

A Simple Proof of an Aomoto-Type Extension of Askey’s Last Conjectured Selberg q -Integral

Kevin W. J. Kadell

Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1804

Submitted by Bruce C. Berndt

Received June 1, 1998

We establish an Aomoto-type extension of Askey’s last conjectured Selberg q -integral, which was recently proved by Evans. We follow the lines of our proofs of Aomoto-type extensions of the Morris constant term q -identity and Gustafson’s Askey–Wilson Selberg q -integral. We require integral forms of the q -transportation theory and its alternative for the root system A_{n-1} , which are related to the simple reflections and minuscule weight of A_{n-1} . We use an elementary symmetry which is related to the minuscule weight of A_{n-1} to lift a proof of the one dimensional q -integral to the multivariable setting. © 2001 Academic Press

Key Words: Selberg’s integral; Aomoto-type extension; q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} .

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Throughout this paper, we let $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, m , and v be integers with $0 \leq m \leq n$ and $2 \leq v \leq n$, we let x and y be complex with positive real parts, and we let q be real with $0 < q < 1$. In 1944, Selberg [27] evaluated the multivariable beta integral

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{x-1} (1-t_i)^{y-1} \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(x + (n-i)k) \Gamma(y + (n-i)k) \Gamma(1+ik)}{\Gamma(x+y+(2n-i-1)k) \Gamma(1+k)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - t_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.

Askey [5] conjectured a number of extensions of Selberg’s integral based upon various beta integrals. The first three conjectures were based upon



q -beta integrals given by Ramanujan [25, 26]; see also Askey [4] and Hardy [13]. Gustafson [10, 11] has proven many extensions of Selberg’s integral including extensions based upon Mellin–Barnes type integrals and the Askey–Wilson integral with five parameters.

Let $(z; q)_a = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} (1 - q^i z)$ be the q -Pockhammer symbol. Following Askey [5], we set

$${}_q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j / t_i; q)_{2k}. \tag{1.2}$$

In the words of Askey [5], ${}_q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ “vanishes when $t_i = t_j$ and on k lines on one side of this line and $k - 1$ lines on the other side.”

We associate

$${}_q a_{n-1}^k(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i / t_j; q)_k (q t_j / t_i; q)_k \tag{1.3}$$

with the root system A_{n-1} . Observe that ${}_q a_{n-1}^k(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and ${}_q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ have the same zeros. As in [19], the location of these zeros is the key to our proof.

We have the q -gamma function

$$\Gamma_q(x) = (1 - q)^{1-x} \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $(z; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^\infty (1 - q^i z)$, and the Jackson q -integral

$$\int_0^a f(t) d_q t = a(1 - q) \sum_{i=0}^\infty q^i f(aq^i), \tag{1.5}$$

which is the Riemann sum using the endpoints which are furthest from zero in the partition

$$(0, a]_q = \{aq^i \mid i \geq 0\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Askey’s first conjecture [5] is given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q I_n^k(x, y) &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{x-1} \frac{(qt_i; q)_\infty}{(q^y t_i; q)_\infty} {}_q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \\ &= q^{2\binom{n}{3}k^2 + x\binom{n}{2}k} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(x + (n-i)k) \Gamma_q(y + (n-i)k) \Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k) \Gamma_q(1+k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

This conjecture was proved independently by Habsieger [12] and Kadell [17]. Habsieger extended Selberg’s original proof [27], replacing the symmetry

$${}_q^{-x\binom{n}{2}k} {}_q I_n^k(x, y) = q^{-y\binom{n}{2}k} {}_q I_n^k(y, x) \tag{1.8}$$

by the asymptotic relation

$$q^{-x\binom{n}{2}k} {}_q J_n^k(x, -(n-1)k + \epsilon) \sim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C(1 - q^\epsilon)^{-n}, \tag{1.9}$$

where the constant C is independent of x and y . Kadell's proof generalized Aomoto's proof [3] of an extension of Selberg's integral. See Evans [7] for a proof using Anderson's argument [1].

Let $[w]f$ denote the coefficient of the monomial w in the Laurent expansion of f . Habsieger [12] and Kadell [17] independently observed that the Selberg q -integral (1.7) is equivalent to the Morris constant term q -identity

$$\begin{aligned} [1] \prod_{i=1}^n (t_i; q)_a (q/t_i; q)_b q a_{n-1}^k(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(q; q)_{a+b+(n-i)k} (q; q)_{ik}}{(q; q)_{a+(n-i)k} (q; q)_{b+(n-i)k} (q; q)_k} \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

