



# A Unified Presentation of Some Classes of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions

H. M. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria  
 Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4, Canada  
 hmsri@uvvm.uvic.ca

H. M. HOSSEN AND M. K. AOUF  
 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
 University of Mansoura, Mansoura, Egypt  
 simfac@mum.mans.eun.eg

(Received April 1999; accepted May 1999)

**Abstract**—The authors introduce and investigate various properties of a general class

$$\mathcal{U}_k [p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$$

$$(p, k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}; 0 \leq \alpha < p; \beta \geq 0;$$

$$-1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1),$$

which unifies and extends several (known or new) subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions. The properties and characteristics of this general class, which are presented here, include growth and distortion theorems; they also involve Hadamard products (or convolution) of functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{U}_k [p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$ . © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords**—Meromorphic functions,  $p$ -valent functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), Analytic functions, Growth and distortion theorems, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma_{p,k}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{n+p-1}, \quad (p, k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and  $p$ -valent in the punctured unit disk

$$\mathcal{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}.$$

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant OGP0007353.

Many interesting families of analytic and multivalent functions were considered by earlier authors in *Geometric Function Theory* (cf., e.g., [1–3]). For a function  $f(z)$  in  $\Sigma_{p,k}$ , and for fixed parameters  $A$  and  $B$ , with

$$-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, \quad A + B \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < B \leq 1.$$

We say that  $f(z)$  is a member of the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$  if and only if it satisfies the inequality

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z)/f(z)) + p}{B(zf'(z)/f(z)) + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right| < 1, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}^*; 0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (1.2)$$

A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,k}$  is said to belong to the class  $\mathcal{R}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$  if and only if

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]. \quad (1.3)$$

The classes  $\mathcal{Q}_1[p, \alpha, A, B]$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_1[p, 0, A, B]$  were introduced by Aouf [4] and Mogra [5], respectively. Some subclasses of  $\Sigma_{p,k}$  when  $k = p = 1$  were considered by (for example) Miller [6], Pommerenke [7], Clunie [8], and Royster [9]. Furthermore, several subclasses of  $\Sigma_{p,k}$  when  $k = 1$  were studied by (amongst others) Mogra [5,10], Aouf [4,11], and Uralegaddi and Ganigi [12].

Motivated essentially by many of these earlier works, we aim at investigating here various properties and characteristics of the above defined general class

$$\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B], \\ (p, k \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \alpha < p; \beta \geq 0; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1)$$

of meromorphically  $p$ -valent functions in

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}^* \cup \{0\} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

The following result can be proven fairly easily by appealing to the definition of the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$ .

LEMMA 1. Let a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\Sigma_{p,k}$ . If

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) |a_{n+p-1}| \leq D(p, \alpha, A, B) \quad (1.4)$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1),$$

where, for convenience,

$$C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) = (1 + B)(n - 1) + [2p + 2\alpha B + (B + A)(p - \alpha)], \quad (n \geq k) \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$D(p, \alpha, A, B) = (B - A)(p - \alpha), \quad (1.6)$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$ .

Next, by observing that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_k[p, \alpha, A, B] \iff -\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B], \quad (1.7)$$

we arrive at Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2. Let a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\Sigma_{p,k}$ . If

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n+p-1}{p} \right) C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) |a_{n+p-1}| \leq D(p, \alpha, A, B) \tag{1.8}$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1),$$

where  $C(p, \alpha, A, B, n)$  and  $D(p, \alpha, A, B)$  are given by (1.5) and (1.6), respectively, then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$ .

In view of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we define the subclasses  $\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$  and  $\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$  of  $\mathcal{R}_k[p, \alpha, A, B]$  consisting of functions which, respectively, satisfy (1.4) and (1.8). Furthermore, we introduce and investigate the various properties and characteristics of the following general class  $\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$  of functions  $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,k}$  which also satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) \left[ 1 - \beta + \beta \left( \frac{n+p-1}{p} \right) \right] |a_{n+p-1}| \leq D(p, \alpha, A, B), \tag{1.9}$$

$$(0 \leq \alpha < p; \beta \geq 0; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1),$$

where  $C(p, \alpha, A, B, n)$  and  $D(p, \alpha, A, B)$  are given by (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B] = (1 - \beta)\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B] + \beta\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B], \tag{1.10}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, 0, A, B] = \mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B] \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, 1, A, B] = \mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]. \tag{1.12}$$

