

A CONJECTURE OF BARRATT–JONES–MAHOWALD CONCERNING FRAMED MANIFOLDS HAVING KERVAIRE INVARIANT ONE

JOHN KLIPPENSTEIN and VICTOR SNAITH

(Received in revised form 21 July 1987)

§1. INTRODUCTION

TO SETTLE the question of the existence or non-existence of a framed manifold having a non-trivial Kervaire invariant (or Arf invariant) is one of the main long-standing problems in algebraic topology. The Kervaire invariant is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -valued invariant which may be formulated in many contexts. Originally it occurred as an invariant in framed surgery theory and for this approach the reader may consult [12] for example. It is reformulated in [7] in terms of the Adams spectral sequence for the stable homotopy of spheres. In particular the only open cases were reduced to determining whether $h_k^2 \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2, 2k+1}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is an infinite cycle, producing a non-trivial element θ_k in the $2^{k+1} - 2$ stem of the stable homotopy of spheres. More recently the Kahn–Priddy theorem [8] and the algebraic Kahn–Priddy theorem [10] have been used to convert it to a problem in the stable homotopy of infinite dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$. The Kahn–Priddy theorem gives a stable map $\tau: \mathbb{R}P^\infty \rightarrow S^0$ which is a split surjection of stable homotopy groups (localized at the prime 2)

$$(1.1) \quad \pi_*^S(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)_{(2)} \xrightarrow{\pi_* \tau} \pi_*^S(S^0)_{(2)}.$$

The algebraic Kahn–Priddy theorem states that the map of Ext groups, induced by τ , is an epimorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s, t}(H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty), \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s+1, t+1}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

It is well known that, (see [11] for example), together they reduce the question of the existence of θ_{k+1} to the existence of a stable map $g: S^{2^{k+1}-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{2^{k+1}-2}$ which is detected by Sq^{2^k} , i.e. Sq^{2^k} is nonzero on $H_{2^{k+1}-1}$ (Cone g). Notice that this reduces it from a problem about secondary operations to one about primary operations. What this paper does is show that this question about primary operations in cohomology is equivalent to a question about e -invariants in K -theory. We do this by proving the following theorem.

(1.3) THEOREM. *Let $g: \Sigma^N S^n \rightarrow \Sigma^N \mathbb{R}P^n$, ($n = 2t - 2$, $t = 2^k$, $k \geq 2$) be a map representing $[f] \in \pi_n^S(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $[f] \in \pi_n^S(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ has non-trivial Kervaire invariant in the sense mentioned above.
- (b) $g_* = f_*: jo_n(S^n) \rightarrow jo_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ is non-trivial.
- (c) g has KU_* - e -invariant equal to $((3^t - 1)/4)(2s + 1)$ in (2.2).
- (d) g (or f) has bo_* - e -invariant of the form $2^{k+1}(2v + 1)$ in $bo_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^t$.

In [5] it was shown that (b) implies (a), in §1.3, and it was conjectured there that the converse holds. The formulation of the Kervaire invariant problem as in §1.3 (c)/(d) is much easier to work with than that of (a) or (b). As an (easy) exercise the reader is invited to derive all the Hopf invariant results of [5] from this formulation.

§2 will show the equivalence of (b), (c) and (d). We conclude this section by giving a proof, modulo some computational lemmas proved in §3, of the equivalence of (a) and (d) in (1.3).

Proof. Remember we are trying to determine whether f is null homotopic, i.e. whether, in the cofibration

$$(1.4) \quad S^n \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}P^\infty \xrightarrow{h} \text{Cone } f \rightarrow S^{n+1},$$

it happens that $\text{Cone } f \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee S^{n+1}$. Ignoring the action of the Steenrod algebra, we find that

$$(1.5) \quad H\mathbb{Z}/2_*(\text{Cone } f) \cong H\mathbb{Z}/2_*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \oplus H\mathbb{Z}/2_*(S^{n+1}).$$

However, one can also consider the action of the Steenrod algebra on $H\mathbb{Z}/2_*(\text{Cone } f)$. In particular if Sq^{2^k} is nonzero on $H_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, we say f is detected by Sq^{2^k} . (One should note that one of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$'s comes from a cell in $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ and it is known that Sq^{2^k} is zero on it.) It is important for our proof to notice that, in this case, detection by Sq^{2^k} is equivalent to detection by $Sq^{2^k} + b$ where b is a decomposable element of degree 2^k in the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, because b must be zero on $H_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f)$. There are two ways to see this. If f is detected by Sq^{2^a} for $a < k$, then our proof of the main theorem would imply that $[f]$ has a jo_* Hurewicz image of order greater than two which contradicts §2.8. Alternatively one can look at (4.6) of [5] to learn that $\text{Ext}^{1, 2^{k+1}-1}(H^*\mathbb{R}P^\infty, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by an element whose survival to E_∞ would indicate a map detected by Sq^{2^k} .

