

On Multiplication Modules

Ünsal Tekir

Marmara University, Department of Mathematics
Ziverbey, Göztepe-İstanbul, Turkey
utekir@marmara.edu.tr

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a unital R -module. Then M is called a multiplication module provided for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$. Our objective is to investigate properties of prime and semiprime submodules of multiplication modules.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C05, 13C13

Keywords: Multiplication modules, Prime submodules, Semiprime submodules

Throughout this paper all rings will be commutative with identity and all modules will be unitary. Let R be a ring and M be a unital R -module. For any submodule N of M , we define $(N : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$. A submodule N of M is called prime if $N \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R, m \in M$ and $rm \in N$, then $m \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$. A submodule N of M is called semiprime if $N \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R, m \in M$, and $r^n m \in N$ for some positive integer n , then $rm \in N$. In recent years, prime and semiprime submodules have attracted a good deal of attention; see , for example [2 – 5].

An R -module M is called a multiplication module provided for each submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$. We say that I is a presentation ideal of N . Clearly, every submodule of M has a presentation ideal if and only if M is a multiplication module. Let N and K be submodules of a multiplication with $N = I_1M$ and $K = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R . The product N and K denoted by NK is defined by $NK = I_1I_2M$. Then by [6,theorem 3.4], the product of N and K is independent of presentation of N and K . Note that this definition is different from the definition of ordinary ideal multiplication. Indeed, let $R = Z$ be the ring of integers, and let $M = 2Z$ and $N = K = 4Z$. Then NK is $16Z$ by the usual definition and is $8Z$ by the our definition. Moreover, for $a, b \in M$, by ab we mean the product

of Ra and Rb . Clearly, NK is a submodule of M and $NK \subseteq N \cap K$ see, for example, [[7] – [9].]

The purpose of this paper is to introduce interesting and useful properties of prime and semiprime submodules of multiplication modules.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a non-zero R -module. Then, M is a faithful R -module (not necessarily multiplication) such that every proper submodule is prime if and only if R is a field.*

Proof. \Leftarrow : Clear.

\Rightarrow : Suppose that R is not field. Note that the zero submodule of M is prime. Then R is a domain and M is a torsion-free R -module. Because R is not field, M is not simple. Let Rm be a proper non-zero submodule of M . Assume that $0 \neq a$ is not invertible element of R . Then Ram is prime so that aM is contained in Ram (which gives contradiction $M = Ram$) or m belongs to Ram (which gives $Ra = R$, a contradiction). ■

Corollary 2. *Let M be a faithful multiplication R -module. Then, M is simple if and only if every proper submodule of M is prime.*

Proposition 3. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k be submodules of M . Let N be prime submodule of M . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $N_j \subseteq N$ for some j with $1 \leq j \leq k$.
- (ii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i \subseteq N$
- (iii) $\prod_{i=1}^k N_i \subseteq N$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) : Clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : Since $\prod_{i=1}^k N_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k N_i$, $\prod_{i=1}^k N_i \subseteq N$ by (ii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) : We have $N_i = I_i M$ for some ideals I_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) of R . Then $N_1 N_2 \dots N_k = I_1 I_2 \dots I_k M \subseteq N$ and so $I_1 I_2 \dots I_k \subseteq (N : M)$. Since $(N : M)$ is a prime ideal of R , $I_j \subseteq (N : M)$ for some j ($1 \leq j \leq k$). Therefore, $N_j = I_j M \subseteq N$ for some j ($1 \leq j \leq k$). ■

Definition 1. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. A nonempty subset S^* of M is said to be multiplicatively closed if $mn \cap S^* \neq \emptyset$ whenever $m, n \in S^*$.*

Proposition 4. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then, a proper submodule N of M is prime if and only if $M \setminus N$ is a multiplicatively closed.*

Proof. Let N be a prime submodule of M and let $a, b \in M \setminus N$. Since N is prime, $ab \not\subseteq N$. Then $ab \cap (M \setminus N) \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, let $a, b \notin N$. Then $a, b \in M \setminus N$. Since $M \setminus N$ is a multiplicatively set, $ab \cap (M \setminus N) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $ab \not\subseteq N$ [see, 6]. ■

