

The Analytic Fixed Point Function in the Disk

Diego Mejía and Christian Pommerenke

(Communicated by Mario Bonk)

Abstract. Let φ be analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{D} and let $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. Then $w = z/\varphi(z)$ has an analytic inverse $z = f(w)$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$, the fixed point function. Here $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain and various results suggest that $f(\mathbb{D})$ might even be hyperbolically convex. We study the derivative and the coefficients of f , in particular their asymptotic behaviour. In the case that φ is the generating function of a random variable, several functions related to f have probabilistic interpretations.

Keywords. Fixed point function, hyperbolically convex, coefficients, asymptotic behaviour, probability generating function, large deviations, branching process.

2000 MSC. 30D50, 30D05, 60F10.

1. Introduction and overview

Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disk in \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ the unit circle. Throughout the paper we consider the function

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{ analytic,} \quad a := \varphi(0) \neq 0.$$

We shall see (Theorem 2.1) that

$$(1.2) \quad F := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < |\varphi(z)|\}$$

is a starlike domain. The conformal map

$$(1.3) \quad f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow F, \quad f(0) = 0, f'(0) = a$$

of \mathbb{D} onto F satisfies (Section 3) the functional equation

$$(1.4) \quad w\varphi(f(w)) = f(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Hence $f(w)$ is a fixed point of the function $w\varphi$. Since $|f(w)| < 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ this fixed point is unique and attractive and the iterates of $w\varphi$ converge to $f(w)$; see [CoMa95, Th. 2.51]. For that reason f will be called the *fixed point function*

Received April 4, 2005.

Research supported by Colciencias and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)..

of φ . It follows from (1.4) that $z = f(w)$ is the inverse function of $w = z/\varphi(z)$. Throughout the paper f will denote this fixed point function and F will denote the domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ defined in (1.2).

In Sections 2 and 3, we develop the basic properties of F and f . We show for example that f is Hölder-continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and that F is bounded by analytic curves in \mathbb{D} and the closed set $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |\varphi(\zeta)| = 1, |\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq 1\}$. In Section 4 we study the behaviour of f at points $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\zeta = f(\omega) \in \mathbb{T}$. If $|\varphi'(\zeta)| < 1$ then F is tangential to \mathbb{T} at ζ . If $|\varphi'(\zeta)| = 1$ then, under some regularity conditions, the domain F has either an corner of angle $\pi/2$ at ζ or a symmetric corner of angle $\pi/3$.

In Section 5 we consider coefficient problems. We write

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

and define $a_{n,k}$ as the coefficients of $\varphi(z)^n$. The Bürmann-Lagrange formula shows that

$$(1.6) \quad f(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,n-1}}{n} w^n, \quad w \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,n} w^n.$$

In Sections 6 and 7, we study the “probabilistic case” that $a_k = P(X = k)$ for some random variable X with values in \mathbb{N}_0 . Then $a_{n,k} = P(S_n = k)$ and the functions in (1.6) have probabilistic interpretations. We derive some estimates for $a_{n,n}$ most of which are known from probability theory. Our function-theoretic proofs use the results in the previous sections. The behaviour of $a_{n,n}$ depends on whether $E(X) < 1$, $E(X) = 1$ or $1 < E(X) \leq \infty$ as is to be expected from large deviations theory, see the discussion at the end of Section 7.

In Section 8 we consider some open problems, in particular whether the domain $F \subset \mathbb{D}$ is hyperbolically convex. This question started us on the present investigation. One fact (Theorem 3.1) in favour is that, for estimates of the function values $f(w)$, we encounter the same extremal function k_a as in the theory of hyperbolically convex functions [MaMi94].

2. The domain F

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic and $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. If $z_0, z \in \mathbb{D}$ then

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z_0)}\varphi(z)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{z - z_0}{1 - \overline{z_0}z} \right|.$$

Subtracting the square of both sides from 1 we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1 - |\varphi(z_0)|^2}{1 - |z_0|^2} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *The set F defined by (1.2) is a starlike domain and we have*

$$(2.3) \quad |\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0)| < |z - z_0| \quad \text{for } z \in F, z_0 \in \overline{F} \cap \mathbb{D},$$

$$(2.4) \quad |\varphi'(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for } z \in F.$$

Proof. The inequality (2.3) follows at once from (2.2) because $|\varphi(z)| > |z|$ and $|\varphi(z_0)| \geq |z_0|$ by (1.2), and (2.4) follows from (2.2) for $z_0 = z$.

Let $z \in F$ and $0 < t < 1$. If $\varphi(tz) \in F$ then

$$(2.5) \quad t \frac{d}{dt} \log \left| \frac{tz}{\varphi(tz)} \right| = \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - tz \frac{\varphi'(tz)}{\varphi(tz)} \right) \geq 1 - |\varphi'(tz)| > 0$$

by (1.2) and (2.4). Now $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ and therefore $0 \in F$ by (1.2). Hence it follows from (2.5) by a standard argument from the theory of differential equations that $|tz/\varphi(tz)| < 1$ and thus $\varphi(tz) \in F$. Hence F is starlike with respect to 0 and thus connected. ■

Theorem 2.2. *If $\overline{F} \subset \mathbb{D}$ then ∂F is an analytic Jordan curve. If $\overline{F} \cap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathbb{D} \cap \partial F$ is the union of open analytic arcs C with $\overline{C} \setminus C \subset \mathbb{T}$ and furthermore*

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{T} \cap \partial F = \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |\varphi(\zeta)| = 1, |\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq 1 \}.$$

Here $\varphi(\zeta)$ is the angular limit and $\varphi'(\zeta)$ the angular derivative. By the Julia-Wolff Lemma [Po92, Prop. 4.13], the angular derivative $\varphi'(\zeta)$ always exists and does not vanish if $\varphi(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$ but may be infinite in general.

Proof. Let C be a maximal connected component of $\mathbb{D} \cap \partial F$. By (1.2) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log \left| \frac{z}{\varphi(z)} \right| = \frac{1}{2z} \left(1 - z \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} \right) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } z \in C.$$

Since C is maximal it follows that either C is an analytic Jordan curve in \mathbb{D} , or C is an analytic open Jordan arc with $\overline{C} \setminus C \subset \mathbb{T}$.

Now we prove (2.6). First let $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \cap \partial F$. Then there are $z_n \in F$ with $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $1 > |\varphi(z_n)| > |z_n| \rightarrow |\zeta| = 1$ and it follows [CoMa95, Th. 2.44] that $|\varphi(\zeta)| = 1$ and

$$|\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z_n)|}{1 - |z_n|} \leq 1.$$

Conversely, let $|\varphi(\zeta)| = 1$ and $|\varphi'(\zeta)| \leq 1$. Then it follows from the Julia-Wolff Lemma [Po92, Prop. 4.13] that, for $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\frac{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|}{1 + |\varphi(r\zeta)|} \leq \frac{|\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(r\zeta)|^2}{1 - |\varphi(r\zeta)|^2} \leq |\varphi'(\zeta)| \frac{|\zeta - r\zeta|^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \frac{1 - r}{1 + r}$$

and thus $|\varphi(r\zeta)| \geq r$ and $r\zeta \in \overline{F}$. Since F is starlike and $\mathbb{D} \cap \partial F$ consists of analytic arcs we conclude that $r\zeta \in F$ and therefore $\zeta \in \partial F$. ■

3. The fixed point function f

Let F be the starlike domain defined by (1.2) and let f be the conformal map of \mathbb{D} onto F normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $\arg f'(0) = \arg a$ where $a = \varphi(0) \neq 0$. By (1.2) the function $h := f/(\varphi \circ f)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} and satisfies $h(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Let $|w_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the case that $|f(w_n)| \rightarrow 1$ we have

$$1 \geq |h(w_n)| = \left| \frac{f(w_n)}{\varphi(f(w_n))} \right| \geq |f(w_n)| \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

in the other case we may assume that $w_n \rightarrow \omega \in \mathbb{T}$, $f(w_n) \rightarrow \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Then f is analytic in ω by Theorem 2.2 and $|h(\omega)| = 1$. Hence we have $|h(w)| \rightarrow 1$ as $|w| \rightarrow 1$. It follows that h is a finite Blaschke product. Since

$$h(0) = 0, \quad h'(0) = \frac{f'(0)}{a} > 0, \quad h(w) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < |w| < 1$$

by our normalization of f , we deduce that $h(w) \equiv w$.

