

ESTIMATES OF THE KOLMOGOROV WIDTHS OF CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS REPRESENTABLE BY CAUCHY-TYPE INTEGRALS. I

V. S. Romanyuk

UDC 517.5

In the Banach space of functions analytic in a Jordan domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, we establish order estimates for the Kolmogorov widths of certain classes of functions that can be represented in Ω by Cauchy-type integrals along the rectifiable curve $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and can be analytically continued to $\Omega' \supset \Omega$ or to \mathbb{C} .

In this paper, we study the approximation properties of classes of functions analytic in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ bounded by a finite closed rectifiable Jordan curve Γ .

We establish estimates for the approximation of these classes of functions by finite-dimensional subspaces of certain functional Banach spaces.

As sets of functions to be approximated, we consider the classes $L_{\beta}^{\Psi} \mathfrak{N}(\Omega)$ (see [1] and [2]); in the first part of the paper, we consider these classes under certain restrictions on the sequence $\{\psi(k), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ that defines a class for which functions from $L_{\beta}^{\Psi} \mathfrak{N}(\Omega)$ are analytically continuable outside Γ to a domain $\Omega' \supset \Omega$ or even to the entire complex plane \mathbb{C} .

We briefly explain the scheme of definition of the classes $L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Omega)$. For this purpose, we first denote by $L_{\beta}^{\Psi} \mathfrak{N}(T)$ the natural realization of the classes $L_{\beta}^{\Psi} \mathfrak{N}$ of complex-valued 2π -periodic locally summable functions of a real variable (ψ, β) -differentiable in the Stepanets sense (see, e.g., [3]) on the circle $T = \{w: |w|=1\}$. Let $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\Psi(\cdot)$ be functions realizing a conformal homeomorphism between the exterior of the domain $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ and the exterior of the disk $\bar{D} = \{w: |w| \leq 1\}$; we assume that the function $\Phi(\cdot)$ satisfies the conditions

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z)/z = \alpha > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\infty) = \infty.$$

We denote by $L(\Gamma)$ the space of functions defined and summable on Γ and define the sets

$$L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Gamma) := \left\{ f \in L(\Gamma): f \circ \Psi \in L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(T) \right\}$$

and

$$L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Omega) := \left\{ \mathcal{K}f: \mathcal{K}f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, f \in L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Gamma), z \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Further, we set

$$\tilde{L}_q(\Gamma) = \left\{ \varphi: \|\varphi\|_{\Gamma,q} := \left(\int_{\Gamma} |\varphi(z)|^q |\Phi'(z)| dz \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 < q < \infty,$$

where $\Phi'(\cdot)$ is the derivative of the function $\Phi(\cdot)$ extended by continuity to Γ .

Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Kiev. Translated from *Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp. 229–237, February, 2001. Original article submitted October 12, 1999.

Functions from the sets $L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ are regarded as elements of the space $\tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})$, $1 < q < \infty$, consisting of analytic functions $\varphi(\cdot)$ in Ω and having angular boundary values $\bar{\varphi} \in \tilde{L}_q(\Gamma)$ almost everywhere on Γ . The norm of an element $\varphi(\cdot)$ is identified with the norm of $\bar{\varphi}(\cdot)$. We assume that the curve Γ that bounds the domain Ω and is completely determined by the function $\Phi(\cdot)$ (or $\Psi(\cdot)$) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) Γ is a regular curve, i.e.,

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \sup_{r > 0} \mu(\Theta_z(r)) / r < \infty,$$

where $\Theta_z(r) = \{\zeta \in \Gamma: |\zeta - z| \leq r\}$ and $\mu(A)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set A on Γ ;

(ii) $|\Phi'(\cdot)|$ satisfies the following analog (for weights on Γ) of the Muckenhoupt A_q -condition (see [4]):

$$\sup_{z \in \Gamma} \sup_{r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(\Theta_z(r))} \int_{\Theta_z(r)} |\Phi'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mu(\Theta_z(r))} \int_{\Theta_z(r)} |\Phi'(\zeta)|^{-1/(q-1)} |d\zeta| \right)^{q-1} < \infty,$$

where $1 < q < \infty$. The set of closed rectifiable Jordan curves Γ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) is denoted by RA_q .

