

**CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC PRESTARLIKE  
FUNCTIONS IN THE PARABOLIC REGION**

K.VIJAYA

**ABSTRACT.** A comprehensive class of complex-valued harmonic prestarlike univalent functions is introduced . Necessary and sufficient coefficient bounds are given for functions in this function class. Further distortion bounds and extreme points are also obtained.

*Keywords and Phrases :* harmonic, univalent, prestarlike, starlike.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45; 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous functions  $f = u + iv$  is a complex- valued harmonic function in a complex domain  $G$  if both  $u$  and  $v$  are real and harmonic in  $G$ . In any simply connected domain  $D \subset G$  we can write  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $D$ . We call  $h$  the analytic part and  $g$  the co-analytic part of  $f$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be locally univalent and orientation preserving in  $D$  is that  $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$  in  $D$  (see [3]). Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the family of functions

$$f = h + \bar{g} \tag{1}$$

that are harmonic univalent and orientation preserving in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  for which  $f(0) = h(0) = 0 = f_z(0) - 1$ . Thus for  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$  we may express the analytic functions for  $h$  and  $g$  as

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m + \overline{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m z^m}, \quad (|b_1| < 1), \tag{2}$$

where the analytic functions  $h$  and  $g$  are in the forms

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m, \quad g(z) = b_1 z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_m z^m \quad (0 \leq b_1 < 1).$$

Note that the family  $\mathcal{H}$  of orientation preserving, normalized harmonic univalent functions reduces to  $S$  the class of normalized analytic univalent functions if the co-analytic part of  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is identically zero that is  $g \equiv 0$ . Given two functions  $\phi(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m z^m$  and  $\psi(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_m z^m$  in  $S$  there Hadamard product or convolution  $(\phi * \psi)(z)$  is defined by  $(\phi * \psi)(z) = \phi(z) * \psi(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m \psi_m z^m$ . Using the convolution ,Ruscheweyh [9] introduced and studied the class of prestarlike function of order  $\alpha$

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}}, \quad (z \in \Delta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1) \tag{3}$$

We also note that  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(z)$  can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |C_m(\alpha)| z^m, \tag{4}$$

where

$$C_m(\alpha) = \frac{\prod_{j=2}^m (j - 2\alpha)}{(m-1)!} (m \in N \setminus \{1\}, N := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \tag{5}$$

We note that  $C_m(\alpha)$  is decreasing in  $\alpha$  and satisfies

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_m(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} . \tag{6}$$

For  $f = h + \bar{g}$  given by (1) and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  we define the prestarlike harmonic function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$S_\alpha(z) * f(z) = S_\alpha(z) * h(z) + \overline{S_\alpha(z) * g(z)} \tag{7}$$

where  $S_\alpha$  is given by (4) and the operator  $*$  stands for the hadamard product or convolution product.

For  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , let  $\mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$  denote the subfamily of starlike harmonic functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  of the form (1) such that

$$\text{Re} \left\{ (1 + e^{i\psi}) \frac{z(S_\alpha(z) * f(z))'}{z'(S_\alpha(z) * f(z))} - e^{i\psi} \right\} \geq \gamma, \tag{8}$$

where  $(S_\alpha(z) * f(z))' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(S_\alpha(re^{i\theta}) * f(re^{i\theta}))$ ,  $z' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(z = re^{i\theta})$  and  $S_\alpha(z)$  is defined by (4).

We also let  $\mathcal{PV}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma) = \mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}$  where  $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{H}$  the class of harmonic functions with varying arguments introduced by Jahangiri and Silverman [4], consisting of functions  $f$  of the form(2) in  $\mathcal{H}$  for which there exists a real number  $\phi$  such that

$$\eta_m + (m - 1)\phi \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \delta_m + (m - 1)\phi \equiv 0, \quad m \geq 2, \quad (9)$$

where  $\eta_m = \arg(a_m)$  and  $\delta_m = \arg(b_m)$ .

In this paper we obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for functions  $f$  given by (2) to be in the class  $\mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . It is shown that this coefficient condition is necessary also for functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{VG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . Further, distortion results and extreme points for functions in  $\mathcal{VG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$  are also obtained.