Since $h(w) = f(w)/\varphi(f(w))$ it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad w\varphi(f(w)) = f(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}$$

so that $f(w)$ is a fixed point of the function $w\varphi$. Since $w\varphi$ has at most one fixed point in \mathbb{D} [CoMa95, p. 50], it follows that f is uniquely determined by (3.1) together with the property that $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. We call f the *fixed point function* of φ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad z = f(w) \quad \text{is the inverse function of} \quad w = \frac{z}{\varphi(z)}.$$

Differentiating (3.1) we obtain $\varphi(z) + w\varphi'(z)f'(w) = f'(w)$. Since $\varphi(0) = a$ it follows that $f'(0) = a$ and furthermore that

$$(3.3) \quad w \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{1}{1 - w\varphi'(f(w))} = \frac{1}{1 - z \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)}}.$$

Since $|z| < |\varphi(z)|$ for $z \in F$ we deduce, by Theorem 2.1, that

$$(3.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$. We define the function φ_w by $\varphi_w(z) = w\varphi(z)$; this is not an elliptic transformation of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D} because $|w| < 1$. Hence the iterates $\varphi_w^n = \varphi_w \circ \dots \circ \varphi_w$ satisfy [CoMa95, Th. 2.51]

$$\varphi_w^n(z) \rightarrow f(w) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{locally uniformly in } z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and it follows that the functions $f_n(w) := \varphi_w^n(w)$ satisfy

$$(3.5) \quad f_{n+1}(w) = w\varphi(f_n(w)), \quad f_n(w) \rightarrow f(w) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This is a recursive formula to compute $f(w)$.

Example 3.1 ([MaMi94, p. 85], [MePo00, p. 374]). Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $a \neq 0$ and

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi_a(z) = \frac{z + a}{1 + \bar{a}z} \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $|z| < |\varphi(z)| < 1$ holds if and only if $|z(1 + \bar{a}z)|^2 < |z + a|^2$ and it follows that

$$F_a = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D} : |z + a^{-1}| > |a|^{-1} \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} \right\}.$$

This is a lens-shaped domain. The normalized conformal map of \mathbb{D} onto F_a is

$$(3.7) \quad k_a(w) := \frac{2aw}{1 - w + \sqrt{(1 - w)^2 + 4|a|^2w}} = \frac{\sqrt{(1 - w)^2 + 4|a|^2w} - 1 + w}{2\bar{a}}.$$

Let $I_a = \{e^{it} : |t| \leq \pi/2 + \arcsin |a|\}$. Then

$$(3.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{k_a(w)}{aw} = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{wk'_a(w)}{k_a(w)} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } w \in I_a$$

and $|k_a(w)| = 1$ for $w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus I_a$. See also Example 5.1.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(w) = aw + \dots$ be the conformal map of \mathbb{D} onto F . Then

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi(f(w)) = \frac{f(w)}{w} \quad \text{is subordinate to } \frac{k_a(w)}{w},$$

$$(3.10) \quad |k_{|a|}(-|w|)| \leq |f(w)| \leq k_{|a|}(|w|) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D},$$

and furthermore, with $\alpha = \arccos |a|$,

$$(3.11) \quad \operatorname{area} f(\mathbb{D}) \leq \operatorname{area} k_a(\mathbb{D}) = \pi - \alpha + \tan \alpha - \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right) \tan^2 \alpha.$$

Proof. (a) We consider the functions

$$(3.12) \quad q(w) := \frac{f(w)}{w}, \quad g(w) := \frac{q(w) - a}{(1 - \bar{a}q(w))q(w)}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We put $z_0 = 0$ and $z = f(w)$ into (2.1). Since $q(0) = a$ and $\varphi(z) = q(w)$ by (3.1), we obtain that

$$(3.13) \quad |g(w)| \leq \frac{|z|}{|q(w)|} = \left| \frac{f(w)}{q(w)} \right| = |w| \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It follows from (3.12) that $gq - \bar{a}gq^2 = q - a$ and thus

$$q = -\frac{1 - g}{2\bar{a}g} \pm \frac{1}{2\bar{a}g} \sqrt{(1 - g)^2 + 4|a|^2g} = \frac{2a}{1 - g \pm \sqrt{(1 - g)^2 + 4|a|^2g}}.$$

Since $g(0) = 0$ we have to choose the plus-sign. Hence

$$\frac{f(w)}{w} = \frac{k_a(g(w))}{g(w)}, \quad |g(w)| \leq |w| \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}$$

by (3.7), (3.12) and (3.13). Hence (3.9) is true by the definition of subordination.

(b) Since $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi(0) = a$, we have

$$\frac{|a| - |z|}{1 - |az|} \leq |\varphi(z)| \leq \frac{|a| + |z|}{1 + |az|} \quad \text{for } |z| < 1.$$

We put $z = f(w)$. Since $\varphi(z) = f(w)/w$ we obtain

$$|f(w)|^2 + \frac{1 - |w|}{|a|} |f(w)| \leq |w| \leq \frac{1 + |w|}{|a|} |f(w)| - |f(w)|^2,$$

which implies (3.10) in view of (3.7).

(c) Let $A(r) = \text{area}\{f(w) : |w| < r\}$ for $0 < r < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2A(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re}\left(re^{it} f'(re^{it}) \overline{f(re^{it})}\right) dt \\ (3.14) \quad &= \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re}\left(re^{it} \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{1}{2}\right) |f|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain from (3.4) and $|f| \leq 1$ that

$$2A(r) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re}\left(re^{it} \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{1}{2}\right) dt + \frac{r^2}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left|\frac{f(re^{it})}{re^{it}}\right|^2 dt.$$

The first integral has the value $1/2$ and the second integral can be estimated by subordination [Hi73, Th. 18.5.3]; see (3.9). Hence

$$(3.15) \quad 2A(r) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |k_a(re^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Now we put $f = k_a$ in (3.14) and let $r \rightarrow 1$. Using (3.8) for $w \in I_\alpha$ and $|k_a(w)| = 1$ for $w \in \mathbb{T} \setminus I_\alpha$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{area } k_a(\mathbb{D}) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Re}\left(e^{it} \frac{k'_a}{k_a} - \frac{1}{2}\right) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |k_a(e^{it})|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |k_a(e^{it})|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude from (3.15) that $A(r) \leq \text{area } k_a(\mathbb{D})$. The area of $k_a(\mathbb{D}) = F_a$ is obtained by a geometrical calculation. This proves (3.11). ■

Theorem 3.2. *The fixed point function f has a continuous extension to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and moreover we have*

$$(3.16) \quad |f(w_1) - f(w_2)| \leq c_1 |w_1 - w_2|^{1/3},$$

$$(3.17) \quad |f'(w)| \leq c_2 (1 - |w|)^{-2/3},$$

where c_1 and c_2 depend only on φ .