Working with bo homology we get

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) & \xrightarrow{bo_*h} & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \xrightarrow{bo_*c} & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(S^{2^{k+1}-1}) \rightarrow 0 \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k} \langle \beta_{2^k-1} \rangle & & \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k} \langle \beta_{2^k-1} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \langle F \rangle & & \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \langle T \rangle \end{array}$$

(see [14] for the calculation of $bo_*\mathbb{R}P^\infty$). This sequence splits as bo_* modules and in a further attempt to detect f we consider the action of $\psi^3 - 1$ on $bo_*\text{Cone } f$. F has been chosen to be a preimage of T . Since $\psi^3 T = T$, the naturality of ψ^3 tells us that $(\psi^3 - 1)(F) \in \text{Ker } bo_*c = \text{Im } bo_*h$. So $(\psi^3 - 1)F = \lambda \beta_{2^k-1}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k}$. Since (see [14])

$$(\psi^3 - 1)(\beta_{2^k-1}) = (9^{2^k-1} - 1)\beta_{2^k-1} = (2s + 1)2^{k+2}\beta_{2^k+1},$$

if $\lambda | 2^{k+2}$ ($\lambda \neq 2^{k+2}$) then $\text{Cone } f$ is not homotopic to $\mathbb{R}P^\infty \vee S^{n+1}$ and we say that f has a nonzero e -invariant.

To relate these two methods of detection we use the following commutative diagram

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H\mathbb{Z}/2_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \leftarrow & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \xrightarrow{\psi^3-1} & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) \\ \downarrow Sq^{2^k} + a & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (\psi^3-9) \dots (\psi^3-9^{2^k-1}) \\ H\mathbb{Z}/2_{2^k-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & (\Sigma^{2^k} bo)_{2^{k+1}-1}^{(2^k-1)}(\text{Cone } f) & \xrightarrow{f^{2^k-2^k\lambda}} & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) \\ & & \cong \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \end{array}$$

which can be recovered from Theorem B and Theorem 4.2 in [15] (for the definition of θ, λ, j and $bo^{(m)}$ see Theorem B there).

An Adams spectral sequence calculation (see Lemma 3.1) will show that $bo_{2^k-1}^{(2^k-1)}$ (Cone f) $\cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and that the map to $H\mathbb{Z}/2_{2^k-1}$ (Cone f) is an isomorphism. Similarly, studying the cofibration associated to Cone f indicates that in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \longrightarrow & H\mathbb{Z}/2_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k} \langle \beta_{2^k-1} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \langle F \rangle & & \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \end{array}$$

F is sent to the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coming from the cell attached by f . Hence f is detected by Sq^{2^k} if and only if $\theta_{2^k-2}F$ is non-zero. Finally Lemmas (3.2) and (3.3) will show that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi^3 - 1)(\psi^3 - 9) \dots (\psi^3 - 9^{2^k-2-1})(F) &= (2s + 1) \cdot \lambda \cdot 2^{2^k-k-2} \beta_{2^k-2} \\ &= 2^{2^k-1} \cdot \theta_{2^k-2}(F) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\theta_{2^k-2}F$ is nonzero if and only if $v_2(\lambda) = k + 1$ i.e. f has a nonzero e invariant (one should notice that this immediately gives the fact that f is not divisible by 2). Q.E.D.

§2. k -THEORY e -INVARIANTS

Suppose that $t = 2^k, n = 2t - 2$ and that $g: \Sigma^N S^n \rightarrow \Sigma^N \mathbb{R}P^n$ is a map which represents $[f]$ in §1.3. Let KU_* denote reduced (periodic) unitary K -homology [1, p.134] and let bu_*, bo_* denote connective unitary and orthogonal K -theory respectively [2, p. 146]. Let $C(g)$ denote the cofibre of g and consider the resulting K -theory sequences.

(2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1} \cong KU_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n) & \rightarrow & KU_{n+1}(C(g)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & KU_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\ & & bu_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n) & \rightarrow & bu_{n+1}(C(g)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & bu_n(S^n) \\ & & \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1} \cong bo_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n) & \rightarrow & bo_{n+1}(C(g)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & bo_n(S^n) \end{array}$$

Let $F \in KU_{n+1}(C(g))$ be such that $\delta(F)$ is a generator and let ψ^3 denote the Adams operation, as usual. The $KU_* - e$ -invariant of g is given by

(2.2) $(\psi^3 - 1)(F) \in KU_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1}.$

Since $\psi^3(x) = 3^t x$ for $x \in KU_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ we see that (2.2) is well-defined modulo

$$(3^t - 1)\mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1} = 2^{k+2}\mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1}, \text{ if } t = 2^k \text{ and } k \geq 2.$$

From (2.1) one sees that one may equally well define the e -invariant of (2.2) by means of bu_* or bo_* . In particular we have the following results since the canonical map

$$bo_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1} \rightarrow bo_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^t$$

is injective when $n = 2t - 2, t = 2k$.