## 2. THE CLASS $\mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

We begin deriving a sufficient coefficient condition for the functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . This result is contained in the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be given by (2). If*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2m - 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |a_m| + \frac{2m + 1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_m| \right) C_m(\alpha) \leq 1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{3 - \gamma} b_1 \quad (10)$$

$0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{PG}_\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* We first show that if the inequality (10) holds for the coefficients of  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , then the required condition (8) is satisfied. Using (7) and (8), we can write

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 + e^{i\psi}) \left[ \frac{(S_\alpha(z) * h(z))' - \overline{(S_\alpha(z) * g(z))'}}{(S_\alpha(z) * h(z)) + \overline{(S_\alpha(z) * g(z))}} \right] - e^{i\psi} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{A(z)}{B(z)},$$

where

$$A(z) = (1 + e^{i\psi}) [S_\alpha(z) * h(z)]' - \overline{z(S_\alpha(z) * g(z))'} - e^{i\psi} [(S_\alpha(z) * h(z)) + \overline{(S_\alpha(z) * g(z))}]$$

and

$$B(z) = (S_\alpha(z) * h(z)) + \overline{(S_\alpha(z) * g(z))}.$$

In view of the simple assertion that  $\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq \gamma$  if and only if  $|1 - \gamma + w| \geq |1 + \gamma - w|$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

Substituting for  $A(z)$  and  $B(z)$  the appropriate expressions in (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \gamma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \gamma)B(z)| \\ \geq & (2 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m - \gamma)C_m(\alpha)|a_m| |z|^m - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m + \gamma)C_m(\alpha)|b_m| |z|^m \\ & - \gamma|z| - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m - 2 - \gamma)C_m(\alpha)|a_m| |z|^m - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m + 2 + \gamma)C_m(\alpha)|b_m| |z|^m. \\ \geq & 2(1 - \gamma)|z| \left\{ 1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}b_1 - \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2m - 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma}C_m(\alpha)|a_m| \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. + \frac{2m + 1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}C_m(\alpha)|b_m| \right] \right) \right\} \\ \geq & 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the inequality (10). This implies that  $f \in \mathcal{PG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

Now we obtain the necessary and sufficient condition for function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be given with condition (9).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  be given by (2). Then  $f \in \mathcal{VG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{2m - 1 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma}|a_m| + \frac{2m + 1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}|b_m| \right\} C_m(\alpha) \leq 1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma}b_1 \quad (12)$$

$$0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{VG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{PG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , we only need to prove the necessary part of the theorem. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{VG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , then by virtue of (4) to (8), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 + e^{i\psi}) \left[ \frac{z(S_{\alpha}(z) * h(z))' - \overline{z(S_{\alpha}(z) * g(z))'}}{(S_{\alpha}(z) * h(z)) + (S_{\alpha}(z) * g(z))} \right] - (e^{i\psi} + \gamma) \right\} \geq 0.$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z + \left( \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} [m(1 + e^{i\psi}) - \gamma - e^{i\psi}] C_m(\alpha) |a_m| z^m \right)}{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |a_m| z^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |b_m| \bar{z}^m} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [m(1 + e^{i\psi}) + \gamma + e^{i\psi}] C_m(\alpha) |b_m| \bar{z}^m}{z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |a_m| z^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |b_m| \bar{z}^m} \right\} \\ & = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \gamma) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) [m(1 + e^{i\psi}) - \gamma - e^{i\psi}] |a_m| z^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |a_m| z^{m-1} + \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |b_m| \bar{z}^{m-1}} \right\} \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-\frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [m(1 + e^{i\psi}) + \gamma + e^{i\psi}] |b_m| \bar{z}^{m-1}}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |a_m| z^{m-1} + \frac{\bar{z}}{z} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |b_m| \bar{z}^{m-1}} \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This condition must hold for all values of  $z$ , such that  $|z| = r < 1$ . Upon choosing  $\phi$  according to (9) and noting that  $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\psi}) \geq -|e^{i\psi}| = -1$ , the above inequality reduces to

$$\frac{(b_1 - \gamma) - \left[ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m - 1 - \gamma) C_m(\alpha) |a_m| r^{m-1} + (2m + 1 + \gamma) C_m(\alpha) |b_m| r^{m-1} \right]}{1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |a_m| r^{m-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m(\alpha) |b_m| r^{m-1}} \geq 0. \tag{13}$$

If (12) does not hold, then the numerator in (13) is negative for  $r$  sufficiently close to 1. Therefore, there exists a point  $z_0 = r_0$  in  $(0,1)$  for which the quotient in (13) is negative. This contradicts our assumption that  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . We thus conclude that it is both necessary and sufficient that the coefficient bound inequality (12) holds true when  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

If we put  $\phi = 2\pi/k$  in (9), then Theorem 2, gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f = h + \bar{g}$  satisfying (12) to be starlike is that*

$$\arg(a_m) = \pi - 2(m - 1)\pi/k,$$

and

$$\arg(b_m) = 2\pi - 2(m - 1)\pi/k \quad , (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