It will follow from Theorem 4.3 and Example 4.2 that c_1 and c_2 can be arbitrarily large for suitable φ .

Proof. Let $b = \varphi'(0)/(1 - |a|^2)$. We assume first that φ is not a Möbius transformation of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D} so that $|b| < 1$. The function

$$(3.18) \quad \psi(\zeta, z) = \frac{\varphi(\zeta) - \varphi(z)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z)}\varphi(\zeta)} \frac{1 - \bar{z}\zeta}{\zeta - z}, \quad \zeta, z \in \mathbb{D},$$

satisfies $|\psi(\zeta, z)| < 1$ and is analytic in $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence

$$(3.19) \quad |\psi(\zeta, z)| \leq \frac{|\psi(0, z)| + |\zeta|}{1 + |\psi(0, z)||\zeta|} \quad \text{for } \zeta, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore $\chi(z) := z^{-1}(\varphi(z) - a)/(1 - \bar{a}\varphi(z))$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} and satisfies $\chi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\chi(0) = b$. Hence we see from (3.18) that

$$|\psi(0, z)| = \left| \frac{\varphi(z) - a}{z(1 - \bar{a}\varphi(z))} \right| = |\chi(z)| \leq \frac{|b| + |z|}{1 + |bz|}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and therefore

$$(3.20) \quad 1 - |\psi(0, z)| \geq \frac{(1 - |b|)(1 - |z|)}{1 + |bz|} > \frac{1 - |b|}{2}(1 - |z|).$$

Now let $z \in F$. We put $\zeta = z$ in (3.18). Since $1 - |\varphi(z)|^2 < 1 - |z|^2$ we obtain by (3.19) that

$$(3.21) \quad 1 - |\varphi'(z)| \geq 1 - |\psi(z, z)| \geq \frac{(1 - |\psi(0, z)|)(1 - |z|)}{1 + |\psi(0, z)||z|} > \frac{1 - |b|}{4}(1 - |z|)^2$$

in view of (3.20). We put $z = f(w)$ and deduce from (3.3) that

$$|f'(w)| \leq \frac{\left| \frac{f(w)}{w} \right|}{1 - |\varphi'(f(w))|} < \frac{4}{1 - |b|} \frac{1}{(1 - |f(w)|)^2}.$$

Since $|f(w)| < 1$ we also have

$$|f'(w)|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1 - |f(w)|^2}{1 - |w|^2} \right)^2 \leq 4 \left(\frac{1 - |f(w)|}{1 - |w|} \right)^2.$$

We multiply these two inequalities and take the third root to obtain

$$(3.22) \quad |f'(w)| < \left(\frac{16}{1 - |b|} \right)^{1/3} (1 - |w|)^{-2/3} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If φ is a Möbius transformation of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D} then φ is a rotation of the function in (3.7) and a direct computation shows that even $|f'(w)| < c_3(1 - |w|)^{-1/2}$.

Hence (3.17) holds, and (3.16) is a well-known consequence of (3.17). It follows that f has a continuous extension to $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. ■

4. The local boundary behaviour

Now we study the behaviour of the fixed point function f at a point $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$ where $\zeta = f(\omega) \in \mathbb{T}$ and investigate the shape of $F = f(\mathbb{D})$ near ζ . Replacing $\varphi(z)$ by $\bar{\zeta}\omega\varphi(\zeta z)$ and $f(\omega)$ by $\bar{\zeta}f(\omega\omega)$, we may assume that $\omega = \zeta = 1$.

Since $\varphi(1) = 1$ the angular derivative $\varphi'(1)$ is real and positive by the Julia-Wolff Lemma [Po92, p. 82] and it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $0 < \varphi'(1) \leq 1$. First we consider the case $\varphi'(1) < 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $\varphi'(1) < 1$. Then the angular derivative satisfies $1 < f'(1) < \infty$ and the domain $F = f(\mathbb{D})$ is tangential to \mathbb{T} at 1. If moreover $\varphi'(z) \rightarrow \varphi'(1)$ as $z \rightarrow 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$ then $f'(w) \rightarrow f'(1)$ as $w \rightarrow 1$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. Since $[0, 1) \subset F$ we see that $\Gamma = \{f^{-1}(x) : 0 \leq x < 1\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is a curve ending at 1. By (3.3) we have

$$f'(f^{-1}(x)) = \frac{x}{f^{-1}(x) \left(1 - \frac{x\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1 - \varphi'(1)} < \infty.$$

Now $\log f'$ is a Bloch function because f is univalent [Po92, Prop. 4.1] and we have $f'(w) \rightarrow 1/(1 - \varphi'(1))$ as $w \rightarrow 1$, $w \in \Gamma$. Hence it follows from the Theorem of Lehto and Virtanen [LeVi57] [Po92, Th. 4.3] that $f'(w) \rightarrow 1/(1 - \varphi'(1))$ as $w \rightarrow 1$ in every Stolz angle at 1.

Since f is univalent and $F \subset \mathbb{D}$, it follows [Po92, p. 80] from $f'(1) < \infty$ that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left\{w \in \mathbb{D} : |1 - w| < \delta, |\arg(1 - w)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon\right\} \subset F$$

so that F is tangential to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ at 1. The last assertion is obvious because of equation (3.3). \blacksquare

The case $\varphi'(1) = 1$ is more complicated and we have to make additional regularity assumptions. There are two subcases dealt with in the next two theorems.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ and suppose that*

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi''(z) \rightarrow b \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$$

with $b \neq 0$. Then $b = |b|e^{i\beta}$ with $-\pi/2 \leq \beta \leq \pi/2$ and

$$(4.2) \quad f'(w) \sim [2b(1 - w)]^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore, ∂F in a neighbourhood of 1 consists of two Jordan arcs C^\pm ending at 1 with tangents of angles ϑ^\pm where

$$(4.3) \quad \vartheta^+ = -\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \vartheta^- = -\frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \vartheta^+ - \vartheta^- = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

See Theorem 6.1 and Example 6.2 for some information about the case that $\varphi''(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. Since $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ it follows from (4.1) by integration that

$$\varphi(z) - z\varphi'(z) = -b(z - 1) + o(|z - 1|) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $b \neq 0$ we therefore obtain from (3.3) with $z = f(w)$ that

$$f'(w) = \left(\frac{1}{b} + o(1)\right) \frac{1}{1 - f(w)} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

and thus

$$(1 - f(w))^2 = 2 \int_w^1 (1 - f(s))f'(s) ds = \left(\frac{2}{b} + o(1)\right) (1 - w).$$

This implies (4.2). Furthermore it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \arg(1 - f(w)) = -\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \arg(1 - w) + o(1) \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D},$$

which implies the last assertion of the theorem. Since $|\arg(1 - f(w))| < \pi/2$ we conclude from (4.4) that $|\beta| \leq \pi/2$. ■

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ and suppose that (4.1) holds with $b = 0$ and furthermore that*

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi'''(z) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c < 0$ and

$$(4.6) \quad f'(w) \sim \left(\frac{2}{9}|c|^{-1}\right)^{-1/3} (1 - w)^{-2/3} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore ∂F in a neighbourhood of 1 consists of two Jordan arcs C^\pm ending at 1 with tangents of angles ϑ^\pm where

$$(4.7) \quad \vartheta^\pm = \pm \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad \vartheta^+ - \vartheta^- = \frac{\pi}{3}.$$

The value $c = \varphi'''(1)$ can be arbitrarily small as Example 4.2 will show. We do not know what happens if $b = 0$ and (4.5) does not hold.

