

February 2006

# An Algorithm to Construct Gröbner Bases for Solving Integration by Parts Relations

A.V. Smirnov<sup>1</sup>

Scientific Research Computing Center of Moscow State University

Abstract

This paper is a detailed description of an algorithm based on a generalized Buchberger algorithm for constructing Gröbner-type bases associated with polynomials of shift operators. The algorithm is used for calculating Feynman integrals and has proven itself efficient in several complicated cases.

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: [asmirnov@rdm.ru](mailto:asmirnov@rdm.ru)

Supported in part by RFBR, grant 05-01-00988

# 1 Introduction

Feynman integrals over loop momenta are building blocks of quantum-theoretical amplitudes in the framework of perturbation theory. After a tensor reduction based on some projectors a given Feynman graph generates various scalar Feynman integrals that have the same structure of the integrand with various distributions of powers of propagators which we shall also call *indices*:

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \int \dots \int \frac{d^d k_1 \dots d^d k_h}{E_1^{a_1} \dots E_n^{a_n}}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , are loop momenta and the denominators  $E_r$  are either quadratic or linear with respect to the loop momenta  $p_i = k_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , or independent external momenta  $p_{h+1} = q_1, \dots, p_{h+N} = q_N$  of the graph. (Linear propagators appear naturally in effective field theories and in asymptotic expansions of Feynman integrals in various limits.)

Irreducible polynomials in the numerator can be represented as denominators raised to negative powers. Usual prescriptions  $k^2 = k^2 + i0$ , etc. are implied. The dimensional regularization [1] with  $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$  is assumed. The Feynman integrals are functions of the masses,  $m_i$ , and kinematic invariants,  $q_i \cdot q_j$ . However, we shall omit this dependence because we shall pay special attention to the dependence on the indices. We shall also omit the dependence on  $d$ .

At the modern level of perturbative calculations, when one needs to evaluate millions of Feynman integrals (1), a well-known optimal strategy here is to derive, without calculation, and then apply some relations between the given family of Feynman integrals as *recurrence relations*. A well-known standard way to obtain such relations is provided by the method of integration by parts (IBP) [2]. Practically, one starts from IBP relations

$$\int \dots \int d^d k_1 d^d k_2 \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i} \left( p_j \frac{1}{E_1^{a_1} \dots E_n^{a_n}} \right) = 0. \quad (2)$$

After the differentiation, resulting scalar products,  $k_i \cdot k_j$  and  $k_i \cdot q_j$  are expressed in terms of the factors in the denominator, and one arrives at IBP relations which can be written as

$$\sum c_i F(a_1 + b_{i,1}, \dots, a_n + b_{i,n}) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $b_{i,j}$  are integer,  $c_i$  are polynomials in  $a_j$ ,  $d$ , masses  $m_i$  and kinematic invariants, and  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  are Feynman integrals (1) of the given family.

One tries to use IBP relations in order to express a general dimensionally regularized integral of the given family as a linear combination of some ‘irreducible’ integrals which are also called *master* integrals. There are several recent attempts to make this reduction procedure systematic, in particular, the so-called Laporta’s algorithm [3, 4] (There is a public version of implementing the corresponding algorithm on a

computer [5].) and Baikov's method (see [6, 7] and chapter 6 of [8]). Another activity in this direction is connected with the use of Gröbner bases [9]. The first variant of this approach was suggested in [10], where IBP relations were reduced to differential equations. First attempts to use directly the non-commutative Gröbner bases in the algebra generated by shift operators were made in [11, 12]. In the previous paper [13] (see also [14] for a brief review) we presented another approach based on Gröbner bases. Its specifics lies in using more information on the given family of Feynman integrals, in particular the boundary conditions, i.e. the conditions of the following form:

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0 \text{ when } a_{i_1} < 0, \dots, a_{i_k} < 0 \quad (4)$$

for some set of indices  $i_j$  (for example, we always have  $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$  if all  $a_i$  are non-positive). Since that time the algorithm has been made more efficient by introducing the so-called s-form. Moreover, the algorithm has been made about ten times faster. Here it will be described in details (the paper [13] was designed to give an introduction to the method and not all definitions were formal).