### 3.DISTORTION AND EXTREME POINTS

In this section we obtain the distortion bounds for the functions  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  that lead to a covering result for the family  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  then*

$$|f(z)| \leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{C_2(\alpha_1)} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} - \frac{3 + \gamma}{3 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) r^2$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq (1 - |b_1|)r - \frac{1}{C_2(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} - \frac{3 + \gamma}{3 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) r^2.$$

*Proof.* We will only prove the right- hand inequality of the above theorem. The arguments for the left- hand inequality are similar and so we omit it. Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  taking the absolute value of  $f$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (|a_m| + |b_m|)r^m \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + r^2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (|a_m| + |b_m|). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{C_2(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} \right) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right) C_2(\alpha) |a_m| + \left( \frac{3 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right) C_2(\alpha) |b_m| \right] r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{C_2(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_1| \right] r^2 \\ &\leq (1 + |b_1|)r + \frac{1}{C_2(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} - \frac{3 + \gamma}{3 - \gamma} |b_1| \right) r^2, \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the desired inequality.

As a consequence of the above theorem and Corollary 3, we state the following covering lemma.

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $f = h + \bar{g}$  and of the form (2) be so that  $f \in \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . Then*

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{3C_m(\alpha) - 1 - [C_m(\alpha) - 1]\gamma}{(3 - \gamma)C_m(\alpha)}(1 - b_1) \right\} \subset f(\mathcal{U}).$$

For a compact family, the maximum or minimum of the real part of any continuous linear functional occurs at one of the extreme points of the closed convex hull. Unlike many other classes, characterized by necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions, the family  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  is not a convex family. Nevertheless, we may still apply the coefficient characterization of the  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  to determine the extreme points.

**Theorem 6.** *The closed convex hull of  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  (denoted by  $clco\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ ) is*

$$\left\{ f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m|z^m + \overline{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |b_m|z^m}, : \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m[|a_m| + |b_m|] < 1 - b_1 \right\}$$

By setting  $\lambda_m = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{(2m-1-\gamma)C_m(\alpha)}$  and  $\mu_m = \frac{(1+\gamma)}{(2m+1+\gamma)C_m(\alpha)}$ , then for  $b_1$  fixed, the extreme points for  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  are

$$\{z + \lambda_m x z^m + \overline{b_1 z}\} \cup \{z + \overline{b_1 z + \mu_m x z^m}\} \tag{14}$$

where  $m \geq 2$  and  $|x| = 1 - |b_1|$ .

*Proof.* Any function  $f$  in  $clco\mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  be expressed as

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |a_m|e^{i\eta_m} z^m + \overline{b_1 z} + \overline{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |b_m|e^{i\delta_m} z^m},$$

where the coefficients satisfy the inequality (10). Set

$$h_1(z) = z, g_1(z) = b_1 z, h_m(z) = z + \lambda_m e^{i\eta_m} z^m, g_m(z) = b_1 z + \mu_m e^{i\delta_m} z^m$$

for  $m = 2, 3, \dots$

Writing  $X_m = \frac{|a_m|}{\lambda_m}$ ,  $Y_m = \frac{|b_m|}{\mu_m}$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \dots$  and  $X_1 = 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} X_m$ ;  $Y_1 = 1 - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} Y_m$ , we get

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (X_m h_m(z) + Y_m g_m(z)).$$

In particular, putting

$$f_1(z) = z + \overline{b_1}z \text{ and } f_m(z) = z + \lambda_m x z^m + \overline{b_1 z + \mu_m y z^m}, \quad (m \geq 2, |x| + |y| = 1 - |b_1|)$$

we see that extreme points of  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma) \subset \{f_m(z)\}$ .

To see that  $f_1(z)$  is not an extreme point, note that  $f_1(z)$  may be written as

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{f_1(z) + \lambda_2(1 - |b_1|)z^2\} + \frac{1}{2} \{f_1(z) - \lambda_2(1 - |b_1|)z^2\},$$

a convex linear combination of functions in  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

To see that  $f_m$  is not an extreme point if both  $|x| \neq 0$  and  $|y| \neq 0$ , we will show that it can then also be expressed as a convex linear combinations of functions in  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $|x| \geq |y|$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough so that  $\epsilon < \frac{|x|}{|y|}$ . Set  $A = 1 + \epsilon$  and  $B = 1 - \frac{\epsilon x}{y}$ . We then see that both

$$t_1(z) = z + \lambda_m A x z^m + \overline{b_1 z + \mu_m y B z^m}$$

and

$$t_2(z) = z + \lambda_m (2 - A) x z^m + \overline{b_1 z + \mu_m y (2 - B) z^m}$$

are in  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , and that  $f_m(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{t_1(z) + t_2(z)\}$ .

The extremal coefficient bounds show that functions of the form (14) are the extreme points for  $clco \mathcal{V}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , and so the proof is complete.