For functions $\varphi \in \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})$, we denote by $\rho_n(\varphi; \cdot)_{\Gamma,q}$ and $E_n(\varphi; \cdot)_{\Gamma,q}$ the following approximation characteristics:

$$\rho_n(\varphi; z) := \left| \varphi(z) - S_{n-1}^F(\varphi; z) \right|, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where

$$S_n^F(\varphi; z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(\varphi) F_k(z)$$

is the partial Faber sum of order n of the function $\varphi(\cdot)$,

$$a_k(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_T \varphi(\Psi(w)) w^{-k-1} dw, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and $F_k(\cdot)$ is the Faber polynomial of degree k for the domain Ω (see, e.g., [5, p. 350]), and

$$E_n(\varphi)_{\Gamma,q} := \inf_{p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|\varphi(z) - p_n(z)\|_{\Gamma,q},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ p_n: p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k, c_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

is the space of algebraic polynomials of degree n .

Correspondingly, we define

$$\mathcal{E}_n(W)_{\Gamma,q} := \sup_{\varphi \in W} \|\rho_n(\varphi; \cdot)\|_{\Gamma,q}$$

and

$$E_n(W)_{\Gamma,q} := \sup_{\varphi \in W} E_n(\varphi)_{\Gamma,q}, \quad W \subset \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega}).$$

We denote by C_1, K, \dots absolute positive constants, i.e., constants independent of n .

1. Main Result

We set

$$\mathfrak{M}'_{\infty} = \{\psi \in I_0 : (\exists K > 0 \ \forall t \geq 1: \ \eta(t) - t \leq K)\},$$

where I_0 is the set of positive functions decreasing to zero on $[1; \infty)$, $\eta(t) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(t)/2)$, and $\psi^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the function inverse to $\psi(\cdot)$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{N}_R := \left\{ \psi \in \mathfrak{M}'_{\infty} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln |\psi(k)|^{-1/k} = R > 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\infty} := \left\{ \psi \in \mathfrak{M}'_{\infty} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ln |\psi(k)|^{-1/k} = \infty \right\}.$$

Assuming that the condition $\Gamma \in RA_p, 1 < p < \infty$, is satisfied and using the properties of the Faber transformation \mathcal{F}_{Ω} for functions analytic in Ω (see Proposition 1) and conditions for the convergence of series in Faber polynomials $F_k(\cdot)$ determined by the domain Ω (see, e.g., Chaps. VI and VII in [6]), one can show that the following assertions are true:

- (i) $L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions analytic in the domain $\Omega_R \supset \Omega$ bounded by the curve $\Gamma_R = \{z: |\Phi(z)| = R\}$ if $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}_R$, e.g., $\psi(t) = R^{-t}, R > 1$;
- (ii) $L_{\beta,p}^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ is the set of entire functions if $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}_{\infty}$, e.g., $\psi(t) = R^{-t^r}, R, r > 1$.

We now define the approximation characteristic to be investigated in this paper.

Let X be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, let W be a centrally symmetric set in X , and let L_n be an arbitrary n -dimensional subspace in X .

Definition 1. The quantity

$$d_n(W; X) = \inf_{L_n \subset X} \sup_{x \in W} \inf_{y \in L_n} \|x - y\|_X$$

is called the n -dimensional Kolmogorov width of the set W in the space X .

The following statement is true:

Theorem 1. Let Ω be a domain bounded by a curve $\Gamma \in RA_q$, $q > 1$. If $\psi \in \mathcal{M}'_\infty$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then¹

$$d_n(L_{\beta,p}^\psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\overline{\Omega})) \asymp \psi(n), \quad 1 < p, \quad q < \infty. \tag{1}$$

2. One Relation for Functions from the Set \mathcal{M}'_∞

Lemma 1. If $\psi \in \mathcal{M}'_\infty$, then

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\psi(l)} \ll \frac{1}{\psi(n)}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. By definition, for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}'_\infty$ there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\eta(t) - t \leq K$ for any $t \geq 1$ (in what follows, we assume that K is integer). Let $n \geq K$ be arbitrarily fixed and let $n_0 = [n/K] + 1$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of a number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (clearly, in this case, $(n_0 - 1)K \leq n < n_0K$).

