

On the Boundary Behaviour of Polymorphic Functions

Diego Mejía and Christian Pommerenke

(Communicated by Stephan Ruscheweyh)

Abstract. Let Φ be a Fuchsian group acting on the unit disk \mathbb{D} . This group may be infinitely generated and may have elliptic elements. A non-constant function f meromorphic in \mathbb{D} is called Φ -*polymorphic* if, for every $\varphi \in \Phi$, there is a Moebius transformation γ such that $f \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ f$. This induces a homomorphism f^* of Φ into $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. The image group $\Gamma := f^*(\Phi)$ need not be discrete. In this paper we study function-theoretic properties of a polymorphic function f and its relation to the limit sets and fixed points of Φ and the image group Γ . This has some consequences for degenerate Kleinian groups.

Keywords. Polymorphic function, normal function, boundary behaviour, Fuchsian group, Kleinian group.

2000 MSC. 30F35, 30D45, 30F40.

1. Introduction

Let Φ be a Fuchsian group acting on the unit disk \mathbb{D} . This group may be infinitely generated and may have elliptic elements. A non-constant function f meromorphic in \mathbb{D} is called Φ -*polymorphic* if, for every $\varphi \in \Phi$, there is a Moebius transformation γ such that $f \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ f$. Defining $f^*(\varphi) := \gamma$ we obtain a homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad f^*: \Phi \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad f \circ \varphi = f^*(\varphi) \circ f.$$

The image group $\Gamma := f^*(\Phi)$ need not be discrete. We will however often assume that Γ is non-elementary. We use the term elementary in the wide sense, that is, including the elliptic groups [1, p. 84].

We use the classical name “polymorphic function” [9, 10, 25]. In the context of the Riemann surface \mathbb{D}/Γ , the polymorphic function is called the developing map of a projective structure, or of a branched projective structure if f is not locally

Received February 22, 2011, in revised form October 22, 2011.

Published online January 21, 2012.

The first author was partially supported by COLCIENCIAS, project 1118-521-28160.

univalent, see [8, 11, 7, 2]. Families of homomorphisms (1.1) are for example considered in [28, 30, 15].

In this paper we study function-theoretic properties of a polymorphic function f and its relation to the limit sets and fixed points of Φ and the image group Γ .

A function f meromorphic in \mathbb{D} is called *normal* [12] if the spherical derivative

$$f^\# = \frac{|f'|}{1 + |f|^2}$$

satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) f^\#(z) < \infty.$$

Every meromorphic function omitting three values in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is normal. Now let f be Φ -polymorphic and let $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ be non-elementary. Then [19], [14, Th. 8]

$$(1.3) \quad f \text{ is normal} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{cap}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f(\mathbb{D})) > 0.$$

If f is normal then there exists a component U of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(\Gamma)$ such that $\partial U = L(\Gamma)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset U$ where $L(\Gamma)$ is the limit set of Γ .

The *cluster set* $C(\zeta)$ at a point $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ is the connected compact set $C(\zeta)$ of all $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \text{there are } z_k \in \mathbb{D} \text{ with } z_k \rightarrow \zeta, \quad f(z_k) \rightarrow \omega \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Section 2 we study the cluster sets for $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$ and also the angular cluster set on the angular limit set of Φ . We assume that f is normal because $C(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ if f is not normal.

In Section 3 we consider the question what more can be said if the Fuchsian group Φ is of convergence or accessible type, in particular if f is supposed to be univalent. We also investigate the problem whether the polymorphic function f is uniquely determined by the induced homomorphism f^* .

Finally we characterize the continuity of f at a hyperbolic fixed point of Φ . For the case that f is univalent we apply this result to the study of the limit set $L(\Gamma)$ of degenerate Kleinian groups, that is $L(\Gamma)$ does not separate $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

2. Normal functions and limit sets

First we formulate the definitions of $L(\Phi)$ and $L(\Gamma)$ in the forms that we need below. The limit set $L(\Phi)$ of the Fuchsian group Φ consists of all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ for which there are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_n(z) \rightarrow \zeta \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If the image group $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ is non-elementary then $L(\Gamma)$ is the closure of the fixed points of all loxodromic and parabolic $\gamma \in \Gamma$, see [1, p. 97, p. 104].

