1 - \sigma_*, |\operatorname{Im} s| \leq 1 \}.$$

Clearly,  $\gamma_2(r, \sigma_*) = \beta_1(r) \cup \beta_2(r)$ . When  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1$  and  $r \notin E$  and  $r > r_0$ , (6) yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\beta_2(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \leq |\beta_2(r)| 2r^{3/2} = O(T(\zeta, r))$$

since  $|\beta_2(r)| \leq O(1)/r$ .

From [6, p. 151, eq. (2)], we have, for  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ ,

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \log s - \frac{1}{2s} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{[x] - x + 1/2}{(x+s)^2} dx.$$

Since  $r|\sin \theta| > 1$  for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1(r)$  and  $-\pi/2 < \arctan x < \pi/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{[x] - x + 1/2}{(x+s)^2} dx \right| &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(r \cos \theta + x)^2 + (r \sin \theta)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{r|\sin \theta|} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1(r)$ ,

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \log s + O(1). \quad (7)$$

When  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1(r)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(1-s) > \sigma_*$ . Therefore, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1(r)$ , taking logarithms and differentiating (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-s) \right| &= \left| \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{1-s}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^{1-s}} \right)^{-1} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_p \log p \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{p^{m(1-s)}} \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\Lambda(n)}{|n^{1-s}|} \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\Lambda(n)}{|n^{\sigma_*}|} = O(1), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\Lambda(n) = \log p$  if  $n$  is  $p$  or a power of  $p$ , and otherwise  $\Lambda(n) = 0$ . Since (3) is equivalent to (see [7, p. 22, eq. (2.6.4)])

$$\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s), \quad (9)$$

taking the logarithmic derivative of (9) gives

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'((1-s)/2)}{\Gamma((1-s)/2)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(s/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} + \log \pi - \frac{\zeta'(1-s)}{\zeta(1-s)}.$$

Plugging (7) and (8) into this equation, we obtain

$$\log^+ \frac{|\zeta(s)|}{|\zeta'(s)|} = O(1)$$

for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  with  $\theta \in \beta_1(r)$ . Consequently,

$$\log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \leq \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta(s)|} + \log^+ \frac{|\zeta(s)|}{|\zeta'(s)|} \leq \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta(s)|} + O(1).$$

Therefore, (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\Gamma(1-s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\sin(s\pi/2)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta(1-s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(1) \\ &\leq \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\Gamma(1-s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(r). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds because (see [7, eq. (3.6.5)])

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta(1-s)|} \leq C \log^7 r \quad \text{for } s \in \beta_1(r).$$

It turns out from (10) in the Appendix that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_1(r)} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &\leq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \log^+ |z\phi_1(z)| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + m(\phi_2, r) + m(\phi_3, r) + m(\phi_4, r) + O(r) \\ &= O(r) = o(T(\zeta, r)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_i$ 's are defined in the Appendix. Thus we have proved that there is a set- $E$  finite Lebesgue measure such that

$$\begin{aligned} m(1/\zeta', r) &= \left( \int_{\gamma_1(r, \sigma_*)} + \int_{\gamma_3(r, \sigma_*)} + \int_{\beta_1(r)} + \int_{\beta_2(r)} \right) \log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta'(s)|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= O(r) \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \notin E$ . Note that the set  $E$  only depends on  $\zeta'$ .

The logarithmic derivative lemma ([1, Theorem 2.3]) gives

$$m(\zeta'/(\zeta - a), r) = O(\log r).$$



It follows for any  $a \neq \infty$  and for all large  $r$  with  $r \notin E$  that

$$m(\zeta, a, r) \leq m(\zeta'/(\zeta - a), r) + m(1/\zeta', r) = O(r).$$

Therefore,  $\delta(\zeta, a) = 0$  for any  $a \neq \infty$ . So the second statement of the theorem is proved.