Proof. Since $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ it follows from (4.1) with $b = 0$ and from (4.5) by integration that

$$(4.8) \quad \varphi(z) = z + \frac{1}{6}c(z - 1)^3 + o(|z - 1|^3) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For small $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we put $z = (1 - t^4)e^{it}$ and obtain

$$1 + \frac{8}{3}(\operatorname{Im} c)t^3 + o(t^3) = |\varphi(z)|^2 < 1.$$

Since t may have both positive and negative values, it follows that $\operatorname{Im} c = 0$ and thus $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi'(z) = 1 + \frac{c}{2}(z - 1)^2 + o(|z - 1|^2) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and therefore

$$-\frac{c}{2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(1 - \varphi'(x))}{(1-x)^2} \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |\varphi'(x)|}{(1-x)^2}.$$

Now φ is not a Möbius transformation of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D} because $\varphi''(1) = 0$. Hence the limes inferior is positive by (3.21) and therefore $c < 0$.

By (4.8) and (4.9) we have

$$\varphi(z) - z\varphi'(z) = -\frac{c}{2}(1-z)^2(1 + o(1))$$

and we thus obtain from (3.3) that

$$(4.10) \quad f'(w) = \left(\frac{2}{|c|} + o(1) \right) \frac{1}{(1-f(w))^2} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}$$

because $c < 0$. Since $f(1) = 1$ we deduce that

$$(1-f(w))^3 = 3 \int_w^1 (1-f(s))^2 f'(s) ds = \left(\frac{6}{|c|} + o(1) \right) (1-w).$$

Using again (4.10) we thus obtain (4.6). The final assertion follows by taking the argument in the last expression. ■

Example 4.1. We consider a *finite Blaschke product* φ of order $m \geq 1$ with $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, that is,

$$(4.11) \quad \varphi(z) = e^{i\tau} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \bar{z}_k z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $0 < |z_k| < 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. Logarithmic differentiation gives

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{z - z_k} + \frac{\bar{z}_k}{1 - \bar{z}_k z} \right) = \sum_k \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{(z - z_k)(1 - \bar{z}_k z)}.$$

If $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta \varphi'(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta)} &= \sum_k \frac{1 - |z_k|^2}{|\zeta - z_k|^2} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_k \frac{\zeta + z_k}{\zeta - z_k}, \\ \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta^2 \varphi''(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta)} - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta \varphi'(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta)} \right)^2 &= -\operatorname{Re} \sum_k \frac{\zeta + z_k}{\zeta - z_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $|\varphi'(\zeta)| = 1$. Then $\zeta \varphi'(\zeta)/\varphi(\zeta) = 1$ and thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_k \frac{\zeta + z_k}{\zeta - z_k} = 1, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta^2 \varphi''(\zeta)}{\varphi(\zeta)} = 0.$$

Hence it follows from Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 that there are only two possibilities for the corners of F .

- (i) $\varphi''(\zeta) \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta^2 \varphi''(\zeta)/\varphi(\zeta)) = 0$: a corner of angle $\pi/2$ with one side on \mathbb{T} .

(ii) $\varphi''(\zeta) = 0$: a symmetric corner of angle $\pi/3$.

In order to understand this, we study the behaviour of the Blaschke product (4.11) in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $*$ denote reflection in \mathbb{T} and let G_ν be the components of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{F}$. Since $|\varphi(1/z)| = 1/|\varphi(z)|$ we see that

$$E := \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : |\varphi(z)| = |z|\} = \mathbb{T} \cup \partial F \cup \partial F^*.$$

The components of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ are F , F^* and the domains G_ν and G_ν^* . Since $|\varphi(z)/z| = 1$ for $z \in \partial G_\nu$, it follows from the Minimum Principle that each G_ν contains at least one z_k . The arcs of $E = \{\log \varphi(z) - \log z \in i\mathbb{R}\}$ are the trajectories of the differential $i(\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z) - 1/z) dz$. Now trajectories meet only at the zeros and poles of the differential and the angles between trajectories at these zeros have the form π/n with $n = 2, 3, \dots$. This explains why (i) (where $n = 2$) and (ii) (where $n = 3$) are the only possible cases.

Example 4.2. We consider the special case

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{z + x_1}{1 + x_1 z} \frac{z + x_2}{1 + x_2 z}, \quad 0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1,$$

of the Blaschke product (4.11) where $3x_1x_2 + x_1 + x_2 = 1$. Starting from (4.12) we obtain $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$, $\varphi''(1) = 0$ and

$$\varphi'''(1) = -2 + \frac{2(1 - x_1^3)}{(1 + x_1)^3} + \frac{2(1 - x_2^3)}{(1 + x_2)^3}.$$

If $x_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ this expression tends to 0. Hence $\varphi'''(1)$ can be arbitrarily small if $\varphi'(1) = 1$ and $\varphi''(1) = 0$.

5. The Bürmann-Lagrange formula and the coefficients

We recall the statement of the *Bürmann-Lagrange formula*: let φ and ψ be analytic in a neighbourhood of 0 and $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. If $z = f(w)$ is the local inverse function of $w = z/\varphi(z)$, then [PoSz25, p. 125]

$$(5.1) \quad \psi(f(w)) = \psi(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n!} \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^{n-1} [\psi'(\zeta)\varphi(\zeta)^n] \Big|_{\zeta=0}.$$

This remarkable formula is easily proved by the Cauchy integral representation of the coefficients. It follows from (5.1) that

$$(5.2) \quad \psi'(f(w))f'(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n!} \left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)^n [\psi'(\zeta)\varphi(\zeta)^{n+1}] \Big|_{\zeta=0}.$$

The notation in (5.1) is precisely our notation introduced in Section 1. We write

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_0 = a = \varphi(0)$$

and define the numbers $a_{n,k}$, $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi(z)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} z^k.$$

In particular we have $a_{1,k} = a_k$ and $a_{n,0} = a^n$.

Now let ψ be defined by $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(z) = \varphi(z)^p$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\varphi(f(w)) = f(w)/w$ we obtain from (5.2) and (5.4) that

$$(5.5) \quad f(w)^p f'(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p+1,n} w^{n+p} = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_{n+1,n-p} w^n.$$

For $p = m - 1$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows by integration that

$$(5.6) \quad f(w)^m = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{m}{n} a_{n,n-m} w^n.$$

The recursion formula (3.5) shows that the coefficients $n^{-1} a_{n,n-1}$ of f belong to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$.

If we choose $p = -1$ then we can write (5.5) as

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{w f'(w)}{f(w)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,n} w^n;$$

see (3.3) for the significance of this function. If we divide by w and integrate we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad \log \frac{f(w)}{w} = \log a + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,n}}{n} w^n.$$

Example 5.1. As in Example 3.1, we consider $\varphi(z) = (z + a)/(1 + \bar{a}z)$ where $0 < |a| < 1$. Then $\varphi(z)^n = (z + a)^n (1 + \bar{a}z)^{-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore, by (5.4),

$$a_{n,k} = a^{n-k} \sum_{j=\max(k-n,0)}^k (-1)^j \binom{n}{k-j} \binom{n+j-1}{n-1} |a|^{2j}.$$

Example 5.2. Let $0 < \beta < \infty$ and

$$(5.9) \quad \varphi(z) = \exp\left(-\beta \frac{1-z}{1+z}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\varphi(z)^n = e^{-\beta n} \exp\left(\frac{2\beta n z}{1+z}\right) = e^{-\beta n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k L_k^{(-1)}(2\beta n) z^k,$$

where the $L_k^{(\alpha)}(x)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials [MaObSo66, p. 242]. Since $L_k^{(-1)}(x) = -k^{-1}x L_{k-1}^{(1)}(x)$ it follows that, for $k \geq 1$,

$$(5.10) \quad a_{n,k} = (-1)^k \frac{2\beta n}{n} e^{-\beta n} L_{k-1}^{(1)}(2\beta n).$$