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be a normal Φ -polymorphic function and let $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ be non-elementary. Then*

- (i) *for every $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$ there is $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$ such that $\omega \in C(\zeta)$,*
- (ii) *for every $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$ there is $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$ such that $\omega \in C(\zeta)$.*

The first statement follows from the classical definition [1, Thm. 5.3.9] of $L(\Gamma)$ if f^* is an isomorphism onto Γ and Γ is discrete.

Proof. (i). Let $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$. Then there are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ satisfying (2.1). Let p_n^\pm be the fixed points of $\gamma_n := f^*(\varphi_n)$ and μ_n its multiplier. First we assume that $p_n^+ \neq p_n^-$. The case $p_n^+ = p_n^-$ is handled as in Case 1 below. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\gamma_n(w) - p_n^+}{\gamma_n(w) - p_n^-} = \mu_n \frac{w - p_n^+}{w - p_n^-}, \quad |\mu_n| \leq 1$$

if p_n^+ is the attractive fixed point of γ_n and $p_n^\pm \neq \infty$.

Taking a subsequence we may assume that

$$(2.3) \quad p_n^\pm \rightarrow p^\pm, \quad \mu_n \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By a rotation of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ we may assume that $p^\pm \neq \infty$. We set

$$(2.4) \quad g_n := f \circ \varphi_n = \gamma_n \circ f, \quad h_n := f \circ \varphi_n^{-1} = \gamma_n^{-1} \circ f.$$

Then the spherical derivatives satisfy

$$(1 - |z|^2)g_n^\#(z) = (1 - |\varphi_n(z)|^2)f^\#(\varphi_n(z)) \leq M, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

for some $M < \infty$ because f is normal, see (1.2), similarly for $h_n^\#(z)$. It follows that (g_n) and (h_n) are normal sequences. Taking again a subsequence we may also assume that

$$(2.5) \quad g_n \rightarrow g, \quad h_n \rightarrow h \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from (1.4), (2.1) and (2.4) that

$$(2.6) \quad g(z) \in C(\zeta) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}, \zeta \in L(\Phi).$$

Case 1. First we consider the case that $p^+ \neq p^-$. We put (2.4) into (2.2) and then let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using (2.3) and (2.5) we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{g - p^+}{g - p^-} = \mu \frac{f - p^+}{f - p^-}, \quad \frac{h - p^+}{h - p^-} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{f - p^+}{f - p^-}.$$

If $\mu = 0$ then γ_n is loxodromic for large n . We deduce from the first identity (2.7) that $g(z) = p^+$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $f(z) \neq p^-$. Hence $p^+ \in C(\zeta)$ by (2.6) and $p^+ \in L(\Gamma)$ by (2.3) and the definition of $L(\Gamma)$. This proves (i) in Case 1 if $\mu = 0$.

Now let $\mu \neq 0$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2) we obtain a Moebius transformation α defined by

$$\frac{\alpha(w) - p^+}{\alpha(w) - p^-} = \mu \frac{w - p^+}{w - p^-}.$$

With $w = f(z)$ we deduce from (2.7) and (2.4) that $g = \alpha \circ f$ and $h = \alpha^{-1} \circ f$. Writing $F = f(\mathbb{D})$ we see from (2.4) that $g(\mathbb{D}) \subset \overline{F}$, $h(\mathbb{D}) \subset \overline{F}$ and therefore $\alpha(F) = g(\mathbb{D}) \subset F$, $\alpha^{-1}(F) = h(\mathbb{D}) \subset F$. Hence $\alpha(F) \subset F \subset \alpha(F)$ so that, if $p^+ \neq p^-$,

$$(2.8) \quad g(\mathbb{D}) = f(\mathbb{D}).$$

Case 2. $p^+ = p^- =: p$. We rewrite (2.2) and obtain as in (1) that

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{g_n - p_n^-} = \frac{\mu_n}{f - p_n^-} + \lambda_n, \quad \lambda_n := \frac{1 - \mu_n}{p_n^+ - p_n^-}.$$

Taking another subsequence we may also assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that $|\mu_n| \leq 1$. If $\lambda = \infty$ then, taking the limit in (2.9), we obtain from (2.3) and (2.5) that $g(z) = p$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $f(z) \neq p$. Hence $p \in C(\zeta)$ by (2.6) and $p \in L(\Gamma)$ by (2.3). If $p_n^+ = p_n^-$ then (2.9) holds with $\mu_n = 1$ and a different λ_n . This proves (i) in Case 2 if $\lambda = \infty$.