Theorem 5. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Let A be a submodule of M and let S^* be a multiplicatively closed set in M such that $A \cap S^*$ is empty. Then there is a submodule N of M which is maximal with respect to the properties that $A \subseteq N$ and $N \cap S^*$ are empty. Furthermore, N is prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Let H be the set of all submodules B of M such that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \cap S^*$ is empty; H is not empty since $A \in H$. By Zorn's Lemma, H has a maximal element N . To show that N is prime, suppose that it is not and let $ab \subseteq N$, $a \notin N, b \notin N$ where $a, b \in M$. Then $N \subsetneq N + Ra$ and $N \subsetneq N + Rb$ so there are elements $s, t \in S^*$ such that $s \in N + Ra$ and $t \in N + Rb$. Hence $st \cap S^* \neq \emptyset$ and $st \subseteq (N + Ra)(N + Rb) \subseteq N$, which contradicts the fact that $N \cap S^*$ is empty. ■

Definition 2. *Let M be a multiplication module. A zero divisor in M is an element $0_M \neq a \in M$ for which there exists $b \in M$ with $b \neq 0_M$ such that $ab = RaRb = 0_M$.*

Theorem 6. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Let N be a submodule of M such that $N \neq M$. Then, N is prime if and only if M/N has no zero divisor.*

Proof. Suppose that N be a prime submodule of M . Since M is a multiplication module, M/N is a multiplication module [see 6, Theorem 3.21]. Let $a \in M$ be such that the element $0_{M/N} \neq \bar{a} = a + N$ in M/N is zero divisor, so that there exists $b \in M$ such that $0_{M/N} \neq \bar{b} = b + N$ and $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0_{M/N}$. Let I and J be presentation ideals \bar{a} and \bar{b} , respectively. Then $\bar{a}\bar{b} = (IJ)M/N = N$ and so $ab \subseteq N$. Since N is prime, $a \in N$ or $b \in N$. This is a contradiction. Conversely, let M/N has no zero divisor. Let $ab \subseteq N$ where $a, b \in M$. Then $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0_{M/N}$. Since M/N has no zero divisor, $\bar{a} = 0_{M/N}$ or $\bar{b} = 0_{M/N}$. Therefore, $a \in N$ or $b \in N$. ■

Definition 3. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and let N be a submodule of M . Then*

- (i) N is called nilpotent if $N^k = 0$ for some positive integer k , where N^k means the product of N, k times;
- (ii) An element m of M is called nilpotent if $m^k = 0$ for some positive integer k .

The set of all nilpotent elements of M is denoted by N_M .

Definition 4. Let M be an R -module and N be a submodule of M . Then, the radical of N denoted by $M - \text{rad}(N)$ or $r(N)$ is defined to be intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If N is not contained in any prime submodule of M , then $M - \text{rad}(N) = M$.

Theorem 7. [6, Theorem 3.13] Let N be a submodule of a multiplication R -module M . Then $M - \text{rad}(N) = \{m \in M : m^k \subseteq N \text{ for some } k > 0\}$.

Corollary 8. Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then N_M is the intersection of all prime submodules of M ($r(0) = N_M$).

Definition 5. A proper submodule N of a module M over a commutative ring R is said to be weakly prime submodule if whenever $0 \neq rm \in N$, for some $r \in R, m \in M$, then $m \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$.

Clearly, every prime submodule of a module is weakly prime submodule. However, since 0 is always weakly prime (by definition), a weakly prime submodule need not be prime.

Theorem 9. Let N be a weakly prime submodule of M . If $(N : M)N \neq 0$, then N is a prime submodule of M .

Proof. Suppose that $(N : M)N \neq 0$; we show that N is prime. Let $rm \in N$. If $rm \neq 0$, then N weakly prime gives $m \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$. So assume that $rm = 0$. First suppose that $rN \neq 0$, say $rn_0 \neq 0$ where $n_0 \in N$. Then $0 \neq rn_0 = r(m + n_0) \in N$, so $r \in (N : M)$ or $m + n_0 \in N$. Hence $r \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$. So we can assume that $rN = 0$. Next suppose that $m(N : M) \neq 0, mk_0 \neq 0$ where $k_0 \in (N : M)$. Then $0 \neq mk_0 = (r + k_0)m \in N$. Therefore $m \in N$ or $r + k_0 \in (N : M)$. Then $m \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$. So we can assume that $m(N : M) = 0$.

Since $(N : M)N \neq 0$, there exists $k \in (N : M)$ and $n \in N$ with $kn \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq kn = (r + k)(m + n) \in N$; $r + k \in (N : M)$ or $m + n \in N$. Hence $r \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$. So N is prime submodule of M . ■

Compare the following Theorem with Theorem 1 in [1].

