

**SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS  
FOR STRONGLY CARATHÉODORY FUNCTIONS**

HITOSHI SHIRAISHI, SHIGEYOSHI OWA, AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. Applying the subordination principle for analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , I. H. Kim and N. E. Cho (Comput. Math. Appl. **59**(2010), 2067-2073) considered some sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions. The purpose of this paper is to discuss some sufficient conditions for the class of strongly Carathéodory functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$  denote the class of functions  $p(z)$  of the form

$$p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , where  $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$  satisfies

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real  $\mu$  ( $0 < \mu \leq 1$ ), then we say that  $p(z)$  is the strongly Carathéodory function of order  $\mu$  and written by  $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$ .

Also, let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

that are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}_1$ .

Further, let the class  $\mathcal{STS}(\mu)$  of  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  be defined by

$$\mathcal{STS}(\mu) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n : \left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\mu, 0 < \mu \leq 1 \right\}$$

and  $\mathcal{S}^* \equiv \mathcal{STS}(1)$ . This class  $\mathcal{STS}(\mu)$  was considered by Shiraishi and Owa [1] and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$  is said to be the strongly starlike function of order  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then  $f(z)$  is said to be subordinate to  $g(z)$  if there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  satisfying  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) and such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic function, subordination principle, strongly starlike function, strongly Carathéodory function.

In particular, if  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then the subordination

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$  (cf. [3]).

Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  the class of functions  $q(z)$  that are analytic and injective on  $\overline{\mathbb{U}} \setminus \mathbb{E}(q)$ , where

$$\mathbb{E}(q) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \{q(z)\} = \infty \right\},$$

and such that  $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$  ( $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{E}(q)$ ).

Futher, let the subclass of  $\mathcal{Q}$  for which  $q(0) = a_0$  be denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}(a_0)$ .

To discuss our problems, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [3].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}(a_0)$  and let  $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a_0, n]$  with  $h(z) \neq a_0$ . If  $h(z) \not\prec q(z)$ , then there exist points  $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \mathbb{E}(q)$  for which*

$$h(z_0) = q(\zeta_0)$$

and

$$z_0 h'(z_0) = m \zeta_0 q'(\zeta_0) \quad (m \geq n \geq 1).$$

## 2. CONDITIONS FOR THE STRONGLY CARATHÉODORY FUNCTION

Applying Lemma 1, we derive

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with*

$$A = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{ \operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \cos \alpha - |\operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \sin \alpha| \} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1)$$

for some  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 1$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + g(z)z p'(z)) > \frac{1}{2nA} ((\cos \alpha + 2nA) \sin^2 \alpha - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - |\alpha| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

*Proof.* First, let us define the function  $h_1(z)$  by

$$h_1(z) = e^{i\alpha} p(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (2)$$

and the function  $q_1(z)$  by

$$q_1(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha} + \overline{e^{i\alpha}} z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3)$$

for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Then, we see that  $h_1(z)$  and  $q_1(z)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$h_1(0) = q_1(0) = e^{i\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$q_1(\mathbb{U}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(w) > 0\}.$$

Now we suppose that  $h_1(z)$  is not subordinate to  $q_1(z)$ . Then, Lemma 1 shows us that, there exist points  $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$  such that

$$h_1(z_1) = q_1(\zeta_1) = i\rho_1 \quad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$z_1 h_1'(z_1) = m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) \quad (m \geq n \geq 1). \quad (5)$$

Here we note that

$$\zeta_1 = q_1^{-1}(h_1(z_1)) = \frac{h_1(z_1) - e^{i\alpha}}{h_1(z_1) + e^{i\alpha}} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) = -\frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} \equiv \sigma_1(\rho_1) < 0. \quad (7)$$