We see from (5.9) that $|\varphi(e^{it})| = 1$ and $|\varphi'(e^{it})| = \beta/(1 + \cos t)$ for $-\pi < t < \pi$ whereas $\varphi(-1) = 0$. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\overline{F} \subset \mathbb{D}$ for $\beta > 2$ and $\mathbb{T} \cap \partial F = \{e^{it} : \cos t \geq \beta - 1\}$ for $\beta \leq 2$. Now we consider the case $\beta = 2$ where $\mathbb{T} \cap \partial F = \{1\}$ and moreover $\varphi'(1) = 1, \varphi''(1) = 0$. Using the asymptotic formula of Erdelyi [MaObSo66, p. 245] we obtain from (5.10) that

$$(5.11) \quad a_{n,n+j} \sim \frac{(2/3)^{2/3}}{\Gamma(2/3)} n^{-1/3} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2/3})$$

for fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the special case $j = 0$ the last term can be replaced by $\mathcal{O}(n^{-3/4})$. The order of growth is in accordance with Theorem 4.3.

The following special but frequently occurring case is related to Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that φ is analytic in the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and that $\mathbb{T} \cap \partial F = \{\zeta\}, |\varphi'(\zeta)| = 1$ and $\varphi''(\zeta) \neq 0$. Then*

$$(5.12) \quad a_{n,n} = \left(\frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{2\pi\zeta^2\varphi''(\zeta)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{\zeta} \right)^n n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}).$$

Proof. We may assume that $\zeta = \varphi(\zeta) = 1$ and therefore $\varphi'(1) = 1$; see Section 4. Writing $b = \varphi''(1)$ we have

$$w = \frac{z}{\varphi(z)} = 1 - \frac{b}{2}(z - 1)^2 + \dots \quad \text{near } z = 1.$$

Hence the inverse function has the form

$$z = f(w) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k(w - 1)^{k/2} \quad \text{near } w = 1,$$

where $d_1 = \sqrt{-2/b}$. It follows that, for some $\rho > 0$,

$$(5.13) \quad g(w) := \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{d_1}{2}(w - 1)^{-1/2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k(w - 1)^{k/2} \quad \text{for } |w - 1| \leq \rho.$$

Since $\mathbb{T} \cap \partial F = \{1\}$ the function f is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}$. Hence, by (5.13), there is $r \in (1, 1 + \rho)$ such that g is single-valued and analytic in $\{|w| \leq r\} \setminus [1, r]$. On the two sides S^\pm of $[1, r]$ the values differ according to (5.13). We integrate along the curve consisting of S^-, S^+ and $\{|w| = r\}$ and we obtain by (5.7) that

$$(5.14) \quad a_{n,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{g(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^+ \cup S^-} \frac{g(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw.$$

Since g is bounded on $\{|w| = r\}$ and since $r > 1$, we have

$$(5.15) \quad \int_{|w|=r} \frac{g(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^n}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We obtain from (5.13) that

$$\left|g(u) - \frac{d_1}{2}(u-1)^{-1/2} - b_0\right| \leq c_1(u-1)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u \leq r;$$

here c_1 and c_2 are suitable constants. Since S^+ and S^- have opposite orientation the term b_0 cancels on integration. Hence we see that

$$\left|\int_{S^+ \cup S^-} g(w) \frac{dw}{w^{n+1}} - d_1 \int_1^r (u-1)^{-1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}}\right| \leq c_2 \int_1^r (u-1)^{1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}}.$$

The substitution $u = 1/(1-x)$ yields

$$\int_1^r (u-1)^{\pm 1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}} = \int_0^\delta x^{\pm 1/2} (1-x)^{n \mp 1/2 - 1} dx,$$

where $\delta = (r-1)/r$. This is an incomplete beta-integral. Hence the last integral equals [MaObSo66, p. 7]

$$\frac{\Gamma(1 \pm \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n \mp \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+1)} - \int_r^\infty (u-1)^{\pm 1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}}$$

where the second term is $\mathcal{O}(r^{-n})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^r (u-1)^{-1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}} &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n+1)} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-n}) = \sqrt{\pi}n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}), \\ \int_1^r (u-1)^{+1/2} \frac{du}{u^{n+1}} &= \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from (5.14) and (5.15) that

$$a_{n,n} = \frac{d_1}{2\pi i} n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and we have $d_1/(2\pi i) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi b}$. ■

6. The probabilistic case

Now we assume that our function φ has the form

$$(6.1) \quad \varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k, \quad a_0 = a > 0, a_k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(1) = 1.$$

We shall call this the *probabilistic case*. It is clear that $|\varphi(z)| < \varphi(1) = 1$. Now φ is the generating function of a random variable X with values in \mathbb{N}_0 and distribution

$$a_k = P(X = k) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The mean value of the random variable X is

$$(6.2) \quad \mu := E(X) = \varphi'(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq +\infty.$$

Let $a_{n,k}$ be the coefficients of $\varphi(z)^n$ as in (5.4). Then [Fe68, p. 286]

$$(6.3) \quad a_{n,k} = P(X_1 + \cdots + X_n = k) = P(S_n = k),$$

where X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables with $P(X_\nu = k) = a_k$ for all ν and k and where $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. In particular, by (5.6) and (5.7) we have

$$(6.4) \quad f(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(S_n = n-1) w^n,$$

$$(6.5) \quad w \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,n} w^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S_n = n) w^n.$$

Furthermore we claim that

$$(6.6) \quad w \varphi'(f(w)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n = n, S_k \neq k \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n) w^n,$$

so that $w \varphi'(f(w))$ is the generating function of the probability that $S_k = k$ at $k = n$ for the first time. The identity (6.6) is true because, by (3.3),

$$(6.7) \quad w \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{1}{1 - w \varphi'(f(w))} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D},$$

which corresponds to formula (3.2) in [Fe68, p. 311]. Since the events in (6.6) are disjoint we have

$$(6.8) \quad \varphi'(f(1)) = P(\exists n > 0 : S_n = n).$$

The fixed point function can also be interpreted in terms of branching processes [Fe68, p. 293], [AtNe72]. Let Z_m denote the random number of individuals in the m th generation where $Z_0 = 1$. The individuals reproduce independently and the number of children of each is distributed as is X . Then [Fe68, p. 296]

$$(6.9) \quad \varphi^m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(Z_m = k) z^k,$$

where φ^m denotes the m th iterate $\varphi \circ \cdots \circ \varphi$. Now $Y_m = Z_0 + Z_1 + \cdots + Z_m$ is the total number of individuals that ever lived up to and including the m th generation. Writing

$$f_m(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y_m = n) w^n$$

we have [Fe68, p. 298].

$$f_{m+1}(w) = w\varphi(f_m(w)) = \varphi_w(f_m(w)) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where $\varphi_w(z) = w\varphi(z)$. Hence $f_m(w) = \varphi_w^m(w)$ and it follows from (3.5) that $f_m \rightarrow f$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The random variable

$$Y := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Y_m \leq \infty$$

is the total number of all descendants over all generations and we have

$$(6.10) \quad f(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y = n)w^n,$$

hence $P(Y < \infty) = f(1)$ and $E(Y \mid Y < \infty) = f'(1)$.

Example 6.1 (compare [Fe68, p. 272] [Fe68, p. 299]). We consider the geometric distribution

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{q}{1 - pz}, \quad q = 1 - p, \quad 0 < p < 1,$$

so that $\mu = E(X) = p/q$. By (3.2) the function $z = f(w)$ is the solution of $w = z(1 - pz)/q$ with $f(0) = 0$ and thus

$$f(w) = \frac{2qw}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4pqw}}, \quad w \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - 4pqw}}$$

and therefore, by (6.7),

$$w\varphi'(f(w)) = \frac{4pqw}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4pqw})^2} = \frac{p}{qw} f(w)^2.$$

The equation $\partial F = f(\mathbb{T})$ can be written as $|(2pz - 1)^2 - 1| = 4pq$, $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This is one leaf of a Cassinian curve which becomes a lemniscate for $p = 1/2$.

The probabilistic interpretations (6.10) and (6.8) in this classical example are as follows: for $0 < p \leq 1/2$ we obtain

$$P(Y < \infty) = f(1) = 1,$$

$$E(Y) = f'(1) = \frac{q}{q - p} \leq \infty,$$

$$P(\exists n > 0 : S_n = n) = \varphi'(f(1)) = \frac{p}{q},$$

whereas for $1/2 < p < 1$ we obtain

$$P(Y < \infty) = f(1) = \frac{q}{p},$$

$$E(Y \mid Y < \infty) = f'(1) = \frac{p}{p - q}$$

$$P(\exists n > 0 : S_n = n) = \varphi'(f(1)) = \frac{q}{p}.$$

Now we specialize some of our general results to the probabilistic case. Let again $\mu = E(X) = \varphi'(1)$. If $1 < \mu \leq \infty$ then we have $f(1) < 1$ by Theorem 2.2. If $0 < \mu < 1$ then it follows from Theorem 2.2 and from (6.7) that

$$(6.11) \quad f(w) \rightarrow 1, \quad f'(w) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1 - \mu} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $\mu = 1$. Then the variance is $\sigma^2 = V(X) = 2\varphi''(1)$. First we assume that $\sigma < \infty$. Since the coefficients are non-negative it follows that φ'' is continuous at 1. Hence we obtain from Theorem 4.2 that

$$(6.12) \quad f(w) \rightarrow 1, \quad f'(w) \sim \frac{1}{\sigma}(1 - w)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 1, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore we obtain from (4.3) with $\beta = 0$ that ∂F in a neighbourhood of 1 consists of two Jordan arcs symmetric to \mathbb{R} with tangent angles $\pm\pi/4$.

Theorem 6.1. *If the variance is infinite and thus $\varphi''(1) = \infty$ then*

$$(6.13) \quad f'(w) = o((1 - |w|)^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } |w| \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.1 below we have $a_{n,n} = o(n^{-1/2})$. Hence it follows from (6.5) that

$$|f'(w)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,n}|w|^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} o(n^{-1/2}|w|^n) = o((1 - |w|)^{-1/2}).$$

■

It is not clear whether ∂F always has tangents at 1 if $\varphi''(1) = \infty$. In any case the angle can be any number between $\pi/2$ and π as the following example shows.

Example 6.2. Let $1 < \alpha < 2$. The function

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= z + \frac{1}{2\alpha}(1 - z)^\alpha + \frac{1}{4}(1 - z)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\alpha}\right) + \frac{\alpha}{4}z^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha - 1)(2 - \alpha) \cdots (k - 1 - \alpha)}{2k!} z^k \end{aligned}$$

has non-negative coefficients. It satisfies $\varphi(1) = 1$ and

$$\varphi'(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - z)^{\alpha-1}, \quad \varphi''(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2}(1 - z)^{\alpha-2}.$$

It follows that $\mu = \varphi'(1) = 1$ and $\varphi''(1) = \infty$ and thus $\sigma = \infty$. Furthermore

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(z)}{z} \right|^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{Re}((1-z)^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(|1-z|^2) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If $z \in \partial F$ then $|\varphi(z)| = |z|$ and it follows that

$$\cos(\alpha \arg(1-z)) = \mathcal{O}(|1-z|^{2-\alpha}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1, z \in \partial F.$$

Hence $\arg(1-z) \rightarrow \pm\pi/(2\alpha)$ so that F has a symmetric corner of opening angle $\pi/\alpha \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ at 1.

We need the following theorem in Section 7. Let $1 < \varphi'(1) \leq \infty$. Then the function

$$(6.14) \quad x\varphi'(x) - \varphi(x) = -a + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k x^k, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

is increasing from $-a < 0$ to $\varphi'(1) - 1 > 0$. Hence there is a unique $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(6.15) \quad r\varphi'(r) = \varphi(r).$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $1 < \varphi'(1) \leq \infty$ and let $r \in (0, 1)$ be defined by (6.15). Then $r > \varphi(r)$ and the function $\varphi(z)/z$ is univalent in $\{|z| < r\}$. Furthermore f has an analytic continuation to $\{|w| < r/\varphi(r)\}$ with values in \mathbb{D} .*

Proof. Let $|z| < r$. Then we see (compare (6.14)) that

$$|z\varphi'(z) - \varphi(z) + a| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k r^k = r\varphi'(r) - \varphi(r) + a = a$$

by (6.15). It follows that the function

$$(6.16) \quad \psi(z) = \frac{z\varphi'(z) - \varphi(z) + a}{z^2} = a_2 + \dots, \quad |z| < r,$$

is analytic and bounded by a . If $|z_1| < r$, $|z_2| < r$ and $z_1 \neq z_2$ then

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\varphi(z_2)}{z_2} - \frac{\varphi(z_1)}{z_1} \right| &= \left| \frac{a(z_1 - z_2)}{z_1 z_2} + \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{\varphi(z) - a}{z} dz \right| \\ &\geq \frac{a|z_1 - z_2|}{|z_1 z_2|} - \int_{z_1}^{z_2} |\psi(z)| |dz| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi(z)/z$ is univalent in $\{|z| < r\}$.

If $r < x < 1$ then $(\varphi(x)/x)' = (x\varphi'(x) - \varphi(x))/x^2 > 0$ by (6.14) and (6.15), and since $\varphi(1) = 1$ we deduce that $\varphi(r)/r < 1$. We have just shown that $w = z/\varphi(z)$ is univalent in $|z| < r$ and thus has a well-defined inverse function which is an analytic continuation of our function f . Since

$$|w| = \left| \frac{z}{\varphi(z)} \right| \geq \frac{|z|}{\varphi(|z|)} \rightarrow \frac{r}{\varphi(r)} \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow r,$$

this continuation is valid at least in $\{|w| < r/\varphi(r)\}$. ■

7. The coefficients in the probabilistic case

We assume again that (6.1) holds and define the $a_{n,k}$ by (5.4). The random variable X or its generating function φ is called *periodic* if there exists $q \geq 2$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad a_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

Otherwise φ is called *aperiodic*. If φ is periodic and q is maximal in (7.1) then

$$(7.2) \quad \varphi^*(z) = \varphi(z^{1/q}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{qj} z^j$$

is an aperiodic generating function. This way it is easy to reduce the periodic case to the aperiodic case.