## 2 Preliminaries

To describe the algorithm we have to introduce some notation. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the field of rational functions of physical variables  $m_i$ ,  $q_i \cdot q_j$ ,  $d$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra<sup>2</sup> over  $\mathcal{K}$  generated by elements  $Y_i$ ,  $Y_i^-$  and  $A_i$  with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i Y_j &= Y_j Y_i, & A_i A_j &= A_j A_i, & Y_i A_j &= A_j Y_i + \delta_{i,j} Y_i, \\ Y_i^- Y_j^- &= Y_j^- Y_i^-, & Y_i^- Y_j &= Y_j Y_i^-, & Y_i^- A_j &= A_j Y_i^- - \delta_{i,j} Y_i, & Y_i^- Y_i &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\delta_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. For convenience we will write  $(Y_i^-)^k = Y_i^{-k}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the field of functions of  $n$  integer arguments  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on this field<sup>3</sup>, where

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_i \cdot F)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= F(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n), \\ (A_i \cdot F)(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &= a_i F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The left-hand sides of relations (3) can be represented as elements of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  applied to  $F$ ; we will denote these elements by  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . Now, for  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  defined by (1), we have

$$f_i \cdot F = 0 \text{ or } (f_i \cdot F)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad (7)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>An algebra over a field is a vector space over this field and a ring at the same time.

<sup>3</sup>(i) for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have an element  $a \cdot f \in \mathcal{F}$ ; (ii) for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $(a + b) \cdot (f + g) = a \cdot f + a \cdot g + b \cdot f + b \cdot g$ ; (iii) for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $(ab) \cdot f = a \cdot (b \cdot f)$ .

for all  $i$ . Let us generate a (left) ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  by the elements  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . We will call  $\mathcal{I}$  the *ideal of the IBP relations*. Obviously,

$$f \cdot F = 0, \text{ or } (f \cdot F)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (8)$$

Let us consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  with elements  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  where all  $c_i$  are equal to 1 or  $-1$ . The elements of this set will be called *directions*. For any direction  $\nu = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  we will consider a region  $\sigma_\nu = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) : (a_i - 1/2)c_i > 0\}$  and call it *a sector*. Obviously the union of all sectors contains all the integer points in the  $n$ -dimensional vector space and the intersection of any two sectors is an empty set. For a sector  $\sigma_\nu$  we will say that its direction is  $\nu$ .

We will say that an element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  is written in the *proper form* if it is represented as

$$X = \sum r_j(A_1, \dots, A_n) \prod_i Y_i^{d_{i,j}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $r_j$  are polynomials (with coefficients in  $\mathcal{K}$ ) and  $d_{i,j}$  are integers. (So, all the operators  $A_i$  are placed on the left from the operators  $Y_i$ .) Obviously any element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  has a unique proper form. We will say that an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *monomial* if in its proper form only one coefficient function  $r_j$  is non-zero.

Let  $\mathbb{N}^n = \{(b_1, \dots, b_n)\}$  where all  $b_i$  are integers and  $b_i \geq 0$ . This is a semi-group (with respect to  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) + (b'_1, \dots, b'_n) = (b_1 + b'_1, \dots, b_n + b'_n)$ ). We will say that an ordering on  $\mathbb{N}^n$  is *proper* if

- i) for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}^n$  not equal to  $(0, \dots, 0)$  one has  $a \succ (0, \dots, 0)$
- ii) for any  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}^n$  one has  $a \succ b$  if and only if  $a + c \succ b + c$ .

Let us fix a direction  $\nu = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  We will say that the  $\nu$ -*degree* of a monomial  $r(A_1, \dots, A_n) \prod_i Y_i^{d_i}$  is  $\{d_1 c_1, \dots, d_n c_n\}$  if all the products  $d_i c_i$  are non-negative and undefined otherwise.

Let us choose a proper ordering. Take an element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and write it in its proper form. Consider the set of  $\nu$ -degrees of all the monomials in the decomposition of  $X$ . If this set is empty (all the degrees are undefined) we will say that the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X$  is undefined as well, otherwise we will say that  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X$  is the highest element of that set in terms of the fixed ordering.

