

Royden, Real Analysis 3rd ed.

Chapter 5

Problem 5-3 a. Obvious. But it should be

$$D_+f(c) \leq D^+f(c) \leq 0 \leq D_-f(c) \leq D^-f(c).$$

b. At the end point a we can only have $D^+f(a)$ and $D_+f(a)$. If f has a local maximum at a , we have

$$D_+f(a) \leq D^+f(a) \leq 0.$$

■

Problem 5-4 We may assume $D^+f \geq \varepsilon > 0$. Otherwise apply the result to $f(x) + \varepsilon x$ and then let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Suppose the contrary that there exist $c < d$ such that $f(c) > f(d)$. Consider $\max_{[a,d]} f$, which must be attained at some point in $[a, d]$. By assumption there exist, for any $x \in [a, b]$, arbitrarily small $\delta_x > 0$ such that

$$f(x + \delta_x) - f(x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon \delta_x}{2}$$

which implies no point in $[a, d]$ can be a local maximum. A contradiction. ■

Problem 5-6 If $g(\gamma + h) \neq g(\gamma)$, then

$$\frac{f \circ g(\gamma + h) - f \circ g(\gamma)}{h} = \frac{f(g(\gamma + h)) - f(g(\gamma))}{g(\gamma + h) - g(\gamma)} \cdot \frac{g(\gamma + h) - g(\gamma)}{h} \quad (1)$$

a. If $g'(\gamma) > 0$, then $g(\gamma + h) - g(\gamma) \approx h \cdot g'(\gamma) > 0$ for h small and positive. Since the second term on the right-hand side of (1) converges to $g'(\gamma)$, we get by taking lim sup that

$$D^+f \circ g(\gamma) = D^+f(g(\gamma)) \cdot g'(\gamma).$$

b. Similar to part a, except that $g(\gamma + h) < g(\gamma)$ and the second term is negative. The fact that we need is the following

$$\overline{\lim} a_n \cdot b_n = \underline{\lim} a_n \cdot \lim b_n, \text{ if } \lim b_n < 0.$$

c. If $g(\gamma + h) = g(\gamma)$ then the left hand side of (1) is 0. We need only to consider the case $g(\gamma + h) \neq g(\gamma)$. Since $g'(\gamma) = 0$,

$$\frac{g(\gamma + h) - g(\gamma)}{h} = o(1) \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

The first term on the right-hand side is bounded by $\max(|D^+f(g(\gamma))|, |D_+f(g(\gamma))|, |D^-f(g(\gamma))|, |D_-f(g(\gamma))|)$. When multiplying together, the limit is 0. ■

Problem 5-7 a. By Theorem 5 we may assume f is a monotone increasing function. Then it is clear (why ?) that

$$\lim_{x \uparrow c} f(x) = f(c-) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \downarrow c} f(x) = f(c+).$$

Moreover $f(c-) \leq f(c) \leq f(c+)$ and $f(x)$ is continuous at $x = c$ if and only if $f(c-) = f(c+)$. Hence the set of discontinuous points D is $\{x : f(c-) < f(c+)\}$ and may be written as

$$D = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n, \quad \text{when} \quad D_n = \left\{ x \in [a, b] : f(c+) - f(c-) > \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Enough to show each D_n is countable. Let $a < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_m < b$ belong to D_n . With $x_0 = a$ and $x_{m+1} = b$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) - f(a) &= (f(b) - f(x_m + \varepsilon)) + (f(x_m + \varepsilon) - f(x_m - \varepsilon)) + \dots \\ &\quad + (f(x_1 + \varepsilon) - f(x_1 - \varepsilon)) + f(x_1 - \varepsilon) + f(a) \\ &= [f(b) - f(x_m + \varepsilon)] + \sum_{k=1}^m (f(x_k + \varepsilon) - f(x_k - \varepsilon)) \\ &\quad + [f(x_1 - \varepsilon) + f(a)]. \end{aligned}$$

By letting ε small enough and using the monotonicity of f ,

$$f(b) - f(a) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m (f(x_k + \varepsilon) - f(x_k - \varepsilon)) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m (f(x_k+) - f(x_k-)) \geq \frac{m}{n}.$$