## 2. GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREMS

THEOREM 1. If a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|z|^p} - \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-1} &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z|^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} - \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-2} &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} + \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*).$

The bounds in (2.1) and (2.2) are attained for the function  $f(z)$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} z^{k+p-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF. Noting that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}| \leq \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta ((k+p-1)/p)]} \tag{2.4}$$

for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{|z|^p} - |z|^{k+p-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|z|^p} - \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{|z|^p} + |z|^{k+p-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_{n+p-1}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z|^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*).$

We also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]}{k+p-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p-1) |a_{n+p-1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) \left[ 1 - \beta + \beta \left( \frac{n+p-1}{p} \right) \right] |a_{n+p-1}| \leq D(p, \alpha, A, B), \quad (\beta \geq 0), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

which readily yields the following distortion inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\geq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} - |z|^{k+p-2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p-1) |a_{n+p-1}| \\ &\geq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} - \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} + |z|^{k+p-2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n+p-1) |a_{n+p-1}| \\ &\leq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} + \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]} |z|^{k+p-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$(\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}^*).$

Now it is easy to see that the bounds in (2.1) and (2.2) are attained for the function  $f(z)$  given by (2.3).

Taking  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 1, we have the following.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|z|^p} - \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-1} \leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z|^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-1}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}^*) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} - \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-2} \leq |f'(z)| \\ & \leq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} + \frac{(k+p-1)D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-2}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The bounds in (2.10) and (2.11) are attained for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} z^{k+p-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

Letting  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 1, we have the following.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If a function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|z|^p} - \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{(k+p-1)C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-1} \leq |f(z)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|z|^p} + \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{(k+p-1)C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-1}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}^*) \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} - \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-2} \leq |f'(z)| \\ & \leq \frac{p}{|z|^{p+1}} + \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} |z|^{k+p-2}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

The bounds in (2.13) and (2.14) are attained for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{(k+p-1)C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} z^{k+p-1}. \tag{2.15}$$

### 3. CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES

For functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1, j} z^{n+p-1}, \quad (j = 1, 2) \tag{3.1}$$

belonging to the class  $\Sigma_{p, k}$ , we denote by  $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$  the convolution (or Hadamard product) of the functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$ , that is,

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) := \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1, 1} a_{n+p-1, 2} z^{n+p-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$ . Then*

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{U}_k[p, \gamma, \beta, A, B],$$

where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)] + \{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \tag{3.3}$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k) [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)]} z^{k+p-1}, \quad (j = 1, 2). \tag{3.4}$$

PROOF. In order to prove Theorem 2, we must find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{C(p, \gamma, A, B; n) [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]}{D(p, \gamma, A, B)} |a_{n+p-1,1}| |a_{n+p-1,2}| \leq 1 \quad (3.5)$$

for  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{U}_k[p, \gamma, \beta, A, B]$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Since  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), we readily see that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]}{D(p, \alpha, A, B)} |a_{n+p-1,j}| \leq 1, \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]}{D(p, \alpha, A, B)} \sqrt{|a_{n+p-1,1}| |a_{n+p-1,2}|} \leq 1. \quad (3.7)$$

This implies that we need only show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C(p, \gamma, A, B; n)}{p - \gamma} |a_{n+p-1,1}| |a_{n+p-1,2}| \\ & \leq \frac{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)}{p - \alpha} \sqrt{|a_{n+p-1,1}| |a_{n+p-1,2}|}, \quad (n \geq k) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

or equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n+p-1,1}| |a_{n+p-1,2}|} \leq \frac{(p - \gamma)C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)}{(p - \alpha)C(p, \gamma, A, B; n)}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, by the inequality (3.7), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]} \leq \frac{(p - \gamma)C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)}{(p - \alpha)C(p, \gamma, A, B; n)}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{(B - A)(1 + B)(n + p - 1)(p - \alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)] + \{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.11)$$

Now, defining the function  $\varphi(n)$  by

$$\varphi(n) := p - \frac{(B - A)(1 + B)(n + 2p - 1)(p - \alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)] + \{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.12)$$

We see that  $\varphi(n)$  is an increasing function of  $n$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\gamma \leq \varphi(k) = p - \frac{(B - A)(1 + B)(k + 2p - 1)(p - \alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)] + \{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Letting  $\beta = 0$  in Theorem 2, we arrive at Corollary 3.

