

Convolution properties of univalent harmonic mappings convex in one direction

Raj Kumar^{a*}, Sushma Gupta^b and Sukhjit Singh^b

Abstract

Let $*$ and $\tilde{*}$ denote the convolution of two analytic maps and that of an analytic map and a harmonic map respectively. Pokhrel [1] proved that if $f = h + \bar{g}$ is a harmonic map convex in the direction of $e^{i\gamma}$ and ϕ is an analytic map in the class DCP , then $f\tilde{*}\phi = h*\phi + \overline{g*\phi}$ is also convex in the direction of $e^{i\gamma}$, provided $f\tilde{*}\phi$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving. In the present paper we obtain a general condition under which $f\tilde{*}\phi$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving. Some interesting applications of the general result are also presented.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of all complex valued harmonic mappings $f = h + \bar{g}$ defined in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Such harmonic mappings are locally univalent and sense-preserving if and only if $h' \neq 0$ in E and the dilatation function ω , defined by $\omega = g'/h'$, satisfies $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in E$. The class of all univalent harmonic and sense-preserving mappings $f = h + \bar{g}$ in E , normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f_z(0) = 1$, is denoted by S_H . Therefore, a function $f = h + \bar{g}$ in the class S_H has the representation

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_n \bar{z}^n, \quad z \in E. \quad (1)$$

If the co-analytic part $g(z) \equiv 0$ in E , then the class S_H reduces to the usual class S of all normalized univalent analytic functions. We denote by K_H and C_H the subclasses of S_H consisting of those functions which map E onto convex and close-to-convex domains, respectively. K and C will denote corresponding subclasses of S . A domain Ω is said to be convex in a direction $e^{i\gamma}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \pi$, if every line parallel to the line through 0 and $e^{i\gamma}$ has either connected or empty

¹2010 AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

²Key Words and Phrases: Univalent function; harmonic mapping; convex function in the direction of the imaginary axis; convolution.

*e-mail: rajgarg2012@yahoo.co.in

intersection with Ω . Let $K_{H(\gamma)}$ and K_γ , $0 \leq \gamma < \pi$ denote the subclass of S_H and S respectively, consisting of functions which map the unit disk E on to domains convex in the direction of $e^{i\gamma}$. In particular a domain convex in the horizontal direction will be denoted by CHD . Hengartner and Schober [7] characterized the mappings in $K_{\pi/2}$ as under:

Theorem A. *Suppose f is analytic and non constant in E . Then*

$$\Re[(1 - z^2)f'(z)] \geq 0, \quad z \in E$$

if and only if

(i) f is univalent in E ;

(ii) f is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis;

(iii) there exist sequences $\{z'_n\}$ and $\{z''_n\}$ converging to $z = 1$ and $z = -1$, respectively, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Re(f(z'_n)) = \sup_{|z| < 1} \Re(f(z))$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Re(f(z''_n)) = \inf_{|z| < 1} \Re(f(z))$.

The convolution or the Hadamard product $\phi * \psi$ of two analytic mappings $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n$ in E is defined as $(\phi * \psi)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n A_n z^n$, $z \in E$. The convolution of a harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ with an analytic function ϕ , both defined in E , is denoted by $f \tilde{*} \phi$ and is defined as:

$$f \tilde{*} \phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi}.$$

Clunie and Sheil-Small [2] proved that if $\phi \in K$ and $F \in K_H$, then for every α , $|\alpha| \leq 1$ $(\alpha \bar{\phi} + \phi) * F \in C_H$. They also posed the question: if $F \in K_H$, then what is the collection of harmonic functions f , such that $F * f \in K_H$? Ruscheweyh and Salinas [5] partially answered the question of Clunie and Sheil-Small by introducing a class DCP (Direction convexity preserving). An analytic function ϕ defined in E is said to be in the class DCP if for every f in K_γ , $\phi * f \in K_\gamma$. Functions in the class DCP are necessarily convex in E .

Theorem B. ([5]) *Let ϕ be analytic in E . Then $F \tilde{*} \phi \in K_H$ for all $F \in K_H$ if and only if ϕ is in DCP .*

Pokhrel [1] further investigated convolution properties of functions in the class DCP and proved the following:

Theorem C. ([1]) *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be in $K_{H(\gamma)}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \pi$. Then for an analytic function ϕ in DCP , $f \tilde{*} \phi \in K_{H(\gamma)}$, provided $f \tilde{*} \phi$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in E .*

In the present paper we find a condition on a univalent harmonic function convex in one direction so that its convolutions with functions of the class DCP are also convex in the same direction. Some interesting applications are also presented.