Hence $m \leq (f(b) - f(a)) \cdot n$. That is $|D_n| \leq (f(b) - f(a)) \cdot n + 2$ and is finite.

b. Let $Q = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. For each a_n define $f_n(x) = \chi_{(a_n, 1]}(x)$ so that f_n is increasing and discontinuous at $x = a_n$. (When $a_n = 1$, we set $f_n(x) = \chi_{\{1\}}(x)$ for this purpose.) The following function is what we want:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} f_n(x).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} < \infty$, the Weierstrass M Theorem tells us first that f is continuous at any irrational points and then

$$\sum_{n \neq m}^{\infty} 2^{-n} f_n(x) = f(x) - 2^{-m} f_m(x)$$

is continuous at $x = a_m$. Since f_m is discontinuous at $x = a_m$, so does f . ■

Problem 5-10 a. No. Let $x_n = ((n + 1/2)\pi)^{-1/2}$ for $n \geq 0$. Then $x_n \downarrow 0$ and $f(x_n) = (-1)^n 2 / [(2n + 1)\pi]$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |f(x_n) - f(x_{n+1})| \geq \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2n+1} \rightarrow \infty.$$

b. Yes. It is based on the following facts:

I. $h \in BV$ if h' is bounded on $[-1, 1]$.

II. For $x \neq 0$,

$$|g'(x)| = \left| 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} - \cos \frac{1}{x} \right| \leq 2x + 1 \leq 3$$

and $g'(0) = 0$ as shown below

$$\left| \frac{g(h) - g(0)}{h} \right| = \left| h \sin \frac{1}{h} \right| \leq |h| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

■

Problem 5-11 By Lemma 4,

$$f(x) - f(a) = P_a^x - N_a^x. \quad (1)$$

Since both P and N are monotone increasing, Theorem 3 implies

$$\int_a^b (P_a^x)' + (N_a^x)' dx \leq P_a^b + N_a^b = T_a^b. \quad (2)$$

By (1), $|f'(x)| = |(P_a^x)' - (N_a^x)'| \leq (P_a^x)' + (N_a^x)'$. The conclusion follows from (2). ■

Problem 5-13 Enough to show

$$P_a^b(f) = \int_a^b (f')^+ \quad \text{and} \quad N_a^b(f) = \int_a^b (f')^-. \quad (1)$$

By summing together, we will get

$$T_a^b(f) = P_a^b(f) + N_a^b(f) = \int_a^b (f')^+ + (f')^- = \int_a^b |f'|.$$

In the following we will show the first equation in (1) as the second one can be obtained similarly. For any partition $\{x_0 = a, x_1, \dots, x_N = b\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N (f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}))^+ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f'(t) dt \right)^+ \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} (f'(t))^+ dt = \int_a^b (f')^+. \end{aligned}$$

(You should verify the inequality above). Hence

$$P_a^b(f) \leq \int_a^b (f')^+ \quad (2)$$

To show the other direction, let $E = \{x \in [a, b] : f'(x) > 0\}$. Then

$$\int_a^b (f')^+ = \int_E f'. \quad (3)$$

By using Proposition 3-15 and Proposition 4-14, we can find, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, a set $U = \cup_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)$ such that $U \Delta E$ is small and then

$$\left| \int_E f' - \int_U f' \right| < \varepsilon.$$

For any partition \mathbf{P} with $\{a_i, b_i; 1 \leq i \leq m\} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N (f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}))^+ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N (f(b_j) - f(a_j))^+ \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{(a_j, b_j)} f' = \int_U f' \geq \int_E f' - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3), we get by $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ that

$$P_a^b(f) \geq \int (f')^+$$

and then (1) holds by combining with (2). ■

Problem 5-14 a. It is easier to use Theorem 14. Here we use the definition only. By definition we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |h(x'_j) - h(x_j)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |x'_j - x_j| < \delta$$

where $h = f$ or g . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n |(f \pm g)(x'_j) - (f \pm g)(x_j)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |f(x'_j) - f(x_j)| + \sum_{j=1}^n |g(x'_j) - g(x_j)| \\ &< 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

if $\sum_{j=1}^n |x'_j - x_j| < \delta$.