Now let $\lambda \neq \infty$. Since $p_n^+ - p_n^- \rightarrow 0$ it follows from (2.9) that $\mu = 1$. Now

$$\frac{1}{\alpha(w) - p} = \frac{1}{w - p} + \lambda$$

defines a Moebius transformation α . Hence we obtain from (2.9), (2.4) and (2.5) that $g = \alpha \circ f$ and $h = \alpha^{-1} \circ f$. As in Case 1 we conclude that (2.8) holds also if $p^+ = p^-$.

Now we have handled both cases. In Case 1 with $\mu \neq 0$ and in Case 2 with $\lambda \neq \infty$ we obtain from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$(2.10) \quad f(\mathbb{D}) = g(\mathbb{D}) \subset C(\zeta) = \overline{C(\zeta)}.$$

Since Γ is non-elementary there is a loxodromic $\gamma \in \Gamma$, say $\gamma = f^*(\psi)$ with $\psi \in \Phi$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{T}$ be a fixed point of ψ . Then the fixed point $\omega := f(\eta)$ (in the sense of an angular limit) of γ satisfies $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$ by the definition of $L(\Gamma)$. Furthermore we have

$$(2.11) \quad \omega = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^k(f(0)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\psi^k(0)) \in \overline{f(\mathbb{D})} \subset C(\zeta)$$

by (2.10). This proves (i) in the remaining Cases, i.e. Case 1 with $\mu \neq 0$ and Case 2 with $\lambda \neq \infty$.

(ii) Let $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$. There are loxodromic fixed points p_k of $\gamma_k = f^*(\varphi_k)$ that satisfy $p_k \rightarrow \omega$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We may assume that the fixed points ζ_k of φ_k converge to some ζ in the closed set $L(\Phi)$. We choose n_k so large that

$$\varphi_k^{n_k}(0) \rightarrow \zeta, \quad f(\varphi_k^{n_k}(0)) \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have $\omega \in C(\zeta)$ by (1.4). ■

A Stolz angle at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is an open triangle Δ in \mathbb{D} such that $\overline{\Delta} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{\zeta\}$. The *angular cluster set* $C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta)$ of the function f at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ consists of all $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that, for some Stolz angle Δ at ζ , there are $z_n \in \Delta$ with $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$, $f(z_n) \rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The *angular limit set* $L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$ of Φ consists of all ζ such that, for some Stolz angle Δ at ζ , there are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ with $\varphi_n(0) \in \Delta$, $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be normal and Φ -polymorphic and let $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ be non-elementary. Then*

$$(2.12) \quad C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta) \cap L(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } \zeta \in L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi).$$

If $\zeta \in L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$ and the angular limit $f(\zeta)$ exists then $f(\zeta) \in L(\Gamma)$.

Proof. The proof is quite similar to the part (i) of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\zeta \in L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$. Instead of (i) we have to show that

(i') there is $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$ with $\omega \in C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta)$.

There are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ with $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$ and $\varphi_n(0) \in \Delta$ for some Stolz angle Δ at ζ . For given $z \in \mathbb{D}$, each $\varphi_n(z)$ has a fixed hyperbolic distance from $\varphi_n(0)$. Hence there is a Stolz angle Δ' at ζ such that $\varphi_n(z) \in \Delta'$. Defining g_n as in (2.4) we deduce that

$$(2.13) \quad g(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi_n(z)) \in C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Now we argue as before with (2.6) replaced by (2.13). In Case 1 with $\mu = 0$ and Case 2 with $\lambda = \infty$ the proof of (i) is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i). In Cases 1 with $\mu \neq 0$ and in Case 2 with $\lambda \neq \infty$ we again construct $\omega \in L(\Gamma)$ as in (2.11). We have

$$f(\mathbb{D}) = g(\mathbb{D}) \subset C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta)$$

instead of (2.10). As in (2.11) we see that $\omega \in C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta)$. This proves (i').