We will say that a direction  $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  is lower than  $\{c'_1, \dots, c'_n\}$  if  $c_1 \leq c'_1, \dots, c_n \leq c'_n$  and they are not equal. The same is said about the corresponding sectors. We will say that a sector  $\sigma$  is *trivial* if all the integrals  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  are zero for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma$  due to boundary conditions (4). The same will be said about the direction of the sector.

### 3 The s-reduction algorithm

Suppose that for each non-trivial sector  $\sigma_\nu$  we are given a finite basis  $\{X_{\nu,1}, \dots, X_{\nu,k_\nu}\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  and an ordering.

We are going to describe an algorithm called s-reduction.

Input: a linear combination of integrals  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Output: another linear combination of integrals containing the integrals that could not be reduced by these bases (an integral is called  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  *irreducible* for the given sets of orderings and bases if the  $\nu$ -reduction of  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  returns  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $\nu$  is such a direction that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_\nu$ ).

If one chooses properly the orderings and the elements  $X$  then there is a finite number of irreducible integrals, therefore the s-reduction will always output a combination of them but such a choice is a non-trivial procedure. The algorithm that might construct such elements will be described in the next section. But first we have to explain what s-reduction is. Some examples of the bases can be found at [http://www.srcc.msu.ru/nivc/about/lab/lab4\\_2/index\\_eng.htm](http://www.srcc.msu.ru/nivc/about/lab/lab4_2/index_eng.htm)

*S-Reduction*

1.  $L = \text{Input}$ ;  $M = 0$ .
2. **While**  $L \neq 0$ 
  3. Let  $S$  be the set of sectors that contain a point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  where  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  has a non-zero coefficient in the decomposition of  $L$ .
  4. Let  $\nu$  be a direction such that  $\sigma_\nu \in S$  and there is no other sector  $\sigma_{\nu'} \in S$  such that  $\sigma_\nu$  is lower than  $\sigma_{\nu'}$ .
  5. Let  $L = L_1 + L_2$  where  $L_1$  contains those and only those  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  where  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_\nu$ .
  6.  $N = \nu$ -reduction of  $L_1$  by  $\{X_{\nu,1}, \dots, X_{\nu,k_\nu}\}$  (to be described below)
  7. Let  $N = N_1 + N_2$  where  $N_1$  contains those and only those  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  where  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_\nu$ .
  8.  $L = L_2 + N_2$ ;  $M = M + N_1$ .
9. Output= $M$

In a few words we are using the  $\nu$ -reduction of  $X$  starting from higher sectors. Basically, it is a formalization of the standard method people use to solve the IBP relations “by hand”. Now we are going to describe what  $\nu$ -reduction is.

*$\nu$ -reduction*

Input: direction  $\nu$ ; an element  $L$  that is a linear combination of integrals  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  such that all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_\nu$ ; a finite basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ .

Output: another linear combination of integrals  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  such that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \sigma_{\nu'}$  where  $\nu' = \nu$  or  $\nu'$  is lower than  $\nu$ .

1. Let  $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \{(c_1 + 1)/2, \dots, (c_n + 1)/2\}$ , where  $\nu = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ .
2. Set  $Y = 0$ .
3. Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  be the element obtained by replacing  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $\prod_i Y_i^{a_i - p_i}$  in  $L$ . One has  $L = (X \cdot F)(p)$ .
4. **While**  $X \neq 0$ 
  5. Let  $U$  be the highest term of  $X$ ,  $U = C \prod_i Y_i^{d_i c_i}$ .

6. For all possible products  $T = (\prod_i Y_i^{x_i}) \cdot X_j$  such that the proper form of  $T$  has a non-zero coefficient at  $\prod_i Y_i^{d_i c_i}$ 
  - Do** (there is a finite number of possibilities)
    7. Replace all  $A_i$  in the proper form of  $T$  with  $p_i$ .
    8. If for some  $j$  such that  $c_j = -1$  there is a term of  $T$  with  $Y_j$  in a positive degree then continue the cycle with the next element.
    9. If the coefficient  $C'$  of  $T$  at  $\prod_i Y_i^{d_i c_i}$  is equal to zero then continue the cycle with the next element.
    10. Take  $Z = X - (C/C')T$ . Let  $Z_1$  be the  $\nu$ -sector part of  $Z$  (the sum of monomials in the decomposition of  $Z$  that have a defined degree) and  $Z_2 = Z - Z_1$ .
    11. If the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $Z_1$  is lower than the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X$  then replace  $X$  with  $Z_1$ ,  $Y$  with  $Y + Z_2$  and go to the start of the While cycle (step 4).
  - 12. Replace  $X$  with  $X - U$  and  $Y$  with  $Y + U$
13. Return  $(Y \cdot F)(p)$