Further, since it is obvious that

$$\underbrace{\eta(\eta(\dots \eta(t)))}_{m \text{ times}} \leq mK$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$2^{-m} \psi(t) \geq \psi(t + mK);$$

in particular,

$$\psi(rK) \geq 2^{s-r} \psi(sK), \quad s, r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad s \geq r.$$

Taking into account this inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(n) \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\psi(l)} &< 2K + \psi((n_0 - 1)K) \sum_{l=K}^{(n_0-1)K} \frac{1}{\psi(l)} \\ &\leq 2K + \psi((n_0 - 1)K) \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-2} \sum_{l=iK}^{(i+1)K} \frac{1}{\psi(l)} \\ &< 2K + (K + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-2} \frac{\psi((n_0 - 1)K)}{\psi((i + 1)K)} < 2K + (K + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_0-2-i} \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to relation (2).

¹ The relation $\alpha(n) \asymp \beta(n)$ means that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ that do not depend on n and are such that $\forall n \geq 1 \quad C_1 \leq \alpha(n)/\beta(n) \leq C_2$. If $C_1 \leq \alpha(n)/\beta(n)$ or $\alpha(n)/\beta(n) \leq C_2$, then we write $\alpha(n) \gg \beta(n)$ and $\alpha(n) \ll \beta(n)$, respectively.

3. Faber Transformation and Its Inverse

Consider the linear operators \mathcal{F}_Ω and \mathcal{F}^Ω acting according to the equalities

$$\mathcal{F}_\Omega[f](z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{\bar{f}(\Phi(\zeta))}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad f \in H_p, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^\Omega[g](w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\tau|=1} \frac{\bar{g}(\Psi(\tau))}{\tau - w} d\tau, \quad g \in \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega}), \quad w \in D,$$

where

$$H_p := \left\{ \varphi \in \text{Hol}(D): \|\varphi\|_{H_p} = \sup_{0 < \rho < 1} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(\rho e^{it})|^p dt \right) \right\}^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

is the Hardy space of functions analytic in D [7, p. 388] and \bar{f} and \bar{g} denote the boundary values of the functions $f \in H_p$ and $g \in \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})$, respectively.

Proposition 1. *Let Ω be a domain bounded by a curve $\Gamma \in RA_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then the operators \mathcal{F}_Ω and \mathcal{F}^Ω realize an isomorphism between the subspaces $H_p \cap \mathcal{P}_m$ and $\tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{P}_m$.*

Proof. First, note that

$$\mathcal{F}_\Omega[w^k](z) = F_k(z), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3)$$

Relation (3) is a simple consequence of the following integral representation of Faber polynomials $F_k(\cdot)$ (see, e.g. [5, p. 358]):

$$F_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{\Phi^k(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of Lemma 3 [8, p. 54], we have

$$\mathcal{F}^\Omega[F_k](w) = w^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4)$$

In view of the fact that the mapping $\mathcal{F}_\Omega: \mathcal{P}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m$ is bijective [8, p. 61], relations (3) and (4) imply that the operators \mathcal{F}_Ω and \mathcal{F}^Ω defined on the linear space \mathcal{P}_m are inverse to one another.

The continuity of these operators in the normed subspaces indicated in Proposition 1 is a consequence of the boundedness of the Cauchy operator $\mathcal{K}: \tilde{L}_q(\Gamma) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})$, $1 < q < \infty$, defined by the equality

$$\mathcal{K}f(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma f(\zeta)(\zeta - z) d\zeta, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

under the condition $\Gamma \in RA_q$ (see, e.g., [9]). Moreover, for $1 < p < \infty$, if Γ is an arbitrary closed rectifiable Jordan curve, then $\|\mathcal{F}_\Omega\|_{H_p \rightarrow \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})} = K_1 < \infty$.

The statement below establishes certain properties of the operator S_n^F that associates every function $f \in \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})$ with its partial Faber sum of order n .