Now suppose that the angular limit $f(\zeta)$ exists. Then $C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta) = \{f(\zeta)\}$ so that $f(\zeta) \in L(\Gamma)$ by (2.12). ■

In both theorems we assumed that f is normal. Now we show that this assumption cannot be omitted.

Proposition 2.3. *Let f be Φ -polymorphic and Γ non-elementary. If f is not normal then $C(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$ and $C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $\zeta \in L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$.*

Proof. It follows from the characterization (1.3) that $f(\mathbb{D}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence there exists a disk $D_0 = \{|z| < r\}$ such that $f(D_0) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. If $\zeta \in L(\Phi)$ and $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then we conclude that

$$f(\varphi_n(D_0)) = \gamma_n(f(D_0)) = \gamma_n(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

so that $C(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The proof of $C_{\text{ang}}(\zeta) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for $\zeta \in L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$ is similar. ■

3. Types of Fuchsian groups

The angular limit set $L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi)$ was defined in Section 2. A *horodisk* at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is a disk $H \subset \mathbb{D}$ with $\overline{H} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{\zeta\}$. The *horocyclic limit set* $L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi)$ consists of all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ such that, for some H at ζ , there are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ with $\varphi_n(0) \in H$ and $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The Fuchsian group Φ is of *convergence type* if

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} (1 - |\varphi(0)|) < \infty,$$

otherwise of divergence type. There is a sharp distinction: If Φ is of convergence type then $\text{mes } L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi) = 0$, if Φ is of divergence type then $\text{mes } L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi) = 2\pi$. The group Φ is of convergence type if and only if the Riemann surface \mathbb{D}/Φ has a Green's function.

The Fuchsian group Φ is of *accessible type* if there is a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\text{mes } E > 0, \quad \varphi(E) \cap E = \emptyset \quad \text{for } \varphi \in \Phi, \varphi \neq \text{id}.$$

This is true if and only if $\text{mes } L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi) < 2\pi$. The group Φ is of *fully accessible type* if moreover

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \Phi} \text{mes } \varphi(E) = 2\pi.$$

This is true if and only if $\text{mes } L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi) = 0$. See [24, 26]. See [29] for the interpretation in terms of ergodic theory.

Since $\text{mes } L_{\text{ang}}(\Phi) \leq \text{mes } L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi)$ every Fuchsian group of divergence type is of non-accessible type. Here is a group Φ of convergence type that is of non-accessible type: Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the classical Cantor set and let g be a universal covering map of \mathbb{D} onto $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus A$. Then

$$\Phi = \{\varphi: g \circ \varphi = g\}$$

is a Fuchsian group that is of convergence type because $\text{cap } A > 0$ and is of non-accessible type because $\text{mes } A = 0$, see [26, p. 257].

Theorem 3.1. *Let Φ be not of accessible type. If f is univalent and Φ -polymorphic and Γ is non-elementary then*

$$\partial f(\mathbb{D}) = L(\Gamma).$$

Every univalent function is normal. The inclusion $L(\Gamma) \subset \partial f(\mathbb{D})$ holds for all Φ and all normal polymorphic functions [14, Cor. 3].

We need two results from the theory of univalent functions. The first is rather surprising and is due to Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [20].

Lemma 3.2. *If f is univalent in \mathbb{D} then there is a set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $\text{mes } E = 2\pi$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad f(\zeta) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta, z \in H} f(z) \quad \text{exists for } \zeta \in E$$

where H is any horodisk at ζ .

The next lemma is rather standard and serves to pass from “almost all” to “all”. See [6, Thm. 5.7] for the proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be univalent in \mathbb{D} and let $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ have measure 2π . If all angular limits $f(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in A$) exist and lie in the compact set B then $\partial f(\mathbb{D}) \subset B$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since Φ is not of accessible type we have

$$\text{mes } L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi) = 2\pi.$$

If E is the set of Lemma 3.2 then $A := E \cap L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi)$ has measure 2π . Let $\zeta \in A$. Since $\zeta \in L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi)$ there exist $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ with $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$, $\varphi_n(0) \in H$ for some horodisk H at ζ . Since $\zeta \in E$ it now follows from (3.1) that $f(\varphi_n(0)) \rightarrow f(\zeta)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The group Γ is discrete because f is univalent. Hence [1, Thm. 5.3.9]

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\varphi_n(0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(f(0)) \in L(\Gamma),$$

and the result follows from Lemma 3.3. ■

Now we turn to the problem whether f is uniquely determined by the induced homomorphism f^* defined in (1.1). Poincaré proved that this is true if \mathbb{D}/Φ is compact and f is locally univalent, and [9, Thm. 15] extended this result to functions with few ramifications.