b. Let $(\sup |f(x)|) \vee (\sup |g(x)|) = M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f \cdot g)(x'_j) - (f \cdot g)(x_j)| &= |f(x'_j)(g(x'_j) - g(x_j)) + (f(x'_j) - f(x_j))g(x_j)| \\ &\leq M(|f(x'_j) - f(x_j)| + |g(x'_j) - g(x_j)|). \end{aligned}$$

What remains is routine.

c. Let $\inf |f(x)| = N > 0$ because f is continuous and $f' \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$.

Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{f(x'_j)} - \frac{1}{f(x_j)} \right| = \frac{|f(x'_j) - f(x_j)|}{|f(x'_j)f(x_j)|} \leq N^{-2} |f(x'_j) - f(x_j)|.$$

What remains is routine. ■

Problem 5-15 Let $f_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N \wedge m} b_n/2^n$. It has been shown in Problem 2-48 that

$$f_m \uparrow f \text{ and } f' = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Since f_m is increasing,

$$f_m(x) \leq f_m(y) \text{ for } x \leq y.$$

Now let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and we get the monotonicity of f . If f would be absolutely continuous, we have from Theorem 14 that

$$1 = f(1) = f(0) + \int_0^1 f'(t) dt = 0 + 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

■

Problem 5-16 a. By Theorem 3, f' is integrable. Write

$$f(x) = \left[\int_a^x f'(t) dt \right] + \left(f(x) - \int_a^x f'(t) dt \right)$$

and use Theorem 14. The second term above is a singular function.

b. Let $c = b$ in the proof of Lemma 13. We then have

$$y_0 = a \leq x_1 < y_1 \leq x_2 < y_2 \leq \cdots < y_n \leq b = x_{n+1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (x_{k+1} - y_k) < \delta, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n |f(y_k) - f(x_k)| \leq \eta(b-a). \quad (1)$$

Since f is \nearrow ,

$$f(b) - f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n (f(y_k) - f(x_k)) + \sum_{k=0}^n (f(x_{k+1}) - f(y_k)).$$

By (1),

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (f(x_{k+1}) - f(y_k)) > f(b) - f(a) - \eta(b-a)$$

and the intervals $\{(y_k, x_{k+1}) : 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ are what we want.

c. Let $J = \cup_{k=1}^n (x_k, y_k)$. Then by using Theorem 3 and (1)

$$\int_J f' = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{x_k}^{y_k} f' \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (f(y_k) - f(x_k)) < \eta(b-a).$$

Since f' is integrable by Theorem 3, we get from (1) and Proposition 4-14 that

$$\int_{[a,b] \setminus J} f' = \int_{\cup_{k=1}^n (y_k, x_{k+1})} f' < \varepsilon$$

if we let δ in (1) satisfy the requirement in Theorem 4-14.

Combining together,

$$0 \leq \int_a^b f' \leq \eta(b-a) + \varepsilon$$

which implies $\int_a^b f' = 0$ by letting $\eta, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Since $f' \geq 0$, we have $f' = 0$ a.e..

d. Let $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ be given

$$f(b) - f(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(b) - f_n(a)) < \infty,$$

there is an N such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (f_n(b) - f_n(a)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Let $F_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x)$. Obviously F_N is singular. By part **b**, there exist a finite collection $\{(c_k, d_k)\}$ of nonoverlapping intervals such that

$$\sum (d_k - c_k) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \sum F_N(d_k) - F_N(c_k) > F_N(b) - F_N(a) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) and (4),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum f(d_k) - f(c_k) &\geq \sum (F_N(d_k) - F_N(c_k)) > F_N(b) - F_N(a) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= f(b) - f(a) - \alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > f(b) - f(a) - \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from part **c**.

e. Let $g(x)$ be 1 on $[1, \infty)$, 0 on $(-\infty, 0]$ and the Cantor ternary function on $[0, 1]$. Then g is continuous, $g' = 0$ a.e.. Let $Q \cap [0, 1] = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. Define

$$g_{m,n}(x) = g\left(\frac{x - a_m}{a_n - a_m}\right) \text{ when } a_m < a_n$$

and then

$$g(x) = \sum_{\substack{m,n=1 \\ a_m < a_n}}^{\infty} g_{m,n}(x) / 2^{m+n}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m+n=k} \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k-1}{2^k} < \infty.$$

Since $g_{m,n}(a_m) = 0 < 1 = g_{m,n}(a_n)$ and Q is dense, g is strictly increasing on $[0, 1]$. Moreover, g is continuous by Weierstrass M Theorem and singular by part **d**. ■

Problem 5-17 a. Roughly speaking, that g is absolutely continuous implies

$$\text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n |x'_i - x_i| = o(1) \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^n |g(x'_i) - g(x_i)| = o(1).$$

Using the absolute continuity of F , we then have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F(g(x'_i)) - F(g(x_i))| = o(1).$$

That is $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous.

b. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For any $x \in E$, we can find arbitrarily small interval $[x, x+h)$ such that

$$|g(y) - g(x)| < \varepsilon(y-x) \text{ for } y \in [x, x+h). \quad (1)$$

Using Vitali's Lemma, there are a finite number of disjoint intervals $\{I_j = [x_j, x_j + h_j) : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ in $[a, b]$ such that

$$m^*(E \setminus \cup_{j=1}^N I_j) < \delta$$

where δ is the number corresponding to ε in the definition of the absolute continuity of f .

By definition of outer measure, we can find open intervals $J_k = (c_k, d_k)$ such that

$$\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k \supseteq E \setminus \cup_{j=1}^N I_j \text{ with } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |J_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (d_k - c_k) < \delta. \quad (2)$$

Since $E \subseteq (\cup_{j=1}^N I_j) \cup (\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k)$,

$$g(E) \subseteq (\cup_{j=1}^N g(I_j)) \cup (\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} g(J_k)). \quad (3)$$

By (1), $g(I_j) \subseteq (g(x_j) - \varepsilon h_j, g(x_j) + \varepsilon h_j)$ and then

$$m^*(\cup_{j=1}^N g(I_j)) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N 2\varepsilon h_j \leq 2\varepsilon (b - a). \quad (4)$$

Since g is continuous, $g(J_k)$ is an interval, which may be taken as an open interval as this won't change its measure. Also $g(J_k)$ can be approximated by $\cup_{l=0}^{n_k} (g(x_{k,l}), g(x_{k,l+1}))$, where $\mathbf{P}_k = \{x_{k,0} = c_k, x_{k,1}, \dots, x_{k,n_k}, x_{k,n_k+1} = d_k\}$ is a partition of J_k . By (2),

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \sum_{l=0}^{n_k} |x_{k,l} - x_{k,l+1}| < \delta \text{ for any } L.$$

It follows from the absolute continuity of g that

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \sum_{l=0}^{n_k} |g(x_{k,l}) - g(x_{k,l+1})| < \varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

We may assume $g(J_k)$ is an open interval as its measure is unchanged by deleting the endpoints. Now

$$g(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} g(J_k)$$

is an open set as can be written as a countable union of open intervals W_k by Proposition 2-8. Each W is the union of some $g(J_k)$'s. For brevity we may assume $g(J_k)$ are mutually disjoint.

By varying \mathbf{P}_k of J_k we get from (5) that

$$m(\cup_{k=1}^L g(J_k)) < \varepsilon \text{ for any } L \geq 1.$$

Letting $L \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we have

$$m(g(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k)) = m(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} g(J_k)) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Combining with (3) and (4), we finally get $m^*(g(E)) = 0$. That is the same to say $m(g(E)) = 0$. ■

Problem 5-18 It is contained in the solution to Problem 5-17. See from the line next to (4) there. ■

Problem 5-21 a. By Proposition 2-8, $O = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$, where $I_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ are disjoint. Then $g^{-1}(O) = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} g^{-1}(I_i)$. Since g is continuous and monotone increasing, $g^{-1}(I_i)$ is an open interval, say, (u_i, v_i) with $g(u_i) = \alpha_i$ and $g(v_i) = \beta_i$.

In order to show

$$mO = \int_{g^{-1}(O)} g'(x) dx, \quad (1)$$

it suffices to show by Proposition 3-13 and Proposition 4-12 that

$$\beta_i - \alpha_i = mI_i = \int_{g^{-1}(I_i)} g'(x) dx = \int_{u_i}^{v_i} g'(x) dx.$$

By Corollary 15, the *RHS* is $g(v_i) - g(u_i) = \beta_i - \alpha_i$. We are done.

b & c. Let \mathfrak{g} be the family of all measurable set $E \subseteq [a, b]$ such that

$$mE = \int_{g^{-1}(E) \cap H} g'(x) dx. \quad (2)$$

Part **a** shows that (2) holds for any open set E because

$$\int_F g'(x) dx = \int_{F \cap H} g'(x) dx \quad \text{for any measurable set } F.$$

The same argument used in proving (1) shows that \mathfrak{g} is closed under taking complement and countable unions. In view of Theorem 3-10, it remains to show that $E \in \mathfrak{g}$ if $mE = 0$. By definition there exists open set $O_n \supseteq E$ with $mO_n < 1/n$. Then $g^{-1}(E) \subseteq g^{-1}(O_n)$, which is open by the continuity of g and

$$\frac{1}{n} > mO_n = \int_{g^{-1}(O_n) \cap H} g'(x) dx. \quad (3)$$

Let $\underline{\lim} g^{-1}(O_n) = F$, which is $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap_{k \geq n} g^{-1}(O_k)$ and measurable. Note that $F \supseteq g^{-1}(E)$. Applying Fatou's Lemma to (3),

$$0 \geq \int_{F \cap H} g'(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

Since $g'(x) > 0$ on H , it follows that $m(F \cap H) = 0$. Because $g^{-1}(E) \subseteq F$, $m^*(g^{-1}(E) \cap H) = 0$ and thus $g^{-1}(E) \cap H$ is measurable by Lemma 3-6. Moreover (4) shows

$$mE = 0 = \int_{g^{-1}(E) \cap H} g'(x) dx.$$

This completes the proof.

d. Rewrite (2) as

$$\int_c^d \chi_E(x) dx = mE = \int_a^b \chi_E(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx. \quad (5)$$

If $f \geq 0$ on $[c, d]$, we can find simple function f_n , e.g.,

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^{2n}} k \cdot 2^{-n} \chi_{E_{n,k}}(x), \text{ where } E_{n,k} = \{x : k \cdot 2^{-n} < f(x) \leq (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}\},$$

such that $f_n \uparrow f$ everywhere. By (5),

$$\int_c^d f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f_n(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) = f(g(x)) \cdot g'(x)$ for each $x \in [a, b]$, $f \circ g \cdot g'$ is measurable and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\int_c^d f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx.$$

■

Problem 5-23 a. Choose $a < c < b$ and consider the supporting line through $(c, \varphi(c))$. We have

$$\varphi(x) \geq m(x - c) + \varphi(c) \quad \text{for } a < x < b. \quad (1)$$

It also holds for $x = a$ as φ is continuous at $x = a$ by assumption. Hence

$$\varphi(x) \geq -|m|(b - a) + \varphi(c).$$

b. By Proposition 17 and Corollary 15,

$$\varphi(x) - \varphi(c) = \int_c^x D^+ f(t) dt \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b). \quad (2)$$

If $D^+ f$ never changes sign, then $D^+ f \geq 0$ or $D^+ f \leq 0$. In either case (2) shows φ is \nearrow or \searrow on (a, b) . Hence $\varphi(a+)$ and $\varphi(b-)$ exist, where $\pm\infty$ is allowed. Otherwise there exists a point $c \in (a, b)$ such that $D^+ f(x) \geq 0$ on (c, b) and $D^+ f(x) \leq 0$ on (a, c) because $D^+ f \uparrow$ by Proposition 17.

It is then clear from (2) that φ is \searrow on $(a, c]$ and \nearrow on $[c, b)$ and the same conclusion follows as above.

If a (or b) is finite, (1) implies by letting $x \downarrow a$ (or $x \uparrow b$) that $\varphi(a+)$ (or $\varphi(b-)$) cannot be $-\infty$.

c. The formula holds for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in (a, b)$. If $a \in I$ then we just let $x \downarrow a$ and use the continuity of φ . Repeat the same argument if $b \in I$ as well. ■

Problem 5-24 (\Leftarrow) If $\varphi''(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in (a, b)$, then φ' exists of course and by Mean-Value Theorem

$$\varphi'(y) - \varphi'(x) = (y - x)\varphi''(\xi) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } y > x.$$

This is $\varphi' \uparrow$ on (a, b) and then φ is convex by Proposition 18.

(\Rightarrow) By Proposition $\varphi' \uparrow$. Since $\varphi''(x)$ exists, we obviously have $\varphi'' \geq 0$ on (a, b) . ■

Problem 5-25 a. Compute φ'' and use Corollary 19.

b. When $p > 1$, $\varphi''(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Now apply the following result:
If $\varphi'' > 0$ on (a, b) , then φ is strictly convex on $[a, b]$.

Proof.

By Problem 5-23c, we need only to show that

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) < \lambda\varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in (a, b) \text{ and } 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Assume $x < y$. The formula above is equivalent to

$$\frac{\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) - \varphi(x)}{(1 - \lambda)(y - x)} < \frac{\varphi(y) - \varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)}{\lambda(y - x)}.$$

By the Mean-Value Theorem, the *LHS* = $\varphi'(\xi_1)$ and the *RHS* = $\varphi'(\xi_2)$, where

$$x < \xi_1 < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < \xi_2 < y.$$

By the Mean-Value Theorem again,

$$RHS - LHS = \varphi'(\xi_2) - \varphi'(\xi_1) = (\xi_2 - \xi_1)\varphi''(z) > 0,$$

where $\xi_1 < z < \xi_2$. It is done. ■

Problem 5-26 It is clear from the proof of Jensen Inequality that the equality holds in Proposition 20 if and only if for a.e. t in $(0, 1)$

$$\varphi(f(t)) = m(f(t) - \alpha) + \varphi(\alpha). \quad (1)$$

Here $y = m(x - \alpha) + \varphi(\alpha)$ is a supporting line at $(\alpha, \varphi(\alpha))$. When $\varphi(x) = e^x$, $\varphi''(x) > 0$ and thus φ is strictly convex. It is easy to show that for any $y \neq \alpha$

$$e^y > e^\alpha(y - \alpha) + e^\alpha. \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), it follows that the equality holds in Corollary 21 if and only if $f(t) = \alpha$ a.e.. That is $f(t)$ is a constant a.e..

Remark. Simple algebraic operation shows that (2) is equivalent to

$$e^{(y-\alpha)} > 1 + (y - \alpha) \text{ or } e^x > 1 + x \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$

You may use calculus like

$$e^x - 1 = e^x - e^0 = \int_0^x e^t dt$$

or use

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \text{ when } x > 0.$$

■

Problem 5-27 Let $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$. Then $S_0 = 0 < S_1 < S_2 < \dots < 1$. Define f on $(0, 1)$ such that $f|_{(S_{n-1}, S_n)} = \log \xi_n$ for $n > 0$. It is easy to see

$$\exp\left(\int_0^1 f(t) dt\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \log \xi_n\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n^{\alpha_n}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \exp(f(t)) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \exp(\log \xi_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi_n.$$

The conclusion follows from Corollary 21 if f is integrable. For general f , we may define f_N with $f_N = f$ on $(0, S_n)$ and is 1 on $(S_n, 1)$. Corollary 21 shows

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{\alpha_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \xi_k.$$

Now let $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Problem 5-28 $f(t) = -\log t$ is a convex function. Now apply the Jensen Inequality. ■

Problem 2-48 Let $f_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N \wedge m} b_n/2^n$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Draw the figures of f_1 and f_2 . Then you should see how to get f_3, f_4, \dots and so on. Each f_m is a continuous function and

$$|f_m(x) - f(x)| \leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^m} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is $f_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Hence f is also a continuous function. What remains is clear from the figure of f . ■