2 Preliminaries

Following lemmas will be required to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let ϕ and ξ be analytic in E with $\phi(0) = \xi(0) = 0$ and $\phi'(0)\xi'(0) \neq 0$. Suppose that for each $\beta(|\beta| = 1)$ and $\sigma(|\sigma| = 1)$ we have*

$$\phi(z) * \frac{1 + \beta\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} \xi(z) \neq 0, \quad 0 < |z| < 1.$$

*Then for each function F analytic in E , $(\phi * F\xi)/(\phi * \xi)$ takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let χ be analytic in E with $\chi(0) = 0$ and suppose that there exist constants ζ and η with $|\zeta| = |\eta| = 1$ such that for each z in E*

$$\Re \left[(1 - \zeta z)(1 - \eta z) \frac{\chi(z)}{z} \right] > 0.$$

*Then for every convex function ϕ , $\phi(z) * \chi(z) \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$).*

Lemma 2.3. *A locally univalent harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ in E is a univalent harmonic mapping of E onto a domain convex in a direction $e^{i\gamma}$ if and only if $h - e^{2i\gamma}g$ is a univalent analytic mapping of E onto a domain convex in the direction $e^{i\gamma}$.*

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are due to Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [6] whereas Lemma 2.3 is due to Clunie and Sheil-Small [2].

3 Main Results

We begin with the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in K_{H(\gamma)}$, $0 \leq \gamma < \pi$. If for some complex constants η and ξ with $|\xi| = |\eta| = 1$,*

$$\Re \left[(1 - \eta z)(1 - \xi z)(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g') \right] > 0, \quad \text{in } E, \tag{2}$$

then $f\tilde{}\phi \in K_{H(\gamma)}$ for every analytic function $\phi \in DCP$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem C, we only need to show that $f\tilde{*}\phi = h * \phi + \overline{g * \phi}$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in E i.e., the dilatation $\tilde{\omega} = (\phi * g)' / (\phi * h)'$ of $f\tilde{*}\phi$ satisfies $|\tilde{\omega}(z)| < 1$ in

E . For this it suffices to show that $\Re((1 + e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega})/(1 - e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega})) > 0$ in E . Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\left[\frac{1 + e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega}}{1 - e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega}}\right] &= \Re\left[\frac{(\phi * h)' + e^{2i\gamma}(\phi * g)'}{(\phi * h)' - e^{2i\gamma}(\phi * g)'}\right] \\ &= \Re\left[\frac{\phi * z(h' + e^{2i\gamma}g')}{\phi * z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')}\right] \\ &= \Re\left[\frac{\phi * z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')\frac{(h' + e^{2i\gamma}g')}{(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')}}{\phi * z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')}\right] \\ &= \Re\left[\frac{\phi * z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')P}{\phi * z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $P = (h' + e^{2i\gamma}g')/(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g') = (1 + e^{2i\gamma}g'/h')/(1 - e^{2i\gamma}g'/h')$. Since g'/h' is the dilatation of f , therefore $|g'/h'| < 1$ in E as f is univalent and hence locally univalent in E . Thus $\Re(P) > 0$ in E and therefore, in view of Lemma 2.1, we shall get the desired result if for each β ($|\beta| = 1$) and σ ($|\sigma| = 1$)

$$\phi(z) * \frac{1 + \beta\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} z(h'(z) - e^{2i\gamma}g'(z)) \neq 0, \quad 0 < |z| < 1. \quad (3)$$

As ϕ is in the class DCP , so ϕ is convex analytic in E . Therefore, to prove (3) we shall apply Lemma 2.2 and show that for some constants ζ, η with $|\zeta| = |\eta| = 1$,

$$\Re\left[(1 - \zeta z)(1 - \eta z)\frac{\frac{1 + \beta\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} z(h'(z) - e^{2i\gamma}g'(z))}{z}\right] > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

By setting $\zeta = \sigma$ and $\beta = \frac{-\xi}{\sigma}$ ($|\xi| = 1$) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\left[(1 - \zeta z)(1 - \eta z)\frac{\frac{1 + \beta\sigma z}{1 - \sigma z} z(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')}{z}\right] &= \Re[(1 - \eta z)(1 - \xi z)(h' - e^{2i\gamma}g')] \\ &> 0 \text{ in } E \text{ (in view of (2)).}\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Re((1 + e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega})/(1 - e^{2i\gamma}\tilde{\omega})) > 0$ and so, $|\tilde{\omega}(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in E$. This completes the proof. \square

