



Shorter Notes: Pseudocompact Metacompact Spaces are Compact

W. Stephen Watson

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 81, No. 1 (Jan., 1981), 151-152.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198101%2981%3A1%3C151%3ASNPMSA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-8>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

PSEUDOCOMPACT METACOMPACT SPACES ARE COMPACT

W. STEPHEN WATSON

In 1950, Arens and Dugundji [1] defined metacompact spaces and showed (A) countably compact metacompact spaces are compact. It was known by then that paracompact spaces are normal and that normal pseudocompact spaces are countably compact. Since paracompact spaces are metacompact, (A) also showed (B) pseudocompact paracompact spaces are compact. The results (A) and (B) raise the following question of Aull and others [2] as a common generalization: are pseudocompact metacompact spaces compact? This question was answered in the affirmative, first by Scott [3] and independently by Förster [4] and the author. The short proof given here also establishes an interesting property of Baire spaces.

All spaces are assumed completely regular. A space is *pseudocompact* if every continuous real-valued function on it is bounded. A space is *Baire* if no open set is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. A π -*base* for a space X is a family \mathfrak{B} of nonempty open subsets of X such that if G is a nonempty open subset of X , then some element of \mathfrak{B} is contained in G .

LEMMA. *For any point-finite open cover \mathcal{U} of a Baire space X , there is a π -base \mathfrak{B} for X , such that when $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ either B is contained in U or B is disjoint from U .*

PROOF. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_n = \{x \in X: x \text{ is in at most } n \text{ elements of } \mathcal{U}\}$. Each X_n is closed and X is the union of $\{X_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Given an open subset G of X , G is a Baire subspace of X and thus, for some n , $G \cap X_n$ has nonempty interior. Let n_0 be the least such n . Let G' contained in G be an open subset of X which is contained in X_{n_0} . Let $x \in G'$ be in precisely n_0 elements of \mathcal{U} and let the intersection of these n_0 elements and G' be G'' . G'' is an open subset of X contained in G and such that when $U \in \mathcal{U}$ either G'' is contained in U or G'' is disjoint from U .

THEOREM. *Any pseudocompact metacompact space is compact.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{O} be an open cover of X . Let \mathcal{U} be a point-finite refinement of \mathcal{O} . Using regularity, assume without loss of generality that if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ then the closure of U is contained in some element of \mathcal{O} . Pseudocompact spaces are Baire (see, e.g., [5, p. 271]) and therefore the lemma applies here to yield a π -base \mathfrak{B} for X , such that when $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ either B is contained in U or B is disjoint from U .

Received by the editors February 7, 1980 and, in revised form, May 6, 1980.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54D30; Secondary 54D18.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0035/\$01.50

Inductively define for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if possible, $A_n \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that each A_n is disjoint from the closure of the union of $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A_m \text{ is nonempty for some } m < n\}$. If the induction proceeds infinitely, then, since X is pseudocompact, there are no infinite discrete families of open sets (see, e.g., [5, p. 263]) and $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ would have a limit point a , say $a \in U(a) \in \mathcal{U}$, and $U(a)$ would intersect more than one A_n which contradicts their definition. Thus the induction stops at some n and since \mathcal{U} is point-finite, for each $m < n$, A_m is contained in finitely many elements of \mathcal{U} and thus A_m intersects finitely many elements of \mathcal{U} . So there are finitely many elements of \mathcal{U} whose union is dense in X and therefore there are finitely many elements of \mathcal{O} which cover X as required.

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Remark on the concept of compactness*, Portugal. Math. **9** (1950), 141–143.
2. Problems Section, Topology Proc. **3** (1978), 533.
3. B. Scott, *Pseudocompact metacompact spaces are compact*, Topology Proc. (to appear).
4. O. Förster (unpublished manuscript).
5. R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warsaw, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, CANADA M5S-1A1