Theorem 10. Let M be a multiplication R -module. Let N be a weakly prime submodule of M . If N is not prime, $N^2 = 0$.

Proof. Since M is a multiplication module, $N = (N : M)M$. Therefore, $N^2 = (N : M)^2 M = (N : M)N = 0$ by Theorem 9. ■

Corollary 11. Let M be a multiplication R -module and N be weakly prime submodule of M . Then $N \subseteq r(0)$ or $r(0) \subseteq N$.

Proof. If N is a prime submodule, $r(0) \subseteq N$ by Corollary 8. If N is not prime submodule, $N \subseteq r(0)$ by Theorem 10. ■

Recall that an ideal I in a commutative ring R is called semiprime if $r^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $r \in I$. It is well known that an ideal I is semiprime if and only if $I = \sqrt{I} = \{r \in R : r^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. A submodule N of M is called semiprime if $r^n m \in N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $rm \in N$. It is clear that N is semiprime if and only if $I^n V \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $IV \subseteq N$.

Theorem 12. *Let N be a proper submodule of a multiplication module M . Then N is semiprime if and only if $U^n \subseteq N$ implies that $U \subseteq N$ for each submodule U of M .*

Proof. Let N be a semiprime submodule and $U^n \subseteq N$ for some submodule U of M . Suppose that I be a presentation of U . Then $U^n = I^n M \subseteq N$. Therefore, $I^n \subseteq (N : M)$. Since N is a semiprime submodule of M , $(N : M)$ is a semiprime ideal of R . Therefore, $I \subseteq (N : M)$ and so $U = IM \subseteq N$.

Conversely, let $U^n \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $U \subseteq N$ for any submodule U of M . Let $I^n V \subseteq N$ for some ideal I of R and a submodule V of M . Suppose that J be a presentation of V . Therefore, $I^n V = I^n JM \subseteq N$ and so $(IV)^n = (IJ)^n M \subseteq N$. Then $IV \subseteq N$. ■

Corollary 13. *Let N be a proper submodule of M . Then N is semiprime if and only if $m^n \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $m \in N$ for every $m \in M$.*

Proof. Let N be a semiprime submodule. It is clear that $m^n \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ implies that $m \in N$ for every $m \in M$. Conversely, let $U \not\subseteq N$. Thus, there is $u \in U \setminus N$. Then $u^n \not\subseteq N$. Therefore $U^n \not\subseteq N$. Thus, N is semiprime. ■

If N is a submodule of M such that N is an intersection of prime submodules of M , then N is semiprime submodule of M . We don't know if the converse is true in general, but it is true but it is true in the following special case(see, 3)

Theorem 14. *Let M be a multiplication R -module. Then, N is a semiprime submodule of M if and only if $r(N) = N$.*

Proof. It is clear that $N \subseteq r(N)$ for any submodule N of M . Let N be a semiprime submodule of M . Let $m \in r(N)$. Then $m^k \subseteq N$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since N is semiprime, $m \in N$. Therefore, $N = r(N)$.

Conversely, let $N = r(N)$. Let $m^n \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since $N = r(N)$, $m \in N$. Therefore, N is semiprime submodule of M . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, D.D.; Smith, Eric, Weakly prime ideals, *Houston J. Math.*, 29, No.4, 831-840 (2003).
- [2] C.P.Lu, Prime submodules of modules, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 33 (1984), no.1, 61 – 69.
- [3] Dauns, J., Prime modules, *J.Reine Angew.Math.*, 298 (1978), 156 – 181.
- [4] Elbast.Z., Smith,P.F., Multiplication modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 16 (4), 1988, 755-779.
- [5] Jenkins,J., Smith,P.F, On the Prime radical of a module over a commutative ring, *Comm. Algebra* 20, 1992, 3593 – 3602.
- [6] R.Ameri, On the prime submodules of multiplication modules, *International journal of Mathematics and mathematical Sciences*, 2003, 27, 1715 – 1725.
- [7] S.Ebrahimi Atani, Multiplication modules and related results, *Archivum Mathematicum*, 2004, 40, 407 – 414.
- [8] S.Ebrahimi Atani, The product of Multiplication submodules, *Honam Mathematical Journal*, 2005,no.1, 1 – 8.
- [9] S.Ebrahimi Atani, F.Farzilipour, On Prime and Primary Submodules, *Chiang. mai.J.Sci.*, 32 (1), 2005, 5 – 9.

Received: September 18, 2006