Theorem 3.4. *Let f_j , $j = 1, 2$, be Φ -polymorphic and let*

$$(3.2) \quad f_1^* = f_2^*.$$

Suppose that $\Gamma := f_1^(\Phi) = f_2^*(\Phi)$ is non-elementary.*

- (i) *If Φ is of divergence type and the f_j are normal then $f_1 = f_2$.*
- (ii) *If Φ is not of fully accessible type and the f_j are univalent then $f_1 = f_2$.*

Proof. (i). By (1.3) the compact sets $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f_j(\mathbb{D})$ have positive logarithmic capacity. Hence [22, p. 209] the functions f_j have angular limits almost everywhere and it follows from [25, Thm. 5] that $f_1 = f_2$.

(ii). Since Φ is not fully accessible we have $\text{mes } L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi) > 0$. Hence, by [20, Lem. 3.2], there is a set $E \subset L_{\text{hor}}(\Phi)$ with $\text{mes } E > 0$ such that, for $j = 1, 2$ and $\zeta \in E$,

$$(3.3) \quad f_j(z) \rightarrow f_j(\zeta) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \zeta, z \in H,$$

where H is a horodisk at ζ . Furthermore, for $\zeta \in E$, there are $\varphi_n \in \Phi$ such that $\varphi_n(0) \in H$ and $\varphi_n(0) \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows from (3.2) that $\gamma_n := f_1(\varphi_n) = f_2(\varphi_n)$. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\gamma_n(w) \rightarrow \gamma(w)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all w with one exception. Hence γ is a Moebius transformation or a constant. Since $\varphi_n(0) \in H$ it follows from (3.3) that, for $j = 1, 2$ and $\zeta \in E$,

$$f_j(\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(\varphi_n(z)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(f_j(z)) = \gamma(f_j(z))$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with at most countably many exceptions. We conclude that γ is a constant. Hence $f_1(\zeta) = f_2(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in E$, and since $\text{mes } E > 0$ the Privalov Uniqueness Theorem [27, Cor. 6.14] implies that $f_1 = f_2$. ■

4. Continuity at the fixed points

In this section, we study the behaviour at a single hyperbolic fixed point. Let φ be a Moebius transformation of \mathbb{D} onto itself with attractive fixed point $\zeta^+ \in \mathbb{T}$ and repulsive fixed point $\zeta^- \neq \zeta^+$. We assume that f is meromorphic and normal and satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad f \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ f, \quad \gamma \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

If γ is loxodromic or parabolic then the angular limits $f(\zeta^\pm)$ exist and lie in $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$; if γ is elliptic then the angular limits do not exist, see e.g. [14, Thm. 1].

We restrict ourselves to the case that γ is loxodromic with fixed points

$$(4.2) \quad p^+ = f(\zeta^+), \quad p^- = f(\zeta^-).$$

We will use that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi^n(z) \rightarrow \zeta^+ \quad \text{for } z \neq \zeta^-, \quad \gamma^{-n}(w) \rightarrow p^- \quad \text{for } w \neq p^+.$$

The *one-sided cluster sets* $C_{>}(\zeta)$ and $C_{<}(\zeta)$ consist of all points $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that there exist $z_k \in \mathbb{D}$ with

$$\arg z_k \geq \arg \zeta, \quad z_k \rightarrow \zeta, \quad f(z_k) \rightarrow \omega \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Collingwood Symmetry Theorem [5] [27, Prop. 2.21] states that

$$\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : C_{<}(\zeta) \neq C_{>}(\zeta)\}$$

is countable for every function f in \mathbb{D} . Let $T_{>}$ and $T_{<}$ be the arcs of $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{\zeta^+, \zeta^-\}$ with $\arg z \geq \arg \zeta^+$ near ζ^+ .

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be normal in \mathbb{D} and satisfy (4.1) where φ is hyperbolic and γ is loxodromic. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $C_{>}(\zeta^+) = \{p^+\}$,
- (ii) $p^- \notin C_{>}(\zeta^+)$,
- (iii) $p^- \notin C(\eta)$ for $\eta \in T_{>}$.