For such  $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(p(z_1) + g(z_1)z_1 p'(z_1)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha} h_1(z_1) + g(z_1) e^{-i\alpha} z_1 h_1'(z_1)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha} q_1(\zeta_1) + g(z_1) e^{-i\alpha} m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha} i\rho_1 + g(z_1) e^{-i\alpha} m\sigma_1(\rho_1)) \\ &= \rho_1 \sin \alpha + m(\operatorname{Re}(g(z_1)) \cos \alpha - \operatorname{Im}(g(z_1)) \sin \alpha) \sigma_1(\rho_1) \\ &\leq \rho_1 \sin \alpha + nA \sigma_1(\rho_1) \\ &= \rho_1 \sin \alpha - nA \frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} \\ &= -\frac{nA}{2 \cos \alpha} \left( \rho_1 - \frac{\sin \alpha (\cos \alpha + nA)}{nA} \right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha (\cos \alpha + 2nA) - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha}{2nA} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2nA} (\sin^2 \alpha (\cos \alpha + 2nA) - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is given by (1). This evidently contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1. Therefore we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(h_1(z)) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (8)$$

for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Next, let us put

$$h_2(z) = e^{-i\alpha} p(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (9)$$

and

$$q_2(z) = \frac{e^{-i\alpha} + \overline{e^{-i\alpha}} z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (10)$$

for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Then, we see that the functions  $h_2(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$h_2(0) = q_2(0) = e^{-i\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$$

and

$$q_2(\mathbb{U}) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(w) > 0\} = q_1(\mathbb{U}).$$

If we suppose that  $h_2(z)$  is not subordinate to  $q_2(z)$ , then Lemma 1 gives us that, there exist points  $z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$  such that

$$h_2(z_2) = q_2(\zeta_2) = i\rho_2 \quad (\rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}) \quad (11)$$

and

$$z_2 h_2'(z_2) = m\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2) \quad (m \geq n \geq 1). \quad (12)$$

Futher, we note that

$$\zeta_2 = q_2^{-1}(h_2(z_2)) = \frac{h_2(z_2) - e^{-i\alpha}}{h_2(z_2) + e^{-i\alpha}} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2) = -\frac{\rho_2^2 + 2\rho_2 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} \equiv \sigma_2(\rho_2) < 0. \quad (14)$$

For such  $z_2 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re}(p(z_2) + g(z_2)z_2 p'(z_2)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} h_2(z_2) + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} z_2 h_2'(z_2)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} q_2(\zeta_2) + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} m\zeta_2 q_2'(\zeta_2)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} i\rho_2 + g(z_2)e^{i\alpha} m\sigma_2(\rho_2)) \\ &= -\rho_2 \sin \alpha + m(\operatorname{Re}(g(z_2)) \cos \alpha + \operatorname{Im}(g(z_2)) \sin \alpha) \sigma_2(\rho_2) \\ &\leq -\rho_2 \sin \alpha + nA \sigma_2(\rho_2) \\ &= -\rho_2 \sin \alpha - nA \frac{\rho_2^2 + 2\rho_2 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} \\ &= -\frac{nA}{2 \cos \alpha} \left( \rho_2 + \frac{\sin \alpha (\cos \alpha + nA)}{nA} \right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha (\cos \alpha + 2nA) - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha}{2nA} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2nA} (\sin^2 \alpha (\cos \alpha + 2nA) - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is given by (1). This also contradicts the assumption of Theorem 1. Therefore we have that

$$\operatorname{Re}(h_2(z)) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha} p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (15)$$

for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Hence, combining inequalities (8) and (15), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

Taking  $\frac{\pi}{2}\mu = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$  ( $0 < \mu \leq 1$ ) in Theorem 1, we obtain

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with*

$$A = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\mu - \left| \operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \right| \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 1$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + g(z)z p'(z)) > \frac{1}{2nA} \left( \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\mu + 2nA \right) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2}\mu - n^2 A^2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\mu \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then  $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$

Putting

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + na_{n+1}z^n + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  in Corollary 1, we have

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with*

$$A = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu - \left| \operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \mu \right| \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  satisfies  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + g(z) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) \\ & > \frac{1}{2nA} \left( \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu + 2nA \right) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \mu - n^2 A^2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \end{aligned}$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$ .

Considering  $n = 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we get

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $g(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with*

$$A = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{ \operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \cos \alpha - \operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \sin \alpha \} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 1$  and

$$\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + g(z)zp'(z)) > \frac{1}{2A} ((\cos \alpha + 2A) \sin^2 \alpha - A^2 \cos \alpha) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We try to show an example of Theorem 1.