As applications of the above theorem we derive the following interesting results.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \bar{g}_\alpha$, where $h_\alpha(z) + g_\alpha(z) = z(1 - \alpha z)/(1 - z^2)$, $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and $|g'_\alpha/h'_\alpha| < 1$ in E , be a normalized harmonic mapping in E and $\phi \in DCP$ be an analytic map. Then $f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$.*

Proof. Let $F_\alpha = h_\alpha + g_\alpha$. Since

$$\Re[(1 - z^2)F'_\alpha(z)] = \Re\left[\frac{1 + z^2 - 2\alpha z}{(1 - z^2)}\right] = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 + |z|^2 - 2\alpha\Re(z))}{|1 - z^2|^2} > 0, \quad z \in E, \quad (4)$$

therefore, by Theorem A, the analytic function F_α is univalent in E and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. Consequently, in view of Lemma 2.3, the harmonic function $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \bar{g}_\alpha$ is univalent in E and also convex in the direction of the imaginary axis i.e., $f_\alpha \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$. Thus, by keeping (4) in mind, the result immediately follows from Theorem 3.1 by setting $\eta = -1$, $\xi = 1$ and $\gamma = \pi/2$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f_\theta = h_\theta + \bar{g}_\theta$, where $h_\theta(z) + g_\theta(z) = \frac{1}{2i \sin \theta} \log \left(\frac{1 + ze^{i\theta}}{1 + ze^{-i\theta}} \right)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $|g'_\theta/h'_\theta| < 1$ in E , be a normalized harmonic mapping defined in E . Then, for an analytic map ϕ in the class DCP, $f_\theta \tilde{*} \phi \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$.*

Proof. Let

$$\rho(z) = (1 - z^2)(h'_\theta + g'_\theta)(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 + ze^{i\theta})(1 + ze^{-i\theta})}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\rho(0) = 1$ and for every real number δ , $\Re \rho(e^{i\delta}) = 0$. Therefore, by minimum principle for harmonic functions, we have, $\Re[\rho(z)] = \Re[(1 - z^2)(h'_\theta + g'_\theta)(z)] > 0$ in E . Now, the proof follows as in Corollary 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a normalized harmonic mapping such that $h(z) - g(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$ and $|g'/h'| < 1$ in E . Then $f \tilde{*} \phi$ is CHD for every analytic function $\phi \in$ DCP.*

Proof. One can easily verify that $\Re[(1 - z)^2(h' - g')] > 0$ in E . Further, $h - g = z/(1 - z)^2$ is univalent and convex in the horizontal direction and $|g'/h'| < 1$ in E implies that f is locally univalent in E . Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $f = h + \bar{g}$ is univalent and CHD in E . The desired conclusion now follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 by taking $\eta = \zeta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, with $|g'/h'| < 1$ in E , be a normalized ($f(0) = 0, f_z(0) = 1$) slanted right half-plane mapping in E given by*

$$h(z) + e^{-2i\alpha}g(z) = z/(1 - e^{i\alpha}z), \quad -\pi/2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2,$$

then $f \tilde{} \phi \in K_{H(\pi/2-\alpha)}$ for all $\phi \in$ DCP.*

Proof. As $|g'/h'| < 1$ in E , so f is locally univalent in E . Further for $-\pi/2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$

$$\frac{z}{1 - e^{i\alpha}z} = h(z) + e^{-2i\alpha}g(z) = h - e^{2i(\pi/2-\alpha)}g$$

is convex univalent in E and so, in particular, convex in the direction $e^{i(\pi/2-\alpha)}$, $-\pi/2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$. Hence by Lemma 2.3, $f = h + \bar{g} \in K_{H(\pi/2-\alpha)}$, $-\pi/2 \leq \alpha \leq \pi/2$. Setting $\eta = \xi = e^{i\alpha}$ and $\gamma = \pi/2 - \alpha$ in (2), we get

$$\Re[(1 - ze^{i\alpha})(1 - ze^{i\alpha})(h' - e^{2i(\pi/2-\alpha)}g')] = \Re \left[\frac{(1 - ze^{i\alpha})^2}{(1 - ze^{i\alpha})^2} \right] > 0, z \in E.$$

The result now follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$ be such that $F = h + g$ satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem A. Then $f \tilde{*} \phi \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$ for every $\phi \in DCP$.*

Proof. As $f = h + \bar{g} \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$, therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $F = h + g$ is univalent analytic in E and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. As $F = h + g$ also satisfies condition (iii) of Theorem A, therefore $\Re[(1 - z^2)(h' + g')] > 0$ in E and the desired result follows from Theorem 3.1 (taking $\eta = -1$, $\xi = 1$ and $\gamma = \pi/2$). \square

An analytic function $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ is said to be typically-real in E if $f(z)$ is real if and only if z is real in E . Rogosinski [8] introduced the class T of typically-real functions and proved that a function f is in the class T if and only if $f(z) = z/(1 - z^2)P(z)$, where P has real coefficients, $P(0) = 1$ and $\Re P(z) > 0$ in E . Functions in the class T need not be univalent. Let $TK_{\pi/2}$ be the class of univalent functions in T which map the unit disk E onto domains convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. The following results are known.

Theorem D. (a) *A function $H \in TK_{\pi/2}$ if and only if $zH' \in T$.*

(b) *If H is in $TK_{\pi/2}$ and G is in T , then $H * G \in T$.*

The result (a) in the above theorem is an observation of Fejer [3] and the result (b) is due to Robertson [4]. We now state and prove our next result.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f_\alpha = h_\alpha + \bar{g}_\alpha$, where $h_\alpha(z) + g_\alpha(z) = z(1 - \alpha z)/(1 - z^2)$, $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ and $|g'_\alpha/h'_\alpha| < 1$ in E , be a normalized harmonic mapping defined in E . Then, for every analytic map $\phi_\beta(z) = z(1 - \beta z)/(1 - z^2)$, $\beta \in [-1, 1]$, defined in E , $f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi_\beta \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$, provided $f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi_\beta$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in E .*

Proof. If $f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi_\beta = h_\alpha * \phi_\beta + \overline{g_\alpha * \phi_\beta}$ is locally univalent and sense-preserving in E , then in view of Lemma 2.3, $f_\alpha \tilde{*} \phi_\beta \in K_{H(\pi/2)}$ if and only if $h_\alpha * \phi_\beta + g_\alpha * \phi_\beta$ is univalent and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. Let $F = h_\alpha * \phi_\beta + g_\alpha * \phi_\beta = (h_\alpha + g_\alpha) * \phi_\beta$, so that

$$zF' = z(h_\alpha + g_\alpha)' * \phi_\beta$$

Now, if we set $P(z) = 1 - \beta z$, then $P(0) = 1$, coefficients of P are real and $\Re P(z) > 0$ in E for $\beta \in [-1, 1]$. Hence

$$\phi_\beta(z) = \frac{z(1 - \beta z)}{1 - z^2} \in T.$$

Further, for $\beta \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\Re[(1 - z^2)\phi'_\beta(z)] = \Re\left[\frac{1 + z^2 - 2\beta z}{1 - z^2}\right] = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 + |z|^2 - 2\beta\Re(z))}{|1 - z^2|^2} > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Thus, by Theorem A, ϕ_β is univalent and convex in the direction of the imaginary axis. Hence $\phi_\beta \in TK_{\pi/2}$. Again

$$z(h_\alpha(z) + g_\alpha(z))' = \left[\frac{z}{1-z^2} \frac{(1+z^2-2\alpha z)}{1-z^2} \right]$$

and coefficients of $P_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2-2\alpha z}{1-z^2}$ are real, $P_1(0) = 1$ and $\Re P_1(z) = \Re \left[\frac{1+z^2-2\alpha z}{1-z^2} \right] > 0$ in E . Therefore $z(h_\alpha + g_\alpha)' \in T$. So in view of Theorem D(b), $zF' \in T$ and hence $F \in TK_{\pi/2}$ by Theorem D (a). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.8. We observe the functions ϕ_β in Theorem 3.7 are not in the class DGP for $\beta \in (-1, 1)$ as ϕ_β are not convex for these values of β .

Acknowledgement: First author is thankful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, for financial support vide grant no. 09/797/0006/2010 EMR-1.

References

- [1] C. M. Pokhrel, Convexity Preservation for Analytic, Harmonic and Plane Curves, Ph.D. thesis, *Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu*, July 2004.
- [2] J. Clunie and Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **9** (1984), 3-25.
- [3] L. Fejer, Neue Eigenschaften der Mittelwerte bei den Fourierreihen, *J. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1933), 53-62.
- [4] M. S. Robertson, Applications of a lemma of Fejer to typically real functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1950), 555-561.
- [5] St. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas, On the preservation of direction convexity and the Goodman-Saff conjecture, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* **14** (1989), 63-73.
- [6] St. Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small, Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comment Math Helv.* **48** (1973), 119-135.
- [7] W. Hengartner and G. Schober, On schlicht mappings to domains convex in one direction, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **45** (1970), 303-314.
- [8] W. Rogosinski, Über positive harmonische Entwicklungen und typischreelle Potenzreihen, *Math. Zeit.* **35** (1932), 93-121.

^a Department of Mathematics, DAV University, Jalandhar-144001 (Punjab), India.

^b Department of Mathematics, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal-148106 (Punjab), India.