The corresponding assertion holds with $>$ replaced by $<$.

Condition (i) says that f is continuous at ζ^+ from the right or left respectively. It follows that

$$f \text{ is continuous at } \zeta^+ \iff p^- \notin C_{>}(\zeta^+) \iff p^- \notin C(\eta), \eta \neq \zeta^\pm.$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This is trivial because $p^+ \neq p^-$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose that $p^- \in C(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in T_{>}$. Then $|\eta - \zeta^\pm| > r > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, r)$ be given. By (4.3) we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad |\varphi^n(z) - \zeta^+| < \delta \quad \text{for } |z - \eta| < r.$$

Furthermore there are points $z_k \in \mathbb{D}$ with $z_k \rightarrow \eta$ and $f(z_k) \rightarrow p^-$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\gamma^{-n}(f(z_k)) \rightarrow p^-$ by (4.3). If k is sufficiently large then $z_k^* := \varphi^n(z_k)$ satisfies $|z_k^* - \zeta^+| < \delta$ because of (4.4), furthermore $\arg z_k^* > \arg \zeta^+$ because $\eta \in T_{>} = \varphi^n(T_{>})$. For large k the spherical distance satisfies

$$d^\#(f(z_k^*), p^-) = d^\#(\gamma^n(f(z_k)), \gamma^n(p^-)) < \epsilon.$$

It follows that $p^- \in C_{>}(\zeta^+)$ which contradicts (ii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $C_{>}(\zeta^+) \neq \{p^+\}$. Then there are $z_k \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\omega \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \arg z_k > \arg \zeta^+, \quad z_k \rightarrow \zeta^+, \quad f(z_k) \rightarrow \omega \neq p^+ \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let F be a fundamental domain of the cyclic group $\langle \varphi \rangle$ with $\zeta^\pm \notin \overline{F}$. There are $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad z_k^* := \varphi^{-n_k}(z_k) \in F \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $z_k^* \rightarrow \eta \in \overline{F}$ and thus $\eta \neq \zeta^\pm$. Since $z_k \rightarrow \zeta^+$ it follows from (4.3) that $|n_k| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6),

$$f(z_k^*) = f(\varphi^{-n_k}(z_k)) = \gamma^{-n_k}(f(z_k)) \rightarrow p^-.$$

Since f is normal it is not possible that $\eta \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $\eta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\zeta^+, \zeta^-\}$ and thus $\eta \in T_{>}$ by (4.5). It follows that $p^- \in C_{>}(\eta)$, which contradicts (iii). ■

Example. Let F be the complement of

$$E := [0, +\infty] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [2^n i, +\infty + 2^n i]$$

and let f map \mathbb{D} conformally onto F such that $f(1) = 0$ and $f(-1) = \infty$. Let $\eta_n \in \mathbb{T}$ be the preimage of $+\infty$ between the horizontal halflines $[2^n i, +\infty + 2^n i]$ and $[2^{n+1} i, +\infty + 2^{n+1} i]$. Since E is invariant under $\gamma(w) = 2w$ the same is true of F . Hence there is a Moebius transformation φ that satisfies $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}$ and $f \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ f$. We have

$$p^+ = 0, \quad C_{>}(1) = [0, +\infty], \quad C_{<}(1) = \{0\},$$

so that f is continuous from the left but not from the right. Furthermore $p^- = \infty \in [0, +\infty]$ and $p^- = f(\eta_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we turn to the special case that f is univalent in \mathbb{D} . The impression $I(\zeta)$ of the prime end corresponding to $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ is the cluster set $C(\zeta)$ defined in (1.4), see e.g. [27, Thm. 2.16]. We say [3, p. 646] that p is a *terminal point* of $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$ if the univalent function f is continuous at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and if

$$(4.7) \quad p = f(\zeta), \quad p \notin I(\eta) \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\zeta\}$$