#### 4. INCLUSION RELATION

Following Avici and Zlotkiewicz [1] (see also Ruscheweyh [8]), we refer to the the  $\delta$ - neighborhood of the function  $f(z)$  defined by (2) to be the set of functions  $F$  for which

$$N_{\delta}(f) = \left\{ F(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} A_m z^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_m z^m}, \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m(|a_m - A_m| + |b_m - B_m|) \right.$$



$$+|b_1 - B_1| \leq \delta\}. \tag{15}$$

In our case, let us define the generalized  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $f$  to be

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ F : \sum_{m=2}^\infty C_m(\alpha)[(2m - 1 - \gamma)(|a_m - A_m| + (2m + 1 + \gamma)|b_m - B_m|) + (1 - \gamma)|b_1 - B_1| \leq (1 - \gamma)\delta \right\}. \tag{16}$$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f$  be given by (2). If  $f$  satisfies the conditions*

$$\sum_{m=2}^\infty m(2m - 1 - \gamma)|a_m|C_m(\alpha) + \sum_{m=1}^\infty m(2m + 1 + \gamma)|b_m|C_m(\alpha) \leq (1 - \gamma), \tag{17}$$

and

$$\delta = \frac{1 - \gamma}{3 - \gamma} \left( 1 - \frac{3 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} |b_1| \right), 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \tag{18}$$

then  $N(f) \subset \mathcal{PG}_H(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  satisfy (17) and  $F(z)$  be given by  $F(z) = z + \overline{B_1}z + \sum_{m=2}^\infty (A_m z^m + \overline{B_m} z^m)$  which belongs to  $N(f)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (3 + \gamma)|B_1| + \sum_{m=2}^\infty ((2m - 1 - \gamma)|A_m| + (2m + 1 + \gamma)|B_m|) C_m(\alpha) \\ & \leq (3 + \gamma)|B_1 - b_1| + (3 + \gamma)|b_1| + \sum_{m=2}^\infty C_m(\alpha) [(2m - 1 - \gamma)|A_m - a_m| \\ & + (2m + 1 + \gamma)|B_m - b_m|] + \sum_{m=2}^\infty C_m(\alpha) [(2m - 1 - \gamma)|a_m| + (2m + 1 + \gamma)|b_m|] \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma)\delta + (3 + \gamma)|b_1| + \frac{1}{3 - \gamma} \sum_{m=2}^\infty m C_m(\alpha) ((2m - 1 - \gamma)|a_m| + (2m + 1 + \gamma)|b_m|) \\ & \leq (1 - \gamma)\delta + (3 + \gamma)|b_1| + \frac{1}{3 - \gamma} [(1 - \gamma) - (3 + \gamma)|b_1|] \leq 1 - \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $\delta = \frac{1-\gamma}{3-\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{3+\gamma}{1-\gamma} |b_1|\right)$ , we infer that  $F(z) \in \mathcal{PG}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \gamma)$  which concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

**Concluding Remarks:** The various results presented in this paper would provide interesting extensions and generalizations of those considered earlier for simpler harmonic function classes(see [4,5,6] and [10]. The details involved in the derivations of such specializations of the results presented in this paper are fairly straightforward hence omitted.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y.Avici and E.Zlotkiewicz, *On harmonic univalent mappings* Ann.Univ.Marie Curie-Sklodowska Sect.,**A44** (1990), 1 - 7.
- [2] B.C.Carlson and S.B.Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergometric functions*, SIAM J.Math. Anal., **15** (2002), 737 - 745.
- [3] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Aci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math., **9** (1984) 3-25.
- [4] J.M. Jahangiri and H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent functions with varying arguments*, Internat. J. Appl. Math.,**8**(2002), 267-275.
- [5] G.Murugusundaramoorthy, *A class of Ruscheweyh-Type harmonic univalent functions with varying arguments.*, Southwest J. Pure Appl. Math., **2** (2003), 90-95.
- [6] T.Rosy, B.A.Stephen, K.G.Subramanian and J.M.Jahangiri, *Goodman-Ronning type harmonic univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **41** (2001), 45-54.
- [7] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 109 -115.
- [8] S. Ruscheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1981), 521 -528.
- [9] S. Ruscheweyh, *Linear operator between classes of prestarlike Functions*, Comm. Math. Helv., 52 (1977), 497 -509.
- [10] K.Vijaya, *Studies on certain subclasses of harmonic functions*, inter.Review of pure and Appl. Math. , 1 (2005), 59 -67.

**Author:**

K.Vijaya  
 Department of Mathematics  
 VIT University  
 Vellore - 632014, Tamil Nadu, India.  
 e-mail: *kvijaya@vit.ac.in*