COROLLARY 3. Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ . Then

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \gamma, A, B],$$

where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{(B - A)(1 + B)(k + 2p - 1)(p - \alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 + \{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \quad (3.14)$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{D(p, \alpha, A, B)}{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} z^{k+p-1}, \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (3.15)$$

Putting  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 2, we have Corollary 4.

COROLLARY 4. Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ . Then

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \gamma, A, B],$$

where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{p(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{(k+p-1)\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 + p\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{pD(p, \alpha, A, B)}{(k+p-1)C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)} z^{k+p-1}, \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (3.17)$$

Finally, we prove the following.

THEOREM 3. Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$ . Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) := \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (a_{n+p-1,1}^2 + a_{n+p-1,2}^2) z^{n+p-1} \quad (3.18)$$

belongs to the class  $\mathcal{U}_k[p, \gamma, \beta, A, B]$ , where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{2(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)] + 2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) given by (3.4).

PROOF. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta(n+p-1)/p]^2}{\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2} |a_{n+p-1,j}|^2 \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n) [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]}{D(p, \alpha, A, B)} |a_{n+p-1,j}| \right)^2 \leq 1, \quad (j = 1, 2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for  $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{U}_k[p, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]^2}{2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2} |a_{n+p-1,1}^2 + a_{n+p-1,2}^2| \leq 1. \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, we have to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\frac{C(p, \gamma, A, B; n)}{p - \gamma} \leq \frac{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)]}{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)^2}, \quad (n \geq k), \quad (3.22)$$

that is, that

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{2(B-A)(1+B)(n+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)] + 2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.23)$$

Now, defining a function  $\psi(n)$  by

$$\psi(n) := p - \frac{2(B-A)(1+B)(n+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; n)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((n+p-1)/p)] + 2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (n \geq k). \quad (3.24)$$

We observe that  $\psi(n)$  is an increasing function of  $n$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$\gamma \leq \psi(k) = p - \frac{2(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 [1 - \beta + \beta((k+p-1)/p)] + 2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}, \quad (3.25)$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

By setting  $\beta = 0$ , Theorem 3 leads us to Corollary 5.

COROLLARY 5. Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ . Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by (3.18) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{Q}_k^*[p, \gamma, A, B]$ , where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{2(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 + 2\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \quad (3.26)$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) given by (3.15).

Letting  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 3, we have the following.

COROLLARY 6. Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \alpha, A, B]$ . Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by (3.18) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{R}_k^*[p, \gamma, A, B]$ , where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{2p(B-A)(1+B)(k+2p-1)(p-\alpha)^2}{(k+p-1)\{C(p, \alpha, A, B; k)\}^2 + 2p\{D(p, \alpha, A, B)\}^2}. \quad (3.27)$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) given by (3.17).

Many of our results in this paper, (especially Corollaries 1 to 6) would simplify considerably when we set

$$A = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad B = 1.$$

The details involved in the derivation of these and other special cases of our results may be left as an exercise for the interested reader.

## REFERENCES

1. S.R. Kulkarni, U.H. Naik and H.M. Srivastava, A certain class of meromorphically  $p$ -valent quasi-convex functions, *Pan Amer. Math. J.* **8** (1), 57–64, (1998).
2. S. Owa, M. Nunokawa and H.M. Srivastava, A certain class of multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **10** (2), 7–10, (1997).
3. H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1992).
4. M.K. Aouf, A generalization of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Japon.* **35**, 609–614, (1990).
5. M.L. Mogra, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, I, *Math. Japon.* **35**, 1–11, (1990).
6. J.E. Miller, Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25**, 220–228, (1970).
7. Ch. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, *Pacific J. Math.* **13**, 221–235, (1963).
8. J. Clunie, On meromorphic schlicht functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **34**, 215–216, (1959).
9. W.C. Royster, Meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **107**, 300–308, (1963).
10. M.L. Mogra, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, II, *Math. Japon.* **35**, 1089–1098, (1990).
11. M.K. Aouf, On a class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Japon.* **35**, 603–608, (1990).
12. B.A. Uralegaddi and M.D. Ganigi, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Nepali Math. Sci. Rep.* **11**, 95–102, (1986).