**Example 1.** Let us consider the function

$$p(z) = 1 + kz^n \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

for  $k \leq \frac{n^2 + 6n - 9}{4n(4n + 3)}$ . Then, it is easy to observe that  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and maps  $\mathbb{U}$  onto the disk with the center at  $p(0) = 1$  and the radius  $k$ .

Thus, we see that

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{n^2 + 6n - 9}{4n(4n + 3)} \right) < \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Further, if we consider  $g(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}z$  and  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{ \operatorname{Re}(g(z)) \cos \alpha - |\operatorname{Im}(g(z)) \sin \alpha| \} \\ &= \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\frac{1}{2nA} ((\cos \alpha + 2nA) \sin^2 \alpha - n^2 A^2 \cos \alpha) = \frac{-n^2 + 6n + 9}{12n}.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(p(z) + g(z)zp'(z)) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + (1+n)kz^n + \frac{n}{3}kz^{n+1} \right) \\ &> 1 - (1+n)k - \frac{n}{3}k \\ &\geq \frac{-n^2 + 6n + 9}{12n} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We also derive

**Theorem 2.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n \cos^2 \alpha + n^2} + n \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} < \operatorname{Im} \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n \cos^2 \alpha + n^2} - n \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and some  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

*Proof.* We define the functions  $h_1(z)$  by (2) and  $q_1(z)$  by (3) for  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

If  $h_1(z)$  is not subordinate to  $q_1(z)$ , then there exist points  $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$  satisfying (4) and (5).

Using equations from (2) to (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \left( p(z_1) + \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} \right) &= \operatorname{Im} \left( e^{-i\alpha} h_1(z_1) + \frac{z_1 h_1'(z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left( e^{-i\alpha} q_1(\zeta_1) + \frac{m \zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1)}{q_1(\zeta_1)} \right) \\ &= \rho_1 \cos \alpha - \frac{m \sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} \quad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \end{aligned}$$

for such  $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$ .

For the case  $\rho_1 > 0$ , since  $\sigma_1(\rho_1) < 0$  and  $m \geq n$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \cos \alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\geq \rho_1 \cos \alpha - \frac{n\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} \\ &= \frac{\rho_1^2(2 \cos^2 \alpha + n) - 2n\rho_1 \sin \alpha + n}{2\rho_1 \cos \alpha} \quad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$g_1(\rho_1) = \frac{\rho_1^2(2 \cos^2 \alpha + n) - 2n\rho_1 \sin \alpha + n}{2\rho_1 \cos \alpha} \quad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$$

takes the minimum value at  $\rho_1^*$  given by

$$\rho_1^* = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \cos^2 \alpha + n}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \cos \alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\geq g_1(\rho_1^*) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2n \cos^2 \alpha + n^2} - n \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \quad (\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \end{aligned}$$

which is the contradiction for the assumption of Theorem 2.

For the case  $\rho_1 < 0$ , we put  $\rho_1 = -\rho'_1$  ( $\rho'_1 > 0$ ).

Then, using the same method mentioned above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \cos \alpha - \frac{m\sigma_1(\rho_1)}{\rho_1} &\leq -\rho'_1 \cos \alpha + \frac{n\sigma_1(-\rho'_1)}{\rho'_1} \\ &= -\frac{\rho_1'^2(2 \cos^2 \alpha + n) + 2n\rho'_1 \sin \alpha + n}{2\rho_1' \cos \alpha} \\ &\equiv g_1(-\rho'_1) \\ &\leq g_1\left(-\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \cos^2 \alpha + n}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{2n \cos^2 \alpha + n^2} + n \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption of Theorem 2. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha}p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (16)$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Next, considering the functions  $h_2(z)$  defined by (9) and  $q_2(z)$  defined by (10) and using the similar method as the above, we also get

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\alpha}p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (17)$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Therefore, making use of (16) and (17), we have complete the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

Considering  $\frac{\pi}{2}\mu = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$  ( $0 < \mu \leq 1$ ) in Theorem 2, we obtain Corollary 4.