Since, for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$N(\zeta, a, r) = T(\zeta, r) - m(\zeta, a, r) + O(1) = \frac{r}{\pi} \log r + O(r),$$

the third statement of the theorem follows immediately. Thus Theorem 1 is proved completely.

## APPENDIX THE NEVANLINNA FUNCTIONS OF THE EULER GAMMA-FUNCTION

The Euler gamma-function  $\Gamma(z)$  is given by

$$\Gamma(z) = z^{-1} e^{-\gamma z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^{-1} e^{z/k},$$

where  $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} - \log n)$  is Euler's constant. Clearly,  $\Gamma(z)$  is a meromorphic function with simple poles  $\{-k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ , and  $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** (1)  $T(\Gamma, r) = (1 + o(1))(r/\pi) \log r$ .

(2)  $\delta(\Gamma, 0) = \delta(\Gamma, \infty) = 1$ ;  $\delta(\Gamma, a) = 0$ , for  $a \neq 0, \infty$ .

*Proof.* For any  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , there is an integer  $n_0$  with  $n_0 < r \leq n_0 + 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} &= z \left\{ \exp \left( \gamma z - \sum_{n < 2r} \frac{z}{n} \right) \right\} \prod_{n=1}^{n_0} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{n=n_0+1}^{[2r]} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) \prod_{n=[2r]+1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-(z/n)} \\ &\equiv z \phi_1(z) \phi_2(z) \phi_3(z) \phi_4(z). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\gamma - \sum_{n < 2r} 1/n = -\log(2r) + o(1)$ , we obtain

$$\log|z\phi_1(z)| = \log r + (-\log 2r + o(1))r \cos \theta = -r \log r \cos \theta + O(r). \quad (10)$$

Also after a little computation, we have

$$m(\phi_2, r) = O(r), \quad m(\phi_3, r) = O(r), \quad m(\phi_4, r) = O(r).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Gamma, 0, r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{|\Gamma(re^{i\theta})|} d\theta \\ &\leq m(z\phi_1, r) + m(\phi_2, r) + m(\phi_3, r) + m(\phi_4, r) \\ &= -r \log r \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \cos \theta d\theta + O(r) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\pi} r \log r. \end{aligned}$$

The first main theorem and the fact  $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$  give

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Gamma, r) &= T(\Gamma, 0, r) + O(1) \\ &= m(\Gamma, 0, r) + O(1) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\pi} r \log r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first part of the theorem is proved. Furthermore,

$$\delta(\Gamma, 0) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(\Gamma, 0, r)}{T(\Gamma, r)} = 1.$$

Since  $N(\Gamma, \infty, r) = o(T(\Gamma, r))$ ,

$$\delta(\Gamma, \infty) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\Gamma, \infty, r)}{T(\Gamma, r)} = 1.$$

It follows from the deficiency relation that  $\delta(\Gamma, a) = 0$  for any  $a \neq 0, \infty$ . Thus the theorem is proved completely. ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Günter Frank for his suggestions and reference and the referee for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Gol'dberg, On distribution of values of the Weierstrass sigma function, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **50**, No. 1 (1966), 43–46.
2. W. Hayman, "Meromorphic Functions," Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975.
3. N. E. Korenkov, The distribution of the values of the weierstrass sigma function, *Nauk. Dumka* (1976), 240–242.
4. R. Nevanlinna, "Analytic Functions," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1970.
5. L. R. Sons and Z. Ye, The best error terms of classical functions, *Complex Variables* **28** (1995), 55–66.
6. E. C. Titchmarsh, "The Theory of Functions," Oxford Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1939.
7. E. C. Titchmarsh, "The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function," Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
8. Z. Ye, On Nevanlinna's error terms, *Duke Math. J.* **64**(2) (1991), 243–260.
9. Z. Ye, On Nevanlinna's second main theorem in projective space, *Invent. Math.* **122** (1995), 475–507.
10. Z. Ye, On Nevanlinna's secondary deficiency, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **20** (1995), 97–108.