The idea of the algorithm is to represent the given linear combination as an element  $X$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  being applied to  $F$  and the value being taken in the corner of the sector. Then one tries all possible transformation of the obtained element that lower the  $\nu$ -degree of  $X$  (this is a generalization of the standard reduction procedure). The point is that through all the algorithm the value  $((X + Y) \cdot F)(p)$  is not changed. This is based on the fact that  $(Z \cdot F)(p) = 0$  for any  $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ . Note that the elements  $X$  and  $Y$  in their proper forms do not contain the operators  $A_i$  (because of the replacement in step 7). Therefore in step 9 we have  $C' \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $C' \neq 0$ , so the division is possible. The step 12 is the place where the terms that cannot be reduced are moved from  $X$  to  $Y$ . If the basis is chosen properly, those terms will correspond to a finite number of master integrals.

## 4 Constructing s-bases

Let us fix a non-trivial direction  $\nu = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  and let  $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \{(c_1 + 1)/2, \dots, (c_n + 1)/2\}$ . Our task is to construct an *s-basis* for this direction, i.e. such a basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  that the  $\nu$ -reduction for this sector has only a finite number of irreducible integrals.

The relations (3) give us a basis of  $\mathcal{I}$  but generally it is not an s-basis. Moreover, one has to choose an appropriate ordering.

We are going to describe an algorithm that takes the relations (3) as input and aims to construct an s-basis. The results of the algorithm greatly depend on the choice of the ordering and in complicated cases the proper choice seems to be unique. Now suppose we have fixed an ordering and an initial basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ . Let us describe our algorithm.

First of all let us define the  $s$ -form of an element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  (note that this definition and some more definitions below depend on the choice of the direction  $\nu$ , but it is fixed in this section). So, the  $s$ -form of an element  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  is an element  $T$  of the form  $(\prod_i Y_i^{x_i}) \cdot X$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i) The  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $T$  is defined and for any integer  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  such that  $y_1 c_1 \geq 0, \dots, y_n c_n \geq 0$  the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $(\prod_i Y_i^{y_i}) \cdot T$  is equal to the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $T$  plus  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ;
- (ii) The  $\nu$ -highest coefficient of  $(\prod_i Y_i^{y_i}) \cdot T$  does not vanish when  $A_1 = p_1, \dots, A_n = p_n$  for all integer  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  such that  $y_1 c_1 \geq 0, \dots, y_n c_n \geq 0$ ;
- (iii) For all  $j$  such that  $c_j = -1$  the degrees of  $Y_i$  in the proper form of  $T$  are non-positive;
- (iv) The numbers  $(c_1 x_1, \dots, c_n x_n)$  are minimal possible for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying the properties (i)-(iii).

Let us reformulate this definition less formally. The properties (i), (ii) mean that this element has “enough” terms whose degrees lie in the sector  $\sigma_\nu$ . It is needed so that this element can be used for the  $\nu$ -reduction. The property (iii) means that if  $c_j = 1$  then both  $Y_j$  and  $Y_j^-$  can appear in the  $s$ -form, but if  $c_j = -1$  then only  $Y_j^-$  can. The meaning of this requirement is to control that using this element for  $\nu$ -reduction we will not obtain elements that lie in sectors higher than  $\nu$ .

Through all the algorithm we will store a basis of  $\mathcal{I}$  consisting of elements in the  $s$ -form. Let us describe how the reduction of an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  via a basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  works.

1.  $Y = s$ -form of Input.
2. If  $Y = 0$  then Return 0.
3. For all  $j$  such that all the numbers  $d_i - d'_i$  are positive, where  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $Y$  and  $(d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  is the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X_j$ 
  - Do**
  - 4. Let  $Z = C' Y - C \prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d'_i)} \cdot X_j$ , where  $C$  is the  $\nu$ -highest coefficient of  $Y$  and  $C'$  is the  $\nu$ -highest coefficient of  $(\prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d'_i)}) \cdot X_j$ .
  - 5.  $Z = s$ -form of  $Z$ .
  - 6. If the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $Z$  is lower than  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $Y$  then replace  $Y$  with  $Z$  and Go to step 2.
8. Return  $Y$ .

It is easy to see that this reduction stops after a finite number of steps (there can't be an infinite sequence of decreasing degrees). Basically, this procedure is close to the standard reduction procedure in the Buchberger algorithm. The difference is the usage of  $s$ -forms and the fact that the elements  $Y_j$  can have both positive and negative degrees.

Now we can describe the main algorithm. As it has been said earlier, it starts from a basis of  $\mathcal{I}$ , moreover, all elements are taken in their  $s$ -forms. The goal of the

algorithm is to construct another basis such that the  $\nu$ -reduction for this sector has only a finite number of unreducible integrals. Therefore, after an element is added to the basis or a basis element is replaced we are performing a test to verify this condition (Completion Criteria). It consists of checking, whether for any  $j$  there is such  $m$  that for all integer  $l \geq m$  the element  $F(p_1, \dots, p_{j-1}, p_j + lc_j, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_n)$  can be reduced via this basis in the  $\nu$ -reduction algorithm.

Here is the main algorithm:

1. **While** not Completion Criteria
  2. If there is an element in the basis that can be reduced by some other element, replace it with the result of the reduction and restart the cycle.
  3. Choose a pair of elements of the basis  $X'$  and  $X''$ .
  4. Choose the smallest possible integers  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  such that  $d_j \geq d'_j$  and  $d_j \geq d''_j$ , where  $(d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$  is the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X'$  and  $(d''_1, \dots, d''_n)$  is the  $\nu$ -highest degree of  $X''$ .
  5. Evaluate  $Z = C''' \prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d'_i)} \cdot X' - C' \prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d''_i)} \cdot X''$ , where  $C'$  is the  $\nu$ -highest coefficient of  $(\prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d'_i)}) \cdot X'$  and  $C'''$  is the  $\nu$ -highest coefficient of  $(\prod_i Y_i^{c_i(d_i - d''_i)}) \cdot X''$ , — the s-polynomial of  $X'$  and  $X''$ .
  6.  $Z = \text{Reduction of } Z$ .
  7. If  $Z \neq 0$  then add  $Z$  to the basis.

When implementing the present algorithm it is natural to store the information about the pairs where the s-polynomials have been evaluated to avoid repeating the same calculations. Of course, the choice performed at line 3 might be different, and the algorithm effectiveness greatly depends on this choice. One more improvement of the algorithm is the use of symmetries of the diagram. Instead of evaluating an s-polynomial one might take an element symmetric to some element of the basis and reduce it the same way. All this is realized by introducing a function on the set of pairs of elements of the basis and another one on the set of all possible symmetric element to the elements of the basis. So, at line 3 we are choosing such an element to evaluate, that the value of this *choice function* is minimal. Currently the algorithm uses the choice function intended to minimize the degree of the resulting element, and it already makes the algorithm effective. The work on finding the optimal choice functions is in progress.

## 5 Conclusion

The algorithm described above is close to the Buchberger algorithm, but has a significant difference. The main problem is that generally one can have two elements of the same degree that cannot be reduced one by another in this algorithm. Therefore, the standard proof that the algorithm has to stop at some point does not work here. To prove that this algorithm stops for any given family of Feynman integrals is an open



problem which, hopefully, will be solved in the nearest future. On the other hand, the present algorithm appears to be much more efficient to solve reduction problems for Feynman integrals than more or less straightforward generalization of the Buchberger algorithm (see, e.g., [11]). This was demonstrated in [13] where the reduction problem was solved for Feynman integrals relevant to the two- and three-loop static quark potential, with the number of indices  $n = 7$ . (In two loops, well-known results [15] were reproduced.) New results obtained with the help of this algorithm, in cases with the number of indices  $n = 9$ , will be published soon [16]. Preliminary analysis shows that the algorithm can work successfully in problems with the number of indices up to  $n = 12$ . The extension to more complicated problems will, of course, require its modifications, in various places.