Lemma 7.1. *If $0 < a < 1$ and φ is aperiodic then $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, $z \neq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|\varphi(e^{it})| = 1$ for some t with $0 < t < 2\pi$ and let $k > 0$ be such that $a_k > 0$. Then

$$1 = |\varphi(e^{it})| \leq |a + a_k e^{ikt}| + \sum_{j \neq 0, k} a_j \leq 1.$$

Since $a > 0$ and $a_k > 0$ it follows that $t = 2\pi\nu/k$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\nu \neq 0$. Hence we can write $t = \pm 2\pi p/q$ with relative prime p and q . It follows that k is divisible by a fixed q whenever $a_k > 0$. Hence (7.1) holds. ■

Theorem 7.1. *Let φ be aperiodic and write $a_{n,k} = P(S_n = k)$. Let the variance satisfy $0 < \sigma^2 \leq \infty$. Then*

$$(7.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\sigma}},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \sup_k a_{n,k} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}.$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\sin \tau| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|\tau|$ for $|\tau| \leq \delta$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_m = a_0 + \dots + a_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m a_k e^{ikt} \right|^2 &= \left| s_m - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k (1 - e^{ikt}) \right|^2 \\ &= s_m^2 - 4s_m \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \left(\sin \frac{kt}{2} \right)^2 + \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k (1 - e^{ikt}) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|1 - e^{ikt}| \leq k|t|$ this is not larger than

$$s_m^2 - (1 - \varepsilon)^2 s_m \sum_{k=1}^m k^2 a_k t^2 + \left(\sum_{k=1}^m k a_k \right)^2 t^2$$

for $|t| \leq \delta/m$. Since $\sqrt{x+y} \leq \sqrt{x} + y/(2\sqrt{x})$ for $x > 0, x + y \geq 0$, it follows that

$$(7.5) \quad |\varphi(e^{it})| \leq s_m - \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{2} \sum_1^m k^2 a_k t^2 + \frac{1}{2s_m} \left(\sum_1^m k a_k \right)^2 t^2 + \sum_{m+1}^\infty a_k = 1 - c_m^2 t^2$$

for $|t| \leq \delta/m$ where

$$c_m^2 = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{2} \sum_1^m k^2 a_k - \frac{1}{2s_m} \left(\sum_1^m k a_k \right)^2,$$

which is positive for small ε and large m , see (7.7) below. Let η_m denote the minimum of δ/m and $1/c_m$. Since φ is aperiodic it follows from Lemma 7.1 that

$$(7.6) \quad |\varphi(e^{it})| \leq b_m = b_m(\varepsilon) < 1 \quad \text{for } \eta_m \leq |t| \leq m.$$

By Parseval's formula we obtain from (7.5) and (7.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k}^2 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\eta_m} |\varphi(e^{it})|^{2n} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\eta_m}^\pi |\varphi(e^{it})|^{2n} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\eta_m} (1 - c_m^2 t^2)^{2n} dt + b_m^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute $\tau = c_m^2 t^2$ in the last integral. Then $0 < \tau \leq 1$ so that this integral is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi c_m} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)^{2n} \tau^{-1/2} d\tau = \frac{\Gamma(2n + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{2\pi c_m \Gamma(2n + \frac{3}{2})} \sim \frac{(2n)^{-1/2}}{2\sqrt{\pi} c_m}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we conclude from (7.7) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi} c_m}.$$

Now let $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} c_m^2 &\rightarrow \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^2 a_k - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty k a_k \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{2} \sigma^2 - \left(\varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty k a_k \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

if $\sigma < \infty$ and $c_m \rightarrow \infty$ if $\sigma = \infty$. Hence (7.3) holds.

To prove (7.4) we assume that $n = 2\nu + 1$; the case $n = 2\nu$ is slightly simpler. Since $\varphi(z)^{2\nu+1} = \varphi(z)^\nu \varphi(z)^{\nu+1}$ it follows from the definition (5.4) of $a_{n,k}$ that

$$a_{2\nu+1,k} = \sum_{j=0}^k a_{\nu,j} a_{\nu+1,k-j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{\nu,j}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{\nu+1,j}^2.$$

Hence we deduce from (7.3) that

$$\limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2\nu + 1} \sup_k a_{2\nu+1,k} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{\pi\sigma}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}.$$

■

Now let $\mu = \varphi'(1) = E(X)$. We only consider the coefficients

$$a_{n,n} = P(X_1 + \dots + X_n = n) = P(S_n = n)$$

of $wf'(w)/f(w)$; see (6.3).

First we consider the case $\mu = 1$. Then $E(S_n) = n$ and $a_{n,n}$ is the probability to be precisely in equilibrium at time n . Theorem 7.1 shows that $a_{n,n} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2})$ and the order of growth is sharp. Much more than (7.4) can be said if we assume that the variance σ^2 is finite. Then [Pe75, p. 187]

$$a_{n,n+j} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n\sigma}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for fixed } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that for $\mu = 1$ the inequality (7.4) can always be replaced by

$$\sqrt{n} \sup_k a_{n,k} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we consider the case $1 < \mu \leq \infty$. Then S_n tends to be much larger than n at time n .

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose that φ is aperiodic and that $\mu = \varphi'(1) > 1$. If $r \in (0, 1)$ is the unique solution of (6.15), then*

$$(7.8) \quad a_{n,n} = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(r)}{2\pi r^2 \varphi''(r)}} \left(\frac{\varphi(r)}{r}\right)^n n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\varphi(r)}{r}\right)^n n^{-3/2}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that $\varphi(r)/r < 1$ by Theorem 6.2. Thus we have an exponential decay of $P(S_n = n)$ without any finiteness conditions, see (7.13) below.

Proof. We have $\rho := \varphi(r)/r < 1$. We consider the functions

$$(7.9) \quad \varphi^*(z) := \frac{\varphi(rz)}{\varphi(r)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f^*(w) := \frac{1}{r} f\left(\frac{w}{\rho}\right) \quad w \in \mathbb{D};$$

the second function is analytic by Theorem 6.2. It follows from (1.4) that

$$w\varphi^*(f^*(w)) = \frac{w}{\varphi(r)} \varphi\left(f\left(\frac{w}{\rho}\right)\right) = r^{-1} f\left(\frac{w}{\rho}\right) = f^*(w).$$

Hence f^* is the fixed point function of φ^* . Now φ^* is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ because $r < 1$ and it satisfies

$$\varphi^*(1) = 1, \quad \varphi^{*'}(1) = \frac{r\varphi'(r)}{\varphi(r)} = 1, \quad \varphi^{*''}(1) = \frac{r^2\varphi''(r)}{\varphi(r)}$$

by (6.15). Since φ^* is aperiodic it follows from Lemma 7.1 that $|\varphi^*(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, z \neq 1$. Hence $\mathbb{T} \cap \partial f^*(\mathbb{D}) = \{1\}$ and we obtain from Theorem 5.1 that

$$(7.10) \quad a_{n,n}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\varphi(r)}{2\pi r^2 \varphi''(r)}} n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (5.4) and (7.9) we have

$$\varphi(z)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k}^* \varphi(r)^n \left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^k.$$

Hence (7.8) follows from (7.10). ■

Finally we discuss the connection with the theory of *large deviations*; see for e.g. [DeZe93]. For $x > 0$ we define $\varphi(x) = \infty$ whenever the power series of φ does not converge. Then

$$(7.11) \quad R = \sup\{x > 0 : \varphi(x) < \infty\} \leq +\infty$$

is the radius of convergence of this power series. Specializing [DeZe93, Def. 2.2.2] we define

$$(7.12) \quad \rho := \inf_{0 < x < \infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} = \exp\left(\inf_{t \leq R} (\log E(e^{tX}) - t)\right) \leq 1.$$

It follows from the upper limit in Cramér’s Theorem on large deviations [DeZe93, Th. 2.2.3] that

$$(7.13) \quad a_{n,n} = \mathcal{O}((\rho + \varepsilon)^n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let again $\mu = E(X)$. If $1 < \mu \leq \infty$ then $\rho = \varphi(r)/r < 1$ where $r \in (0, 1)$ is the unique solution of $r\varphi'(r) = r$; compare (6.11). Hence we get an exponential decay as in Theorem 7.2.

Now let $\mu < 1$. If $R = 1$ then $\rho = 1$ by (7.12) so that (7.13) is trivial. Therefore we suppose that $1 < R \leq \infty$. This corresponds to the assumption that $E(e^{\lambda X}) < \infty$ for λ near 0 as, for example, in the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem [DeZe93, Th. 2.3.6]. Since $x\varphi'(x) - \varphi(x)$ is increasing in $(0, R)$ and is $\mu - 1 < 0$ for $x = 1$, there are two alternatives.

- (a) There exists $r \in (1, R)$ satisfying (6.15): then $\rho = \varphi(r)/r$ by (7.12) and $\rho < 1$ because $\varphi(1) = 1$. As in (7.9) we consider the function

$$\varphi^*(z) = \frac{\varphi(rz)}{\varphi(r)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{r^k}{\varphi(r)} z^k$$

which is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence we obtain from Theorem 5.1 that (7.8) holds, that is

$$a_{n,n} = c\rho^n n^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^n n^{-3/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, c > 0.$$

- (b) We have $x\varphi'(x) - \varphi(x) < 0$ for $1 \leq x < R$: then $R < \infty$ and $(\varphi(x)/x)' < 0$. Therefore we have $\rho = \varphi(R)/R < \varphi(1) = 1$. Now we consider the function $\varphi^*(z) = \varphi(Rz)/\varphi(R)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, which satisfies $\varphi^{*'}(1) \leq 1$. Applying Theorem 7.1 to φ^* we obtain that

$$a_{n,n} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^n n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \mathcal{O} can be replaced by \mathcal{o} if $\varphi''(R) = \infty$ and thus $\varphi^{*''}(1) = \infty$.

8. Hyperbolic convexity and other problems

The domain $G \subset \mathbb{D}$ is called *hyperbolically convex* (h-convex) if, for every pair $z_1, z_2 \in G$, the hyperbolic line segment between z_1 and z_2 also lies in G . A conformal map g of \mathbb{D} into \mathbb{D} is called h-convex if $g(\mathbb{D})$ is h-convex. These maps were studied in [MaMi94] and later e.g. in [MePo98] and [MePo00].

The following theorem is an easy consequence of a result of V.Jørgensen [Jø56].

Theorem 8.1. *If the function $\varphi(z)/z$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} then the fixed point function f is hyperbolically convex.*

Proof. The meromorphic function $\chi(z) = \varphi(z)/z$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, satisfies

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |\chi(z)| = \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1} |\varphi(z)| \leq 1.$$

Since χ is univalent and $\chi(0) = \infty$, it follows that the disk $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ lies in $\chi(\mathbb{D})$. Using again that χ is univalent we conclude from Jørgensen's Theorem [Jø56] and from (1.2) that the preimage $\chi^{-1}(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\chi(z)| > 1\} = F = f(\mathbb{D})$ is h-convex. ■

Theorem 8.2. *If the coefficients a_k of φ satisfy*

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)|a_k| \leq |a_0| = |a|$$

then f is hyperbolically convex. In particular this holds in the probabilistic case (6.1) if $\varphi'(1) = E(X) \leq 1$.

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 but now with $r = 1$. By (8.1) the function ψ defined by (6.16) is bounded by $|a|$, so that $\varphi(z)/z$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} . Hence f is h-convex by Theorem 8.1. In the probabilistic case we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k = \varphi'(1) - \varphi(1) + a \leq a.$$

■

In general the function $\varphi(z)/z$ is not univalent in \mathbb{D} , for instance if φ has more than one zero. This raises the following

Problem 1. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic and $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. Is it always true that the domain $F = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < |\varphi(z)|\}$ is hyperbolically convex?

There are some indications that may point in this direction. By (3.4) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This inequality holds [MePo00, Th. 2.1] for all h-convex functions f with $f(0) = 0$ and, together with the hyperbolic invariance of h-convexity, plays an important role in the theory of h-convex functions. But there does not seem to be any hyperbolic invariance in our present situation.

The function k_a of Example 3.1 is also the extremal function for various problems on h-convex functions [MaMi94]. It follows from (3.9) in Theorem 3.1 that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f(w)}{aw} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - |a|^2}} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}$$

and this inequality is conjectured [MePo98] to be true for h-convex functions.

The boundary of any h-convex domain has length at most π^2 ; see [BF83]. It follows that the derivative belongs to the Hardy space H^1 . Thus the following question is a weaker form of Problem 1.

Problem 2. Let f map \mathbb{D} conformally onto F with $f(0) = 0$. Does ∂F have length at most π^2 or does at least $f' \in H^1$ hold?

The coefficients of any function in H^1 tend to 0. Hence we see from (5.7) that $f' \in H^1$ implies $a_{n,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But we do not even know whether this is generally true. However, Theorems 3.2, 4.3 and 5.1 suggest the following question.

Problem 3. Is it true that $a_{n,n} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/3})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

By Theorem 7.1 this is true, even with $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2})$, in the probabilistic case. Furthermore, in Example 5.2 with $\beta = 2$, we have precisely the order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1/3})$.

A *quasicircle* is a Jordan curve J such that

$$\operatorname{diam} J(z_1, z_2) \leq c|z_1 - z_2| \quad \text{for } z_1, z_2 \in J,$$

where $J(z_1, z_2)$ is the smaller arc of J between z_1 and z_2 and c is a constant. In particular J does not have cusps. In all our examples and in the regular situations in Section 4, there are no cusps.

Problem 4. Is ∂F a quasicircle?

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank E. Bolthausen (Zürich) and the stochastics group at the TU Berlin, in particular J. Gärtner and M. Scheutzow, for their kind advice on large deviations theory. We also wish to thank M. Porter (Mexico) for his computer graphics examples.

References

- AtNe72. K. B. Athreya and P. E. Ney, *Branching Processes*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- BF83. B. Brown Flinn, Hyperbolic convexity and level sets of analytic functions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **32** (1983), 831–841.
- CoMa95. C. C. Cowen and B. C. Maccluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- DeZe93. A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, Jones and Bartlett Publ., Boston, 1993.
- Fe68. W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications I*, 3rd edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- Hi73. E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory*, Chelsea Publ.Comp., New York, 1973.
- Jø56. V. Jørgensen, On an inequality for hyperbolic measure and its applications in the theory of functions, *Math. Scand.* **4** (1956), 113–124.
- LeVi57. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.* **97** (1957), 47–65.
- MaObSo66. W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R. P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- MaMi94. W. Ma and D. Minda, Hyperbolically convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **60** (1994), 81–100.
- MePo98. D. Mejía and Ch. Pommerenke, Sobre aplicaciones conformes hiperbólicamente convexas, *Rev. Colombiana Mat.* **32** (1998), 29–43.
- MePo00. ———, On hyperbolically convex functions, *J. Geom. Analysis* **10** (2000), 365–378.
- Pe75. V. V. Petrov, *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- PoSz25. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I*, Springer, Berlin, 1925.
- Po92. Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

Diego Mejía

E-MAIL: dmejia@unalmed.edu.co

ADDRESS: *Universidad Nacional, Departamento de Matemáticas, A.A. 3840, Medellín, Colombia.*

Christian Pommerenke

E-MAIL: pommeren@math.tu-berlin.de

ADDRESS: *Technische Universität, Institut für Mathematik, MA 8-2, 10623 Berlin, Germany.*