**Corollary 4.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \mu + n^2 + n \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu} < \operatorname{Im} \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \mu + n^2 - n \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then  $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$

Putting

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + na_{n+1}z^n + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  in Corollary 4, we have

**Corollary 5.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  satisfies  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $f(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2n \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \mu + n^2 + n \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu} < \operatorname{Im} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \frac{\sqrt{2n \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \mu + n^2 - n \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$

Taking  $n = 1$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary due to Kim and Cho [2].

**Corollary 6.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$-\frac{\sqrt{2 \cos^2 \alpha + 1} + \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} < \operatorname{Im} \left( p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) < \frac{\sqrt{2 \cos^2 \alpha + 1} - \sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Finally, we derive

**Theorem 3.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$\left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| < \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) |p(z)| \cos \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - |\alpha| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$



*Proof.* Let us define the function  $h_1(z)$  by

$$h_1(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and  $q_1(z)$  be the function defined as in the proof of Theorem 1. If  $h_1(z)$  is not subordinate to  $q_1(z)$ , then there exist points  $z_1 \in \mathbb{U}$  and  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbb{U} \setminus \{1\}$  satisfying (4) and (5).

Using equations from (3) to (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{p(z_1) + \frac{z_1 p'(z_1)}{p(z_1)} - 1}{|p(z_1)|} \right| \\ &= |1 - e^{-i\alpha} z_1 h_1'(z_1) - e^{-i\alpha} h_1(z_1)| \\ &= |h_1(z_1) + z_1 h_1'(z_1) - e^{-i\alpha}| \\ &= |q_1(\zeta_1) + m\zeta_1 q_1'(\zeta_1) - e^{-i\alpha}| \\ &= |(m\sigma_1(\rho_1) - \cos \alpha) + i(\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)| \\ &= ((m\sigma_1(\rho_1) - \cos \alpha)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \left( m \frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} + \cos \alpha \right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \left( \left( n \frac{\rho_1^2 - 2\rho_1 \sin \alpha + 1}{2 \cos \alpha} + \cos \alpha \right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \left( n \frac{(\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)^2 + \cos^2 \alpha}{2 \cos \alpha} + \cos \alpha \right)^2 + (\rho_1 - \sin \alpha)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \cos \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which is the contradiction for the assumption of Theorem 3. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(h_1(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{p(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (18)$$

Next, we consider the function  $h_2(z)$  defined by

$$h_2(z) = \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{p(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then, by virtue of (10), we also obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re}(h_2(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{p(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (19)$$

Therefore, in view of (18) and (19), we prove Theorem 3.  $\square$

Taking  $\frac{\pi}{2}\mu = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$  ( $0 < \mu \leq 1$ ) in Theorem 3, we obtain

**Corollary 7.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$\left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| < \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) |p(z)| \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

*for some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then  $p(z) \in \mathcal{STP}(\mu)$ .*

Considering the function

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + na_{n+1}z^n + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  in Corollary 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 8.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n$  satisfies  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $f(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right) \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

*for some  $0 < \mu \leq 1$ , then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{STS}(\mu)$ .*

Putting  $n = 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Theorem 3, we get Corollary 9 due to Kim and Cho [2].

**Corollary 9.** *If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$  satisfies  $p(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),  $p(z) \neq 1$  and*

$$\left| p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{3}{2} |p(z)| \cos \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

*for some  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then*

$$|\arg(p(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Shiraishi and S. Owa, *Some sufficient problems for certain univalent functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **30**(2008), 147–155.
- [2] I. H. Kim and N. E. Cho, *Sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59**(2010), 2067–2073.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.

HITOSHI SHIRAISHI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KINKI UNIVERSITY  
HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* [shiraishi@math.kindai.ac.jp](mailto:shiraishi@math.kindai.ac.jp)

SHIGEYOSHI OWA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KINKI UNIVERSITY  
HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* [owa@math.kindai.ac.jp](mailto:owa@math.kindai.ac.jp)

H. M. SRIVASTAVA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA  
VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA V8W 3P4, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* [harimsri@math.uvic.ca](mailto:harimsri@math.uvic.ca)