An implementation of the s-reduction part of the algorithm in Mathematica can be found at [http://www.srcc.msu.ru/nivc/about/lab/lab4\\_2/index\\_eng.htm](http://www.srcc.msu.ru/nivc/about/lab/lab4_2/index_eng.htm) together with pedagogical examples and at least the s-bases that have been constructed for the problems considered in [13].

*Acknowledgments.* I am grateful to V. Smirnov for having given me the idea to apply the theory of Gröbner bases to evaluating Feynman integrals, for constant testing of my algorithm and for permanent support in my work. I am grateful to A. Grozin, A. Onishchenko and M. Steinhauser for reading my paper and giving useful advice and comments.

## References

- [1] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 44** (1972) 189; C.G. Bollini and J.J. Giambiagi, *Dimensional renormalization: the number of dimensions as a regularizing Parameter*, *Nuovo Cim.* **12 B** (1972) 20.
- [2] K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, *Integration by parts: the algorithm to calculate beta functions in 4 loops*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 192** (1981) 159.
- [3] S. Laporta, *High-precision calculation of multi-loop Feynman integrals by difference equations*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 15** (2000) 5087 [hep-ph/0102033].
- [4] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Two-loop master integrals for  $\gamma^* \rightarrow 3$  jets: the planar topologies*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 601** (2001) 248 [hep-ph/0008287]; T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Two-loop master integrals for  $\gamma^* \rightarrow 3$  jets: the non-planar topologies*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 601** (2001) 287 [hep-ph/0101124].
- [5] C. Anastasiou and A. Lazopoulos, *Automatic integral reduction for higher order perturbative calculations*, *JHEP* **0407** (2004) 046 [hep-ph/0404258].

- [6] P.A. Baikov, *Explicit solutions of the 3-loop vacuum integral recurrence relations*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 385** (1996) 404 [hep-ph/9603267]; *Explicit solutions of the multi-loop integral recurrence relations and its application*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* **389** (1997) 347 [hep-ph/9611449].
- [7] V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Solving recurrence relations for multi-loop Feynman integrals*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 672** (2003) 199 [hep-ph/0307088].
- [8] V.A. Smirnov, *Evaluating Feynman integrals*, (STMP **211**; Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2004).
- [9] B. Buchberger and F. Winkler (eds.) *Gröbner Bases and Applications*, (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [10] O.V. Tarasov, *Reduction of Feynman graph amplitudes to a minimal set of basic integrals*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B 29** (1998) 2655 [hep-ph/9812250]; *Computation of Gröbner bases for two-loop propagator type integrals*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **534** (2004) 293 [hep-ph/0403253].
- [11] V.P. Gerdt, *Gröbner bases in perturbative calculations*, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl)*, **135** (2004) 2320 [hep-ph/0501053].
- [12] V.P. Gerdt and D. Robertz, *A Maple package for computing Gröbner bases for linear recurrence relations* [cs.SC/0509070].
- [13] A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, *Applying Gröbner bases to solve reduction problems for Feynman integrals*, *JHEP* **01** (2005) 001 [hep-lat/0509187].
- [14] V.A. Smirnov, *Some recent results on evaluating Feynman integrals*, hep-ph/0601268.
- [15] M. Peter, *The static quark-antiquark potential in QCD to three loops*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 602 [hep-ph/9610209]; *The static potential in QCD: a full two-loop calculation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 501** (1997) 471 [hep-ph/9702245]; Y. Schröder, *The two-loop static potential*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 447** (1999) 321 [hep-ph/9909520]; B.A. Kniehl, A.A. Penin, V.A. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser, *Nonabelian  $\alpha(s)^3/(m(q)r^2)$  heavy-quark-antiquark potential*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 65** (2002) 091503 [hep-ph/0106135].
- [16] A.G. Grozin, A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, to be published.