(2.3) LEMMA. *Let n, t, k ($k \geq 2$) and g be as in §1.3. Then the $KU_* - e$ -invariant of g equals $((3^t - 1)/4)(2s + 1) = 2^k(2u + 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1}$ if and only if the $bo_* - e$ -invariant of f equals $2^{k+1}(2v + 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2^t \cong bo_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$.*

(2.4) Now let $bspin = bo\langle 4 \rangle$ be the 3-connected cover of bo [2, p. 146].

In a more refined manner one may consider the e -invariant defined by studying

$$(2.5) \quad \psi^3 - 1: bo_{n+1}(C(g)) \rightarrow bspin_{n+1}(C(g)).$$

It is straightforward to relate the “ e -invariant” of (2.5) to the bo_* - e -invariant.

On the other hand, if we define a (2-localized) spectrum, jo , by the fibration

$$(2.6) \quad jo \rightarrow bo \xrightarrow{\psi^3 - 1} bspin$$

one may easily relate the induced map

$$(2.7) \quad g_* = f_*: jo_n(S^n) \rightarrow jo_n(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong jo_n(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$$

to (2.5) and thence to Lemma 2.3.

One finds the following relationship.

(2.8) PROPOSITION. *Let f, g, n, t be as in (1.3). Then the e -invariant of (2.2) equals $((3^t - 1)/4)(2s - 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2^{t-1}$ if and only if $g_* = f_*$ is non-zero in (2.7).*

In fact, $2g_ = 0$ in any case [5].*

§3. COMPUTATIONS

All that remains to be done to complete the proof of the main theorem (1.3) is to prove the following three lemmas.

(3.1) LEMMA. $bo_{2^k-1}^{(2^k-1-1)}(\text{Cone } f) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $bo_{2^k-1}^{(2^k-1-1)}(\text{Cone } f) \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}/2_{2^k-1}(\text{Cone } f)$ is an isomorphism.

$$(3.2) \text{ LEMMA. } j^{2^k-3} \circ \lambda: bo_{2^k-1}^{(2^k-1-1)}(\text{Cone } f) \rightarrow bo_{2^k+1-1}(\text{Cone } f) \text{ is an injection.}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k} \end{array}$$

$$(3.3) \text{ LEMMA. } (\psi^3 - 9)(\psi^3 - 9^2) \dots (\psi^3 - 9^{2^k-2-1})(\beta_{2^k-2}) = 2^{2^k-k-2}(\beta_{2^k-1})$$

Proof of 3.1. As promised we use Adams spectral sequences since the definition of $bo^{(n)}$ indicates that

$$(3.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_2^{s,t}(n) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(bo^{(n)}) \otimes H^*(X), \mathbb{Z}/2) \Rightarrow bo_{t-s}^{(n)}(X) \\ \text{and } \lambda: bo^{(n)} \rightarrow bo \text{ of (1.7) induces an isomorphism} \\ D_2^{s,t}(n) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s+n,t+n}(H^*(bo) \otimes H^*(X), \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong E_2^{s+n,t+n} \\ \text{where } E_2^{s,t} \Rightarrow bo_{t-s}(X) \text{ is an Adams spectral sequence.} \end{array} \right.$$

Now one simply turns to [14] to see that

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{m,m+2^k-1}(H^*(bo) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty), \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 2^k-1-1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq 2^k-1-1 \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma but the authors feel that they are doing the readers a disservice if they do not point out the methods used in [14] for doing these calculations, since once the method is understood, anyone can duplicate the calculations on their own

faster than they can look them up in [14] and these methods are at the heart of all the calculations in this paper. The fundamental fact is that if R is defined as the stable fibre of the Kahn–Priddy map

$$(3.7) \quad S^{-1} \xrightarrow{h} R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty \xrightarrow{\tau} S^0$$

then it turns out that

$$(3.8) \quad R \wedge bo \simeq \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \Sigma^{4n-1} H\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}.$$

Thus to make calculations in $bo_* \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ one just studies the kernel and cokernel of $bo_*(h)$, the calculations being done using the Adams spectral sequence which collapses in both cases and the map $bo_* h$ is determined by the fact that it always preserves Adams filtration.