and if there are $\zeta_n^{\leq} \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\zeta_n^{\leq} \rightarrow \zeta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\arg \zeta_n^{\leq} < \arg \zeta < \arg \zeta_n^{\geq}, \quad I(\zeta_n^{\leq}) \cap I(\zeta_n^{\geq}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let f be univalent and Φ -polymorphic and suppose that $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f(\mathbb{D})$ is connected. If f is continuous at both fixed points ζ^{\pm} of $\varphi \in \Phi$ then $p^{\pm} = f(\zeta^{\pm})$ are terminal points of $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. Since $I(\zeta^{\pm}) = \{f(\zeta^{\pm})\}$ it follows from Theorem 4.1 that (4.7) holds with $\zeta = \zeta^{\mp}$, $p = f(\zeta^{\mp})$. Hence the prime end $\widehat{f}(\zeta^{\mp})$ with $I(\zeta^{\mp}) = p^{\mp}$ is non-intersecting. Since $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f(\mathbb{D})$ is connected, by [3, Cor. 4] the only alternative that remains is that p^{\mp} is a terminal point. ■

We need some definitions of continuum theory [21]. The continuum X is *unicoherent* if $A_1 \cap A_2$ is connected for any two continua $A_k \subset X$. The continuum X is *decomposable* if there exist two continua A_k such that

$$(4.8) \quad X = A_1 \cup A_2, \quad A_k \neq X \quad k = 1, 2,$$

otherwise X is *indecomposable*.

If X is decomposable and unicoherent then there is a continuum $E \subset X$ that separates X , that is

$$(4.9) \quad X \setminus E = B_1 \cup B_2, \quad B_1 \cap \overline{B_2} = \overline{B_1} \cap B_2 = \emptyset, \quad B_1 \neq \emptyset, \quad B_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, it follows from (4.8) that $E := A_1 \cap A_2$ is a continuum, and $B_k := A_k \setminus E$ satisfies (4.9) because, for instance

$$B_1 \cap \overline{B_2} \subset (A_1 \setminus E) \cap \overline{A_2} = (A_1 \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2)) \cap A_2 = \emptyset.$$

On the other hand, an indecomposable continuum is not separated by any subcontinuum.

Theorem 4.3. *Let f be univalent and Φ -polymorphic and let $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ be non-elementary. If $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(\Gamma)$ is connected and $L(\Gamma)$ is connected and decomposable, then there are infinitely many hyperbolic $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that f is continuous at both fixed points of φ .*

Proof. By assumption $L(\Gamma)$ is a continuum. Since its complement is connected, $L(\Gamma)$ is unicoherent by a theorem of plane topology [23, Thm. 11.5]. Hence there is a continuum E satisfying (4.9) with $X = L(\Gamma)$. Since Γ is non-elementary there is a loxodromic $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with fixed points $p^+ \in B_1$ and $p^- \in B_2$, see [1, Thm. 5.3.8].

We have $\gamma = f^*(\varphi)$ for some hyperbolic $\varphi \in \Phi$ with fixed points $\zeta^\pm \in \mathbb{T}$. By [4, Thm. 2] there are only three cases:

- (a) $C(\zeta^+) \subset E$: This implies $p^+ = f(\zeta^+) \in E$ in contradiction to (4.9).
- (b) $C(\zeta^+) \subset B_1 \cup E$, $f(\zeta^+) \in \overline{B_1}$: Since $p^- = f(\zeta^-) \in B_2$ it follows from (4.9) that $p^- \notin C(\zeta^+)$. Hence f is continuous at ζ^+ by Theorem 4.1.
- (c) $f(\zeta^+) \in \overline{B_1} \cap \overline{B_2}$: Now $f(\zeta^+) \in E$ which contradicts $p^+ \in B_1$.

The same argument shows that the function f is continuous at ζ^- . Now let $\psi \in \Phi$. Then $\chi = \psi \circ \varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ has the fixed points $\psi(\zeta^\pm)$ where f is continuous due to $f \circ \psi = f^*(\psi) \circ f$. ■

The Kleinian group Γ is called *degenerate* if $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(\Gamma)$ is connected and simply connected. We now assume that f is univalent and Φ -polymorphic and that $\Gamma = f^*(\Phi)$ is degenerate and $f(\mathbb{D}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus L(\Gamma)$.

Maham Mj has recently proved in some preprints [16, 17, 18]: If Γ is finitely generated and has no accidental parabolics then $L(\Gamma)$ is locally connected and thus f is continuous on \mathbb{T} .