Proposition 2. *Let Ω be a domain bounded by a curve $\Gamma \in RA_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then S_n^F is a projector of $\tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})$ to $\tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{P}_m$, $m \leq n$, i.e., the following assertions are true:*

- (i) $\forall p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m: S_n^F(p_m) = p_m$;
- (ii) $\|S_n^F\|_{\tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C$, where C is a positive constant independent of n .

Proof. Assume that an arbitrary polynomial

$$p_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k z^k$$

is given. Then

$$p_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k(p_m) F_k(z),$$

where $F_k(z)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, are the Faber polynomials for the domain Ω and $a_k(p_m)$ are the Faber coefficients of the function $p_m(\cdot)$. Assertion (i) is a direct consequence of the equality (Lemma 3 in [8, p. 54])

$$a_k(F_l) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq l, \\ 1, & k = l. \end{cases}$$

To prove assertion (ii), we note that [see (3) and (4)]

$$S_n^F(f; z) = \mathcal{F}_\Omega[Q_n](z),$$

where

$$Q_n(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(f) w^k$$

and $a_k(f)$ are the Faber coefficients of the function f . Therefore, according to Proposition 1, we have

$$\|S_n^F(f; \cdot)\|_{\Gamma, p} \leq C_1 \|Q_n\|_{T, p}. \quad (5)$$

Since $Q_n(\cdot)$ is the partial Fourier sum of order $n-1$ of the function $f \circ \Psi \in L_p(T)$, we conclude that, by virtue of the boundedness of the Fourier operator $S_n: L_p(T) \rightarrow L_p(T)$, $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|Q_n\|_{T,p} \leq C_2 \|f \circ \Psi\|_{T,p} = C_2 \|f\|_{\Gamma,p}. \quad (6)$$

Relations (5) and (6) yield assertion (ii).

4. An Analog of the Nikol'skii Inequality

Nikol'skii [10] established the following relation for the values of the norms of an arbitrary trigonometric polynomial

$$t_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx}$$

in the spaces $L_r(0;2\pi)$ and $L_s(0;2\pi)$:

$$\|t_n(x)\|_s \ll n^{1/r-1/s} \|t_n(x)\|_r, \quad 1 \leq r < s \leq \infty.$$

A strengthened version of this relation is the inequality

$$\|t_{n_1,n_2}(x)\|_s \leq C(n_2 - n_1)^{1/r-1/s} \|t_{n_1,n_2}(x)\|_r, \quad 1 \leq r < s \leq \infty, \quad (7)$$

where $t_{n_1,n_2}(\cdot)$ is an arbitrary polynomial of the form

$$t_{n_1,n_2}(x) = \sum_{|k| \in [n_1;n_2]} c_k e^{ikx}, \quad 0 \leq n_1 < n_2,$$

and C is a constant independent of n_1 and n_2 .

Inequality (7) is used in what follows, although it should be noted that there exists a simple analog of it for algebraic polynomials of the form

$$P_{n_1,n_2}(z) = \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} c_k z^k, \quad 0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

the set of which is denoted by \mathcal{P}_{n_1,n_2} .

Proposition 3. *Let Ω be a domain bounded by a curve $\Gamma \in RA_r$, $1 < r < s < \infty$, and let $P_{n_1,n_2} \in \mathcal{P}_{n_1,n_2}$. Then*

$$\|P_{n_1,n_2}\|_{\Gamma,s} \leq C(n_2 - n_1)^{1/r-1/s} \|P_{n_1,n_2}\|_{\Gamma,r}. \quad (8)$$

To prove (8), it suffices to note that any polynomial $P_{n_1,n_2}(\cdot)$ admits a unique decomposition into a finite sum of Faber polynomials $F_k(\cdot)$ for the domain

$$\Omega: P_{n_1,n_2}(z) = \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} a_k F_k(z),$$

and, according to Proposition 1 [in view of relations (3) and (4)], we have

$$C_1 \|\tilde{t}_{n_1, n_2}(w)\|_{T, \nu} \leq \|P_{n_1, n_2}(z)\|_{\Gamma, \nu} \leq C_2 \|\tilde{t}_{n_1, n_2}(w)\|_{T, \nu}, \quad 1 < \nu < \infty, \tag{9}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of n_1 and n_2 and

$$\tilde{t}_{n_1, n_2}(w) = \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} a_k w^k.$$

Combining (9) and (7), we get (8).

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Lower Bound. The successive application of the theorem on a projector [11, p. 207] (using Proposition 2) and Proposition 1 allows one to easily obtain the main relation for the lower bound of the width:

$$d_n(L_{\beta, p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})) \gg d_n(L_{\beta, p}^\Psi(D) \cap \mathcal{P}_m; H_q \cap \mathcal{P}_m),$$

or

$$d_n(L_{\beta, p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})) \gg d_n(L_{0, p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_m; L_q(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_m), \quad m \geq n. \tag{10}$$

First, we assume that $q = 2$.

Let $\tau = \{\tau_s\}_{s=0}^n$ be an arbitrary orthonormal system in $L_2(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_m$. Denoting the Fourier coefficients of a function $f(\cdot)$ with respect to the system τ by $(f; \tau_s)$, i.e.,

$$(f; \tau_s) = (2\pi i) \int_{|w|=1} f(w) \overline{\tau_s(w)} dw,$$

and using the expansions

$$w^k = \sum_{s=0}^n (w^k; \tau_s) \tau_s(w), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \tag{11}$$

$$\tau_l(w) = \sum_{k=0}^n (\tau_l; w^k) w^k, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |(w^k; \tau_s)|^2 = \sum_{s=0}^n |(w^k; \tau_s)|^2 = 1. \tag{12}$$

We set

$$S_k(f; \tau; w) = \sum_{s=0}^k (f; \tau_s) \tau_s(w)$$

and $a_s^k = (w^k; \tau_s)$. Then

$$\|w^k - S_{n-1}(w^k; \tau; w)\|_{T,2}^2 = \left\| w^k - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} a_s^k \tau_s(w) \right\|_{T,2}^2 = |a_n^k|^2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{13}$$

Consider the function

$$f_k(w) = \begin{cases} \Psi(k) w^k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \Psi(1), & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f_k \in L_{0,p}^\Psi(T)$ and

$$\sigma_k := \|f_k - S_{n-1}(f_k; \tau; w)\|_{T,2}^2 = \Psi^2(k) |a_n^k|^2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

We show that there exist $k, 0 \leq k \leq n$, and $C > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_k \geq C\Psi^2(n) \tag{14}$$

(we assume that $\Psi(0) = \Psi(1)$).

Assume the contrary. Then

$$1 = \sum_{k=0}^n |a_n^k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sigma_k / \Psi^2(k) \leq C\Psi^2(n) \sum_{k=0}^n 1/\Psi^2(k). \tag{15}$$

According to Proposition 1, for some $C_0 > 0$ we have

$$\Psi^2(n) \sum_{k=0}^n 1/\Psi^2(k) \leq C_0$$

and, therefore, relation (15) is contradictory for $0 < C < 1/C_0$, which proves (14).

Relation (14) yields

$$d_n(L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n; L_2(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n) \gg \Psi(n)$$

for any $0 < p < \infty$. This estimate, together with (10), yields

$$d_n(L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})) \gg \Psi(n)$$

for $q = 2$; in view of the imbedding $\tilde{A}_2(\bar{\Omega}) \supset \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})$, $2 \leq q < \infty$, this estimate is also true for $1 < p < \infty$ and $2 \leq q < \infty$.

The same estimate is also true in the case where $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < 2$. Indeed, let $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an arbitrary orthonormal system from \mathcal{P}_n . Then, setting $\varphi_n^*(w) = w^n$, $w \in D$, taking into account the boundedness of the Fourier operator $S_n: L_q(T) \rightarrow L_q(T)$ with respect to the system $\{e^{ikt}\}_{k=0}^\infty$, and using inequality (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n} \inf_{c_i} \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varphi_i \right\|_{T,q} &\gg \sup_{f \in L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n} \inf_{c_i} \left\| (S_n - S_{n-1})[f] - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (S_n - S_{n-1})[\varphi_i] \right\|_{T,q} \\ &\gg \sup_{f \in L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n} \inf_c \left\| f - (c\varphi_n^* + (S_{n-1})[f]) \right\|_{T,2} \\ &\gg d_n(L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n; L_2(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n) \gg \psi(n), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d_n(L_{0,p}^\Psi(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n; L_q(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_n) \gg \psi(n)$$

for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 < q < 2$. Comparing this equality with relation (10), we establish the following estimate under the indicated restrictions on the parameters p and q :

$$d_n(L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})) \gg \psi(n).$$

Remark 1. In the case $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, the lower bound in Theorem 1 can be obtained in a different way. Namely, it suffices to use the estimate $d_n(L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_p(\bar{\Omega})) \asymp \psi(n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\psi \in I_0$, which was established earlier by the author.

Upper Bound. The upper bound in Theorem 1 follows from the estimate from above for the least upper bounds of the best approximations by algebraic polynomials of degree $n - 1$ over the set $L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega)$ in the space $\tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega})$.

The following statement is true:

Theorem 2. Let Ω be a domain bounded by a curve $\Gamma \in RA_q$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, let $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}'_\infty$, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$E_n(L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega))_{\Gamma,q} \asymp \psi(n).$$

Proof. One can easily verify that, for $\Gamma \in RA_q$, we have

$$E_n(\mathcal{K}f)_{\Gamma,q} \asymp \|\rho_n(\mathcal{K}f; \cdot)\|_{\Gamma,q} \ll \|\rho_n(F; \cdot)\|_{T,q}, \tag{16}$$

where $f \in \tilde{L}_q(\Gamma)$ and $F = f \circ \Psi$. The upper bound follows from this relation and the estimates for the quantities $\|\rho_n(F; \cdot)\|_{T,q}$ in the case where $F \in L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(T)$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}'_\infty$ established in [3, Chap. II, Sec. 6].

The lower bound in Theorem 2 obviously follows from the established lower bound for the quantity $d_n(L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega); \tilde{A}_q(\bar{\Omega}))$ (it can also be obtained directly).

Theorem 2 and, hence, Theorem 1 are proved.

Remark 2. Estimates similar to those presented in Theorem 1 are also valid in the case of approximation of the classes $L_{\beta,p}^\Psi(\Omega)$ by arbitrary n -dimensional subspaces of the Smirnov space $E_q(\Omega)$ of functions analytic in Ω under the only condition that the closed rectifiable Jordan curve Γ that bounds the domain Ω is regular.

REFERENCES

1. A. I. Stepanets and V. S. Romanyuk, "Classes of $(\psi; \beta)$ -differentiable functions of a complex variable and approximation by linear means of their Faber series," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **44**, No. 11, 1556–1570 (1992).
2. V. S. Romanyuk, "Approximation of classes of analytic functions by algebraic polynomials and Kolmogorov widths," *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, **48**, No. 2, 236–250 (1996).
3. A. I. Stepanets, *Classification and Approximation of Periodic Functions* [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1987).
4. B. Muckenhoupt, "Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **165**, No. 1, 207–226 (1972).
5. V. K. Dzyadyk, *Introduction to the Theory of Uniform Polynomial Approximation of Functions* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1977).
6. P. K. Suetin, *Series in Faber Polynomials* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1984).
7. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of Complex Variables* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1966).
8. D. Gaier, *Vorlesungen Über Approximation im Komplexen*, Birkhäuser, Basel (1980).
9. E. M. Dynkin and B. P. Osilenker, "Weight estimates of singular integrals and their applications," in: *VINITI Series in Mathematical Analysis* [in Russian], Vol. 21 (1983), pp. 42–128.
10. S. M. Nikol'skii, "Inequalities for entire functions of finite degree and their application in the theory of differentiable functions of many variables," *Tr. Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **38**, 244–278 (1951).
11. V. M. Tikhomirov, "Approximation theory," in: *VINITI Series in Contemporary Problems in Mathematics. Fundamental Trends* [in Russian], Vol. 14 (1987), pp. 105–260.