Proof of (3.2). The proof of (4.1) showed that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda \cdot bo_{2^k-1}^{(2^k-1)}(\text{Cone } f) & \rightarrow & bo_{2^k-1}(\text{Cone } f) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & & \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k-1} \end{array}$$

is an inclusion. So it remains to show that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} j^{2^k-3}: bo_{2^k-1}(\text{Cone } f) & \rightarrow & bo_{2^{k+1}-1}(\text{Cone } f) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k-1} & & \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^k} \end{array}$$

in an inclusion. But this is well known and can be proved by means of the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Q.E.D.

Proof of (3.3). From [14] we know that $\psi^3(\beta_{2^k-1}) = 9^{2^k-1} \beta_{2^k-1}$. Notice that

$$(3.11) \quad v_2(9^m - 1) = v_2(m) + 3.$$

For if m is odd this is obvious from the binomial expansion of $(8 + 1)^m = 9^m$ and if not then it follows by induction from the equation

$$(9^{2m} - 1) = (9^m - 1)^2 + 2(9^m - 1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi^3 - 9) \dots (\psi^3 - 9^{2^k-2-1})(\beta_{2^k-1}) \\ &= (9^{2^k-1} - 9)(9^{2^k-1} - 9^2) \dots (9^{2^k-1} - 9^{2^k-2-1})\beta_{2^k-1} \\ &= 9^a(9^{2^k-1-1})(9^{2^k-1-2} - 1) \dots (9^{2^k-2+1} - 1)\beta_{2^k-1} \\ &= (2s + 1)2^{3(2^k-2-1)}2^x \lambda \beta_{2^k-1} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.11), where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v_2(2^{k-2} + 1) + v_2(2^{k-2} + 2) + \dots + v_2(2^{k-1} - 1) \\ &= v_2(1) + v_2(2) + \dots + v_2(2^{k-2} - 1) \\ &= v_2((2^k - 2)!) \\ &= 2^k - 2 - \alpha(2^k - 2) \end{aligned}$$

since $v_2(m!) = m - \alpha(m)$. Since $\alpha(2^k - 2) = k - 2$, we obtain the desired result.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. ADAMS: *Stable homotopy and generalised homotopy, Chicago Lectures in Math.*, University of Chicago Press (1974).
2. J. F. ADAMS: Vector fields on spheres, *Ann. Math.* **75** (1962), 603–632.
3. J. F. ADAMS: *Infinite loop spaces*, *Ann. Math. Study* # 90, Princeton University Press (1978).
4. J. F. ADAMS and S. B. PRIDDY: Uniqueness of BSO, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **80** (1976), 475–509.
5. M. G. BARRATT, J. D. S. JONES and M. E. MAHOWALD: The Kervaire invariant and the Hopf invariant, To appear in Proceedings of the Seattle Emphasis Year in Homotopy Theory (1984/85) as part of the Springer Lecture Notes Series.
6. M. G. BARRATT, J. D. S. JONES and M. E. MAHOWALD: Relations amongst Toda brackets and the Kervaire invariant in dimensions 62, *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **30** (1984), 533–550.
7. M. G. BROWDER: The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalization, *Ann. Math.* **90** (1969), 157–186.
8. D. S. KAHN and S. B. PRIDDY: The transfer and stable homotopy theory, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **83** (1978), 103–112.
9. R. M. KANE: Operations in connective K-theory, *Mem. Am. math. Soc. No.* **254**, (1981).
10. W. H. LIN: Algebraic Kahn–Priddy theorem, *Pacif. J. Math.* **96** (1981), 435–455.
11. J. D. S. JONES: D. Phil. Thesis, Oxford (1976).
12. J. D. S. JONES: The Kervaire invariant of extended power manifolds, *Topology* **17** (1978), 249–266.
13. I. MADSEN and R. J. MILGRAM: *The classifying spaces for surgery and cobordism of manifolds*, *Ann. Math. Study* # 92, Princeton University Press (1979).
14. M. MAHOWALD and R. J. MILGRAM: Operations which detect Sq^4 in connective K-theory and their applications, *Q. J. Math.* **27** (2) (1976), 415–432.
15. R. J. MILGRAM: The Steenrod algebra and its dual for connective K-theory; Reunion sobre homotopia, Northwestern University 1974, *Sociedad Matematica Mexicana* (1975), 127–158.
16. V. P. SNAITH and J. TORNEHAVE: On $\pi_n^s(\mathbf{BO})$ and the Arf invariant of framed manifolds, *Contemp. Math.* **12** (1982), 299–313.

The University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario
N6A 5B7 Canada