In the opposite direction Matsuzaki [13, Cor. 18] has shown: If Γ is infinitely generated and $L(\Gamma)$ is indecomposable then f is nowhere continuous on \mathbb{T} .

The present Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.2 only say: If $L(\Gamma)$ is decomposable then there is $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that f is continuous at both fixed points ζ^\pm of φ and $f(\zeta^\pm)$ are terminal points of $L(\Gamma)$.

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank the referee for pointing out that Corollary 4.2 had not been correctly formulated.

References

1. A. F. Beardon, *The Geometry of Discrete Groups*, Springer, New York, 1983.
2. K. Bromberg, Projective structures with degenerate holonomy and the Bers density conjecture, *Ann. Math.* **166** (2007), 77–93.
3. J. J. Carmona and Ch. Pommerenke, On prime ends and plane continua, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **66** (2002), 641–650.
4. ———, Decomposition of continua and prime ends, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **3** (2003), 253–272.
5. E. F. Collingwood, Cluster sets of arbitrary functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **46** (1960), 1236–1242.
6. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The Theory of Cluster Sets*, Cambridge University Press 1966.
7. D. Gallo, M. Kapovich and A. Marden, The monodromy groups of Schwarzian equations on closed Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Math.* **151** (2000), 625–704.
8. R. C. Gunning, Special coordinate coverings of Riemann surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **170** (1967), 67–86.
9. D. A. Hejhal, Monodromy groups and linearly polymorphic functions, *Acta Math.* **135** (1975), 1–55.
10. ———, Monodromy groups and Poincaré series, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **84** (1978), 339–376.

11. I. Kra, Deformations of Fuchsian groups, *Duke Math. J.* **36** (1969), 537–546.
12. O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.* **97** (1957), 47–65.
13. K. Matsuzaki, Indecomposable continua and the limit set of Kleinian groups, *Contemp. Math.* **355** (2004), 321–332.
14. D. Mejía and Ch. Pommerenke, On groups and normal polymorphic functions, *Rev. Colombiana. Mat.* **42(2)** (2008), 167–181.
15. ———, Analytic families of homomorphisms into $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, *Comp. Meth. Funct. Theory* **10** (2010), 81–96.
16. Mahan Mj, Cannon-Thurston maps for surface groups I: Amalgamation geometry and split geometry, preprint, 2005.
17. Mahan Mj, Cannon-Thurston maps for surface groups II: Split geometry and the Minsky model, preprint, 2006.
18. Mahan Mj, Cannon-Thurston maps for Kleinian groups, preprint, 2010.
19. P. J. Myrberg, Die Kapazität der singulären Menge der linearen Gruppen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. (Ser. A, I.)* **10** (1941), 1–19.
20. A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J. H. Shapiro, Tangential boundary behavior of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces, *Ann. Math.* **2** (1982), 331–360.
21. S. B. Nadler Jr., *Continuum Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
22. R. Nevanlinna, *Analytic functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
23. M. H. A. Newman, *Elements of the topology of plane sets of points*, Cambridge University Press, 1964.
24. Ch. Pommerenke, On the Green's function of Fuchsian groups, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. (Ser. A, I. Math.)* **2** (1976), 409–427.
25. ———, Polymorphic functions for groups of divergence type, *Math. Ann.* **258** (1982), 353–366.
26. ———, On Fuchsian groups of accessible type, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. (Ser. A, I. Math.)* **7** (1982), 249–258.
27. ——— *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
28. R. Riley, Holomorphically parametrized families of subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, *Mathematika* **32** (1985), 248–264.
29. D. Sullivan, On the ergodic theory at infinity of an arbitrary discrete group of hyperbolic motions, in: *Riemann surfaces and related topics*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **97** (1981), 465–496.
30. D. Sullivan, Quasiconformal homeomorphisms and dynamics II: Structural stability implies hyperbolicity for Kleinian groups, *Acta Math.* **155** (1985), 243–260.

Diego Mejía

E-MAIL: dmejia@unal.edu.co

ADDRESS: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Medellín, Escuela de Matemáticas, Calle 59A # 63-20 Bloque 43, Medellín, Colombia.

Christian Pommerenke

E-MAIL: pommeren@mail.math.tu-berlin.de

ADDRESS: Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik — Sekr. MA 8-1, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany.