

# A NOTE ON THE PARTIAL SUMS OF CONVEX SCHLICHT FUNCTIONS

T. SHEIL-SMALL

Let  $f(z) = \sum_1^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be regular and convex univalent for  $|z| < 1$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , we write

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k, \tag{1}$$

for the partial sums of  $f(z)$ . We prove

**THEOREM 1.** *For each integer  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{P_n(z)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (|z| < 1). \tag{2}$$

*Remark.* The case  $n = 1$  is a well-known result.

If we write

$$Q_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{k} z^k, \tag{3}$$

we can deduce from Theorem 1:

**THEOREM 2.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $Q_n(z)$  is a close-to-convex, schlicht function for  $|z| < 1$ . Moreover for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $Q_n(z)$  may not be starlike univalent.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We showed in [2] that for each fixed  $\zeta$ ,  $|\zeta| < 1$ , the function

$$z \left( \frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} \right)^2, \tag{4}$$

is starlike univalent for  $|z| < 1$ . It follows immediately that the function

$$g(z) = g(z, \zeta) = z \frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{z - \zeta}, \tag{5}$$

is starlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  for  $|z| < 1$ , which is to say that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad (|z| < 1). \tag{6}$$

Writing

$$g(z) = \sum_1^{\infty} b_n z^n, \tag{7}$$

it is well-known that (6) implies that

$$|b_n| \leq |b_1| = \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|, \tag{8}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . But

$$g(z) = z \sum_1^\infty a_n \frac{z^n - \zeta^n}{z - \zeta} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n+k} \zeta^k \right\} z^n. \tag{9}$$

Thus equating coefficients in (7) and (9) we deduce that

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n+k} \zeta^k = \frac{f(\zeta) - P_{n-1}(\zeta)}{\zeta^n} \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{10}$$

Thus applying (8) we have

$$\left| \frac{f(\zeta) - P_n(\zeta)}{\zeta^{n+1}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right|, \tag{11}$$

valid for  $n \geq 1$  and for every  $\zeta$  such that  $|\zeta| < 1$ . This can be rewritten

$$\left| 1 - \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} \right| \leq |\zeta^n| < 1. \tag{12}$$

Replacing  $\zeta$  by  $z$ , the inequality (2) is an immediate consequence of (12), and we have proved Theorem 1.

*Remark.* It is to be noted that for the convex function

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{1 - \zeta} = \sum_1^\infty \zeta^n,$$

we have

$$\frac{f(\zeta) - P_n(\zeta)}{\zeta^n} \equiv f(\zeta),$$

for every  $n$ , so there is certainly no possibility of improving (12).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We write

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta, \tag{13}$$

so that  $g(z)$  is convex and  $zg'(z) = f(z)$ . Since  $zQ_n'(z) = P_n(z)$ , we deduce from Theorem 1 that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{g'(z)}{Q_n'(z)} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad (|z| < 1) \tag{14}$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{Q_n'(z)}{g'(z)} > 0,$$

and  $Q_n(z)$  is indeed close-to-convex, see [1].

To complete the proof, we show that the polynomials

$$Q_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{z^k}{k}, \tag{15}$$

are not starlike univalent for  $|z| < 1$  if  $n \geq 3$ .

We note that  $Q_n(z)$  is regular for  $|z| \leq 1$  and univalent for  $|z| < 1$ , so that on  $|z| = 1$ ,  $|Q_n(z)| \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . In particular  $Q_n$  does not vanish for  $0 < |z| \leq 1$ . It follows that

$$F(z) = \frac{zQ_n'(z)}{Q_n(z)} = 1 + \sum_1^\infty c_k z^k, \tag{16}$$

is regular for  $|z| \leq 1$ . Moreover the coefficients  $c_k$  are real. To prove that  $Q_n$  is not starlike for  $|z| < 1$ , it will be sufficient to prove that there is a point  $\zeta$ ,  $|\zeta| = 1$ , such that

$$\operatorname{Re} F(\zeta) < 0. \tag{17}$$

Since

$$Q_n'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n z^{k-1} = \frac{1-z^n}{1-z},$$

we see that  $Q_n'(\omega) = 0$ , where  $\omega = e^{i\alpha}$  and  $\alpha = 2\pi/n$ . Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} F(\omega) = 0.$$

Writing  $z = e^{i\theta}$  we have

$$\operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\theta}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k \cos k\theta, \tag{18}$$

and this function of  $\theta$  is differentiable and vanishes at  $\theta = \alpha$ . If  $Q_n$  is starlike for  $|z| < 1$ , then the derivative with respect to  $\theta$  of (18) must vanish at  $\theta = \alpha$ , i.e.

$$\operatorname{Im} e^{i\alpha} F'(e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_1^\infty k c_k \sin k\alpha = 0. \tag{19}$$

Taking into account the fact that  $Q_n'(e^{i\alpha}) = 0$ , we have

$$e^{i\alpha} F'(e^{i\alpha}) = \frac{e^{2i\alpha} Q_n''(e^{i\alpha})}{Q_n(e^{i\alpha})} = n \left/ \left\{ (1 - e^{-i\alpha}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{ki\alpha}}{k} \right) \right\} \right.$$

Thus from (19) we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Im} \left\{ (1 - e^{-i\alpha}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{ki\alpha}}{k} \right) \right\} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_1^n \frac{\sin k\alpha}{k} - \sum_1^n \frac{\sin (k-1)\alpha}{k} = 0. \tag{20}$$

To complete the proof, we show that (20) is false for  $n \geq 3$ . We write

$$S = \sum_1^n \frac{\sin k\alpha}{k} - \sum_1^n \frac{\sin (k-1)\alpha}{k}.$$

Then since  $n\alpha = 2\pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \sin k\alpha \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{n-k} + \frac{1}{n-k+1} \right) \sin k\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = [\frac{1}{2}n]$ , denotes the smallest integer  $\leq \frac{1}{2}n$ . We observe that  $\sin k\alpha > 0$  for every  $k < \frac{1}{2}n$ , and  $\sin \frac{1}{2}n\alpha = 0$  when  $n$  is even. Furthermore for  $k < \frac{1}{2}n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{n-k} + \frac{1}{n-k+1} > 0.$$

We thus see that  $S > 0$ , and the proof is complete.

*Remark.* For any convex function  $f$ ,  $Q_1$  is trivially convex and  $Q_2$  is starlike, as is easily seen using the inequality  $|a_2| \leq |a_1|$ .

#### References

1. W. Kaplan, "Close-to-convex Schlicht functions", *Michigan Math. J.*, 1 (1953), 169–185.
2. T. Sheil-Small, "On convex univalent functions", *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), 1 (1969), 483–492.

The University of York.