A natural extension of the Jackson q -integral (1.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-c}^d f(t) d_q t &= - \int_0^{-c} f(t) d_q t + \int_0^d f(t) d_q t \\ &= c(1 - q) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i f(-q^i c) + d(1 - q) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i f(q^i d), \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

which is the Riemann sum using the endpoints which are furthest from zero in the partition

$$[-c, d]_q = \{-q^i c \mid i \geq 0\} \cup \{q^i d \mid i \geq 0\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Andrews and Askey [2] have given the q -beta integral

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q I(x, y; c, d) &= \int_{-c}^d \frac{(-qt/c; q)_\infty (qt/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t/c; q)_\infty (q^y t/d; q)_\infty} d_q t \\ &= \frac{cd}{(c+d)} \frac{(-d/c; q)_\infty (-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x d/c; q)_\infty (-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(x) \Gamma_q(y)}{\Gamma_q(x+y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Observe that the integrand has no poles with $t \in [-c, d]_q$.

Omitting m as a subscript when $m = 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q a s_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^{x+\chi(n-i+1 \leq m)} t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n), \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

where $\chi(A)$ is one or zero according to whether A is true or false, respectively, and we use capital letters to denote the q -integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) \\
 &= \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d {}_qas_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n. \tag{1.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Askey’s last conjectured Selberg q -integral [5], which has been proven by Evans [8], reduces to (1.13) when $n = 1$. This is the $m = 0$ case of the following theorem, which is our main result.

THEOREM 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) = q^{\binom{n}{3}k^2 - \binom{n}{2}\binom{k}{2}} (cd)^{\binom{n}{2}k} \\
 & \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{cd(-d/c; q)_\infty (-c/d; q)_\infty}{(c+d)(-q^{x+(n-i)k+\chi(i \leq m)}d/c; q)_\infty (-q^{y+(n-i)k}c/d; q)_\infty} \\
 & \times \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(x+(n-i)k+\chi(i \leq m))\Gamma_q(y+(n-i)k)\Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k+\chi(i \leq m))\Gamma_q(1+k)} \tag{1.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the integrand has no poles with $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [-c, d]_q^n$, that the integrand is bounded on $[-c, d]_q^n$, and that the case $cd = 0$ of (1.16) is Askey’s first conjecture (1.7).

Krattenthaler [22] used the case $m = 0, k = 1$ of Theorem 1 to obtain exact enumeration formulas for perfect matchings of holey Aztec rectangles.

Observe by (1.2) and (1.14) that we have the symmetry

$${}_qas_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) = {}_qas_n^k(y, x; d, c; -t_1, \dots, -t_n) \tag{1.17}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \\
 &= \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(-t_1, \dots, -t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n. \tag{1.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.15), (1.17), and (1.18), we have the symmetry

$${}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d) = {}_qAS_n^k(y, x; d, c). \tag{1.19}$$

We follow the lines of our proofs [19, 20] of Aomoto-type extensions of the Morris constant term q -identity (1.10) and Gustafson’s Askey–Wilson Selberg q -integral. We require integral forms of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} and its alternative. These are related to the

properties of the simple reflections and the minuscule weight of A_{n-1} . We use the elementary symmetry

$${}_q a_{n-1}^k(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = {}_q a_{n-1}^k(t_1, \dots, t_n). \tag{1.20}$$

See Carter [6], Grove and Benson [9], and Kadell [18–20] for details on the properties of root systems. The q -transportation theory for root systems has a long history in [16–20] and, in various forms, in many of the papers on constant term q -identities associated with root systems.

In Section 2, we use the substitution $t = qs$ to give a simple relation and, using a boundary condition, we prove the Andrews–Askey q -beta integral (1.13) when x is a positive integer.

In Section 3, we give an integral form of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} which we express in terms of ${}_q as_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

In Section 4, we lift the simple relation of Section 2 to the multivariable setting, obtaining an integral form of the alternative q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} which we express in terms of ${}_q as_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

In Section 5, we establish the dependence of ${}_q AS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ on the parameters m and x .

In Section 6, we recall the global form [16, Sect. 4] of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} and give an application.

In Section 7, we follow Askey [4] and lift the boundary condition of Section 2 to the multivariable setting, obtaining a recurrence relation involving the parameters $x, c,$ and n .

In Section 8, we use induction on $n, x,$ and m to evaluate ${}_q AS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ when x is a positive integer and, using Ismail's argument [15], we extend to complex x and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

2. A PROOF OF THE ANDREWS–ASKEY q -BETA INTEGRAL (1.13)

In this section, we use the substitution $t = qs$ to give a simple relation and, using a boundary condition, we prove the Andrews–Askey q -beta integral (1.13) when x is a positive integer.

Observe that the q -differential

$$d_q(f(t)) = f(t) - f(qt) \tag{2.1}$$

satisfies the scale invariance property

$$\frac{d_q(ct)}{ct} = \frac{d_q t}{t} = 1 - q. \tag{2.2}$$

The q -derivative is given by the ratio of q -differentials

$$\frac{d_q}{d_q t}(f(t)) = \frac{d_q(f(t))}{d_q t} = \frac{1}{t(1-q)}(f(t) - f(qt)). \quad (2.3)$$

We define

$$\int_{\alpha}^{q^N \alpha} f(t) d_q t = -\alpha(1-q) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} q^i f(q^i \alpha), \quad (2.4)$$

to be the finite sum which results when we cancel the possibly divergent infinite series in the definition (1.11). We have the principal value of the q -integral

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(t) d_q t \right) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-c}^{-q^N c} f(t) d_q t - \int_d^{q^N d} f(t) d_q t \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} c(1-q) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} q^i f(-q^i c) \\ &\quad + d(1-q) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} q^i f(q^i d), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which extends the definition (1.11).

The following lemma gives an integral form of the alternative q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} .

LEMMA 2. *If $g(t)$ has no poles with $t \in [-c, d]_q$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d (1+t/c)(1-t/d) \frac{(-qt/c; q)_{\infty} (qt/d; q)_{\infty}}{(-q^x t/c; q)_{\infty} (q^y t/d; q)_{\infty}} g(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right) \\ = \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d (1+q^x t/c)(1-q^y t/d) \frac{(-qt/c; q)_{\infty} (qt/d; q)_{\infty}}{(-q^x t/c; q)_{\infty} (q^y t/d; q)_{\infty}} \right. \\ \left. \times g(qt) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$f(t) = (1+t/c)(1-t/d) \frac{(-qt/c; q)_{\infty} (qt/d; q)_{\infty}}{(-q^x t/c; q)_{\infty} (q^y t/d; q)_{\infty}} g(t) \quad (2.7)$$

has no poles with $t \in [-c, d]_q$ and

$$f(qt) = (1+q^x t/c)(1-q^y t/d) \frac{(-qt/c; q)_{\infty} (qt/d; q)_{\infty}}{(-q^x t/c; q)_{\infty} (q^y t/d; q)_{\infty}} g(qt). \quad (2.8)$$

Hence we may write the result (2.6) as

$$\text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right) = \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(qt) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Observe by (2.7) that

$$0 = f(-c) = f(d). \tag{2.10}$$

Replacing $f(t)$ by $f(t)/t$ in (2.5), we obtain

$$\text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right) = (1 - q) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} -f(-q^i c) + f(q^i d). \tag{2.11}$$

Using (2.10) and observing that we may shift both of the sums on the right side of (2.11), we have

$$\text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right) = \text{PV} \left(\int_{-qc}^{qd} f(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right). \tag{2.12}$$

Substituting (2.12) into (2.9), we see that the result (2.6) becomes

$$\text{PV} \left(\int_{-qc}^{qd} f(t) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right) = \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d f(qt) \frac{d_q t}{t} \right), \tag{2.13}$$

which follows using the substitution $t = qs$, the scale invariance (2.2) of $d_q t/t$, and replacing s by t . ■

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((1 + t/c)(1 - t/d) - (1 + q^x t/c)(1 - q^y t/d) \right) \frac{q^x d}{t} \\ &= \left(1 + t(1/c - 1/d) - t^2/cd - 1 - t(q^x/c - q^y/d) + q^{x+y} t^2/cd \right) \frac{q^x d}{t} \\ &= q^x d/c - q^x - q^x t/c - q^{2x} d/c + q^{x+y} + q^{2x+y} t/c \\ &= 1 - q^x + q^x d/c - q^{2x} d/c - 1 + q^{x+y} - q^x t/c + q^{2x+y} t/c \\ &= (1 - q^x)(1 + q^x d/c) - (1 - q^{x+y})(1 + q^x t/c). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Setting $g(t) = q^x d$ in Lemma 2 (2.6), moving both q -integrals to the same side of the equation, using (2.14), and observing that the principal value extends the definition (1.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \left((1 - q^x)(1 + q^x d/c) - (1 - q^{x+y})(1 + q^x t/c) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-qt/c; q)_\infty (qt/d; q)_\infty d_q t}{(-q^x t/c; q)_\infty (q^y t/d; q)_\infty t} \Big) \\ &= \int_{-c}^d \left((1 - q^x)(1 + q^x d/c) - (1 - q^{x+y})(1 + q^x t/c) \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{(-qt/c; q)_\infty (qt/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t/c; q)_\infty (q^y t/d; q)_\infty} d_q t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

which we may rearrange as

$$(1 - q^x)(1 + q^x d/c)_q I(x, y; c, d) = (1 - q^{x+y})_q I(x + 1, y; c, d). \quad (2.16)$$

Using the functional equation

$$\Gamma_q(x + 1) = \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} \Gamma_q(x) \quad (2.17)$$

for the q -gamma function, we see that the product on the far right side of (1.13) satisfies (2.16).

We require the following q -analogue of [16, Lemma 3].

LEMMA 3. *If $g_x(t)$ is bounded on $[-c, d]_q$ and $g_x(-c)$ is continuous at $x = 0$ from the right, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} \int_{-c}^d \frac{(-qt/c; q)_\infty (qt/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t/c; q)_\infty (q^y t/d; q)_\infty} g_x(t) d_q t \\ = \frac{cd}{(c + d)} \frac{(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} g_0(-c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. Using the definition (1.11) and cancelling the factor $1 - q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} \int_{-c}^d \frac{(-qt/c; q)_\infty (qt/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t/c; q)_\infty (q^y t/d; q)_\infty} g_x(t) d_q t \\ = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - q^x) \left(c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i \frac{(q^{i+1}; q)_\infty (-q^{i+1} c/d; q)_\infty}{(q^{i+x}; q)_\infty (-q^{i+x} c/d; q)_\infty} g_x(-q^i c) \right. \\ \left. + d \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i \frac{(-q^{i+1} d/c; q)_\infty (q^{i+1}; q)_\infty}{(-q^{i+x} d/c; q)_\infty (q^{i+x}; q)_\infty} g_x(q^i d) \right) \\ = c \frac{(-qc/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} g_0(-c), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

which readily simplifies to the result (2.18). ■

Taking $g_x(t) = 1$ in Lemma 3 (2.18), we see that ${}_q I(x, y; c, d)$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} {}_q I(x, y; c, d) = \frac{cd}{(c + d)} \frac{(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty}. \quad (2.20)$$

Using (1.4) and (2.17), we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} \Gamma_q(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \Gamma_q(x + 1) = \Gamma_q(1) = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Hence that the product on the far right side of (1.13) also satisfies the boundary condition (2.20).

Combining our results (2.16) and (2.20), we may establish the Andrews–Askey q -beta integral (1.13) by induction on x .

3. THE q -TRANSPORTATION THEORY FOR THE ROOT SYSTEM A_{n-1}

In this section, we give an integral form of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} which we express in terms of ${}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Using the identities

$$(z; q)_a = (-z)^a q^{\binom{a}{2}} (q^{1-a}/z; q)_a, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(z; q)_{a+b} = (z; q)_a (q^a z; q)_b \tag{3.2}$$

for reversing and splitting the q -Pockhammer symbol, respectively, and the fact that $k(1 - k) + \binom{k}{2} = -\binom{k}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^{2k} (q^{1-k} t/s; q)_{2k} &= s^{2k} (q^{1-k} t/s; q)_k (qt/s; q)_k \\ &= s^{2k} (-q^{1-k} t/s)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} (s/t; q)_k (qt/s; q)_k \\ &= (-st)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}} (s/t; q)_k (qt/s; q)_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The following lemma gives an integral form of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} .

LEMMA 4. *If F is a distribution function on the domain \mathcal{D} and $Y(s, t)$ is symmetric,*

$$Y(s, t) = Y(t, s), \tag{3.4}$$

in s and t , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \int_{\mathcal{Q}^2} t(s - t)(s - Qt)Y(s, t)dF(s)dF(t) \\ &= Q \int \int_{\mathcal{Q}^2} s(s - t)(s - Qt)Y(s, t)dF(s)dF(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Since $(Qs - t)(s - t)(s - Qt)$ is antisymmetric under the substitution $s \longleftrightarrow t$, we have

$$0 = \int \int_{\mathcal{Q}^2} (Qs - t)(s - t)(s - Qt)Y(s, t)dF(s)dF(t). \tag{3.6}$$

The result (3.5) follows by expanding the factor $Qs - t$ in (3.6) and rearranging the result. ■

The following lemma expresses Lemma 4 (3.5) in terms of ${}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

LEMMA 5. If $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is symmetric,

$$\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \omega(t_1, \dots, t_{v-2}, t_v, t_{v-1}, t_{v+1}, \dots, t_n), \quad (3.7)$$

in t_{v-1} and t_v , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d t_v \omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right) \\ &= q^k \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d t_{v-1} \omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q a s_n^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d \frac{1}{t_{v-1}} \omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right) \\ &= q^k \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d \frac{1}{t_v} \omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q a s_n^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Define ${}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(v; t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by

$${}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_{v-1} - t_v)(t_{v-1} - q^k t_v) {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(v; t_1, \dots, t_n). \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.3) and the fact that $-st(1-s/t)(1-q^k t/s) = (s-t)(s-q^k t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^{2k}(q^{1-k} t/s; q)_{2k} &= (s-t)(s-q^k t)(-st)^{k-1} \\ & \quad q^{-\binom{k}{2}}(qs/t; q)_{k-1}(qt/s; q)_{k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using (3.11) with $s = t_{v-1}$ and $t = t_v$, we see by (1.2) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(v; t_1, \dots, t_n) &= (-t_{v-1} t_v)^{k-1} q^{-\binom{k}{2}} (qt_{v-1}/t_v; q)_{k-1} (qt_v/t_{v-1}; q)_{k-1} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{v-2} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_{v-1}/t_i; q)_{2k} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_v/t_i; q)_{2k} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=v+1}^n t_{v-1}^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_{v-1}; q)_{2k} t_v^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_v; q)_{2k} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i, j \neq v-1, v}} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_i; q)_{2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Using (1.14), (3.7), (3.10), and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &= (t_{v-1} - t_v)(t_{v-1} - q^k t_v) Y(t_{v-1}, t_v), \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t_{v-1}, t_v) &= \omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(v; t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

is symmetric in t_{v-1} and t_v . The result Lemma 5 (3.8) now follows by applying Lemma 4 (3.5) with $Y(t_{v-1}, t_v)$ given by (3.14) and $s = t_{v-1}$, $t = t_v$, $Q = q^k$.

The result Lemma 5 (3.9) now follows by incorporating $1/t_{v-1}t_v$ into $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. ■

4. THE ALTERNATIVE Q -TRANSPORTATION THEORY FOR THE ROOT SYSTEM A_{n-1}

In this section, we lift the simple relation Lemma 2 (2.6) to the multivariable setting, obtaining an integral form of the alternative q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} which we express in terms of ${}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Setting $s = t_i$ and $t = t_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ in (3.3), we see by (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-t_i t_j)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}} (t_i/t_j; q)_k (qt_j/t_i; q)_k \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}k} q^{-\binom{n}{2}\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{(n-1)k} {}_q a_{n-1}^k(t_1, \dots, t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Observe by (1.20) and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}k} q^{(n-1)k - \binom{n}{2}\binom{k}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{(n-1)k} {}_q a_{n-1}^k(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \\ &= q^{(n-1)k} {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The following lemma gives an integral form of the alternative q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} .

LEMMA 6. If $g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ has no poles on $[-c, d]_q^n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (1 + t_1/c)(1 - t_1/d) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) \right. \\ & \quad \times g(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \Big) \\ &= q^{(n-1)k} \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (1 + q^x t_n/c)(1 - q^y t_n/d) {}_q a s_n^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. (x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) g(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1} \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} \right). \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) &= (1 + t_1/c)(1 - t_1/d) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) g(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

has no poles with $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [-c, d]_q^n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) &= (1 + q^x t_n/c)(1 - q^y t_n/d) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \\ & \quad \times {}_q \Delta_n^{2k}(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) g(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Using (1.14), (4.2), (4.4), and (4.5), we see that we may write the result (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \right) \\ &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1} \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Observe by (4.3) that

$$0 = f(-c, t_2, \dots, t_n) = f(d, t_2, \dots, t_n), \quad (4.7)$$

where $(t_2, \dots, t_n) \in [-c, d]_q^{n-1}$. Using (4.7) and observing that we may shift both of the sums on the right side of (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \right) \\ &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-qc}^{qd} \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.6), we see that the result (4.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-qc}^{qd} \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \right) \\ &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d f(qt_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1} \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

which follows using the substitution $(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (qs_n, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$, the scale invariance (2.2) of $d_q t_i/t_i$, and replacing s_i by t_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. ■

5. THE DEPENDENCE OF ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ ON THE PARAMETERS m AND x

In this section, we establish the dependence of ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ on the parameters m and x . Throughout this section, we let $1 \leq m \leq n$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (1 + t_1/c)(1 - t_1/d) \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times {}_qas_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) \frac{d_q t_1}{t_1} d_q t_2 \cdots d_q t_n \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Taking $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c)$ in Lemma 6 (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= q^{(n-1)k} \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (1 - q^y t_n/d) \prod_{i=n-m+1}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times {}_qas_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1} \frac{d_q t_n}{t_n} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Applying Lemma 5 (3.9) $m - 1$ times to the term $1/t_n$ in

$$(1 - q^y t_n/d) \frac{1}{t_n} = 1/t_n - q^y/d \tag{5.3}$$

and the q -integral on the right side of (5.2) with v running from $n - 1$ to $n - m + 1$ and $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{i=n-m+1}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c)$ and rearranging $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (q^{(n-m)k}/t_{n-m+1} - q^{y+(n-1)k}/d)(1 + q^x t_{n-m+1}/c) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) {}_qas_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Applying Lemma 5 (3.8) and (3.9) $n - m$ times to the terms $-t_1/cd$ and $1/t_1$, respectively, in

$$(1 + t_1/c)(1 - t_1/d) \frac{1}{t_1} = 1/t_1 + 1/c - 1/d - t_1/cd \quad (5.5)$$

and the q -integral \mathcal{F} on the right side of (5.1) with v running from two to $n - m + 1$ and $\omega(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c)$, and factoring the integrand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = & \text{PV} \left(\int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d \left(q^{(n-m)k} / t_{n-m+1} + 1/c - 1/d - q^{-(n-m)k} t_{n-m+1} / cd \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \right). \quad (5.6) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting

$$\begin{aligned} x, y, c, d, t & \longrightarrow x + (n - m)k, y + (n - 1)k, \\ & q^{(n-m)k} c, q^{(n-m)k} d, t_{n-m+1} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

into (2.14) and rearranging the left side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((q^{(n-m)k} / t_{n-m+1} + 1/c)(1 - q^{-(n-m)k} t_{n-m+1} / d) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (1 + q^x t_{n-m+1} / c)(q^{(n-m)k} / t_{n-m+1} - q^{y+(n-1)k} / d) \right) q^{x+(n-m)k} d \\ & = (1 - q^{x+(n-m)k})(1 + q^{x+(n-m)k} d/c) \\ & \quad - (1 - q^{x+y+(2n-m-1)k})(1 + q^x t_{n-m+1} / c). \quad (5.8) \end{aligned}$$

Equating (5.4) and (5.6), multiplying by $q^{x+(n-m)k} d$, factoring the integrand of (5.6), moving both q -integrals to the same side of the equation, using (5.8), and observing that the principal value extends the definition (1.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & (1 - q^{x+(n-m)k})(1 + q^{x+(n-m)k} d/c) \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d \\ & \times \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) {}_q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n \\ & - (1 - q^{x+y+(2n-m-1)k}) \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d (1 + q^x t_{n-m+1} / c) \\ & \times \prod_{i=n-m+2}^n (1 + q^x t_i/c) \times {}_Q a s_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n. \quad (5.9) \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.14) and (1.15), we may rearrange (5.9) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) &= (1 + q^{x+(n-m)k} d/c) \\
 &\times \frac{(1 - q^{x+(n-m)k})}{(1 - q^{x+(2n-m-1)k})} {}_qAS_{n,m-1}^k(x, y; c, d), \quad (5.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the dependence of ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ on the parameter m .

Using (5.10), we see by induction on m that

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) &= \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + q^{x+(n-i)k} d) \\
 &\times \frac{(1 - q^{x+(n-i)k})}{(1 - q^{x+(2n-i-1)k})} {}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d). \quad (5.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $m = n$ in (5.11) and using the fact that

$${}_qAS_{n,n}^k(x, y; c, d) = {}_qAS_n^k(x + 1, y; c, d), \quad (5.12)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_qAS_n^k(x + 1, y; c, d) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + q^{x+(n-i)k} d) \\
 &\times \frac{(1 - q^{x+(n-i)k})}{(1 - q^{x+(2n-i-1)k})} {}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d), \quad (5.13)
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the dependence of ${}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d)$ on the parameter x .

6. THE GLOBAL FORM OF THE q -TRANSPORTATION THEORY FOR THE ROOT SYSTEM A_{n-1}

In this section, we recall the global form [16, Sect. 4] of the q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} and give an application.

Throughout this section, we follow the notation and recall results of [16, Sect. 4].

We set

$$(Q_{i,j})\Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - Q_{i,j}t_j) \quad (6.1)$$

and we define the antisymmetrization operator

$$\Xi_n(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) f(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(n)}). \quad (6.2)$$

The case $m = 0$ of [16, Lemma 4 (4.4)] is

$$\Xi_n\left(\prod_{(Q_{i,j})} \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n)\right) = \left(\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \pi(i) < \pi(j)}} Q_{i,j}\right) \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n). \tag{6.3}$$

Using (3.1) and the fact that $(1 - k)(2k - 1) + \binom{2k-1}{2} = 0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} s^{2k-1}(q^{1-k}t/s; q)_{2k-1} &= s^{2k-1}(-q^{1-k}t/s)^{2k-1}q^{\binom{2k-1}{2}}(q^{1-k}s/t; q)_{2k-1} \\ &= -t^{2k-1}(q^{1-k}s/t; q)_{2k-1} \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

is antisymmetric in s and t . Using (6.4) with $s = t_i$ and $t = t_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we see that

$$q\Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_i^{2k-1}(q^{1-k}t_j/t_i; q)_{2k-1} \tag{6.5}$$

is antisymmetric in t_1, \dots, t_n .

If F is a distribution function on the domain \mathcal{D} , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}^n} \prod_{(Q_{i,j})} \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) q\Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_n) dF(t_1) \cdots dF(t_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} Q_{i,j}\right) \int \cdots \int_{\mathcal{D}^n} \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) q\Delta_n^{2k-1} \\ &\quad \times (t_1, \dots, t_n) dF(t_1) \cdots dF(t_n). \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Observe that (6.6) gives the effect of $(Q_{i,j})$ on the value of the integral on the left side of (6.6). This is the case $m = 0$ of the global q -transportation theory for the root system A_{n-1} developed in [16, Sect. 4].

Observe that

$$s^{2k}(q^{1-k}t/s; q)_{2k} = s^{2k-1}(q^{1-k}t/s; q)_{2k-1}(s - q^k t). \tag{6.7}$$

Using (6.7) with $s = t_i$ and $t = t_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we see that

$$q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - q^k t_j) q\Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_n). \tag{6.8}$$

We have MacMahon [24]

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ \pi(i) > \pi(j)}} Q = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - Q^i)}{(1 - Q)}. \tag{6.9}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} qh_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &\quad \times q\Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

which is symmetric,

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q h_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &= {}_q h_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(n)}), \quad \pi \in S_n, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

in t_1, \dots, t_n , and we use capital letters to denote the q -integral

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_q H_n^k(x, y; c, d) \\ &= \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d {}_q h_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_n. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Setting $Q_{i,j} = Q$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, in (6.3) and using (6.9), we obtain

$$\Xi_n \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (t_i - Q t_j) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - Q^i)}{(1 - Q)} \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n), \tag{6.13}$$

which is [16, (4.13)]. See Macdonald [23] and Carter [6, Theorems 10.2.1, 10.2.3].

Setting $Q_{i,j} = q^k$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, in (6.6), setting $Q = q^k$ in (6.9), and using (6.8) and (6.10), we obtain

$${}_q AS_n^k(x, y; c, d) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - q^{ik})}{(1 - q^k)} {}_q H_n^k(x, y; c, d). \tag{6.14}$$

7. A RECURRENCE RELATION

In this section, we follow Askey [4] and lift the boundary condition of Section 2 to the multivariable setting, obtaining a recurrence relation involving the paramters x, c , and n .

Using the symmetry (6.11) of the integrand ${}_q h_n^k(x, y; c, d; t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and the fact that the integrand vanishes when $t_i = t_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have

$${}_q H_n^k(x, y; c, d) = n \int_{-c}^d \frac{(-qt_n/c; q)_\infty (qt_n/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_n/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_n/d; q)_\infty} g_x(t_n) d_q t_n, \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_x(t_n) &= \int_{t_n}^d \cdots \int_{t_n}^d \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \\ &\times \Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) {}_q \Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_n) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Since $t + c = c(1 + t/c)$, we have

$$\Delta_n(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, -c) = c^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + t_i/c) \Delta_{n-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \tag{7.3}$$

and, using the antisymmetry (6.4),

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\Delta_n^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, -c) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{2k-1} (-q^{1-k}c/t_i; q)_{2k-1} {}_q\Delta_{n-1}^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \\ &= c^{(2k-1)(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (-q^{1-k}t_i/c; q)_{2k-1} \\ &\quad \times {}_q\Delta_{n-1}^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Observe that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + t_i/c) \frac{(-qt_i/c; q)_\infty}{(-q^x t_i/c; q)_\infty} \Big|_{x=0} = 1. \quad (7.5)$$

Substituting (7.3) and (7.4) into (7.2), setting $x = 0$ and $t_n = -c$, and using (7.5) and the fact that $(\alpha; q)_{2k-1} = (\alpha; q)_\infty / (q^{2k-1}\alpha; q)_\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(-c) &= c^{2(n-1)k} \int_{-c}^d \cdots \int_{-c}^d \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-q^{1-k}t_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^k t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \Delta_{n-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) {}_q\Delta_{n-1}^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Since the integrand on the right side of (7.6) vanishes for $t_i = -q^j c$ where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, we may write (7.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(-c) &= c^{2k(n-1)} \int_{-q^k c}^d \cdots \int_{-q^k c}^d \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-q^{1-k}t_i/c; q)_\infty (qt_i/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^k t_i/c; q)_\infty (q^y t_i/d; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times \Delta_{n-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) {}_q\Delta_{n-1}^{2k-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d_q t_1 \cdots d_q t_{n-1} \\ &= c^{2k(n-1)} {}_qH_{n-1}^k(2k, y; q^k c, d). \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Since g_x satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3, we see using (7.1) and (7.7) that Lemma 3 (2.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} {}_qH_n^k(x, y; c, d) \\ = n \frac{cd}{(c + d)} \frac{(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} c^{2k(n-1)} {}_qH_{n-1}^k(2k, y; q^k c, d), \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

which is [16, (9.17)]. Using (6.14), we see that (7.8) becomes the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} {}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d) &= \frac{(1 - q^{nk})}{(1 - q^k)} \frac{cd}{(c + d)} \frac{(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times c^{2(n-1)k} {}_qAS_{n-1}^k(2k, y; q^k c, d). \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

8. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we use induction on n , x , and m to evaluate ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ when x is a positive integer and, using Ismail's argument [15], we extend to complex x and complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Using the fact that $cd(-d/c; q)_\infty/(c+d) = d(-qd/c; q)_\infty$, we may write the function on the right side of (1.16) as

$${}_qR_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) = {}_q\mathcal{F}_n^k(c, d) {}_q\mathcal{H}_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) {}_q\mathcal{L}_{n,m}^k(x, y), \tag{8.1}$$

where

$${}_q\mathcal{F}_n^k(c, d) = q^{\binom{n}{3}k^2 - \binom{n}{2}\binom{k}{2}}(cd)^{\binom{n}{2}k}, \tag{8.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_q\mathcal{H}_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d) \\ &= d^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qd/c; q)_\infty (-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^{x+(n-i)k+\chi(i \leq m)}d/c; q)_\infty (-q^{y+(n-i)k}c/d; q)_\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_q\mathcal{L}_{n,m}^k(x, y) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(x + (n-i)k + \chi(i \leq m))\Gamma_q(y + (n-i)k)\Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(x+y+(2n-i-1)k + \chi(i \leq m))\Gamma_q(1+k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Using (2.17), we see that ${}_qR_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ satisfies (5.10) and (5.12). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_q\mathcal{F}_{n-1}^k(q^k c, d) = q^{\binom{n-1}{3}k^2 - \binom{n-1}{2}\binom{k}{2}}(q^k cd)^{\binom{n-1}{2}k} \\ &= q^{\binom{n}{3}k^2 - \binom{n-1}{2}\binom{k}{2}}(cd)^{\binom{n-1}{2}k}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Comparing (8.2) and (8.5), we have

$${}_q\mathcal{F}_n^k(c, d) = q^{-(n-1)\binom{k}{2}}(cd)^{(n-1)k} {}_q\mathcal{F}_{n-1}^k(q^k c, d). \tag{8.6}$$

Observe that (8.3) gives

$${}_q\mathcal{H}_n^k(0, y; c, d) = d^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(-qd/c; q)_\infty (-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^{(n-i)k}d/c; q)_\infty (-q^{y+(n-i)k}c/d; q)_\infty}, \tag{8.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_q\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^k(2k, y; q^k c, d) \\ &= d^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-q^{1-k}d/c; q)_\infty (-q^k c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^{(n-i)k}d/c; q)_\infty (-q^{y+(n-i)k}c/d; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{(-qd/c; q)_\infty(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^{1-k}d/c; q)_\infty(-q^k c/d; q)_\infty} = \frac{(-c/d; q)_k}{(-q^{1-k}d/c; q)_k} = q^{\binom{k}{2}}(c/d)^k. \tag{8.9}$$

Using (8.9) and the fact that $d(-qd/c; q)_\infty/(-d/c; q)_\infty = cd/(c + d)$ to compare (8.7) and (8.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q\mathcal{H}_n^k(0, y; c, d) &= q^{(n-1)\binom{k}{2}}(c/d)^{(n-1)k} \frac{cd}{(c + d)} \frac{(-c/d; q)_\infty}{(-q^y c/d; q)_\infty} \\ &\quad \times {}_q\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^k(2k, y; q^k c, d). \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

Using (2.17) and (2.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} {}_q\mathcal{L}_n^k(x, y) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma_q((n-i)k) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma_q(y + (n-i)k) \Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(y + (2n-i-1)k) \Gamma_q(1+k)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_q(1+nk)}{\Gamma_q(1+k)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n-i)k) \Gamma_q(y + (n-1-i)k) \Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(y + (2n-i-1)k) \Gamma_q(1+k)} \\ &= \frac{(1 - q^{nk})}{(1 - q^k)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n+1-i)k) \Gamma_q(y + (n-1-i)k) \Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(y + (2n-i-1)k) \Gamma_q(1+k)}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

$${}_q\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^k(2k, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma_q((n+1-i)k) \Gamma_q(y + (n-1-i)k) \Gamma_q(1+ik)}{\Gamma_q(y + (2n-i-1)k) \Gamma_q(1+k)}. \tag{8.12}$$

Comparing (8.11) and (8.12), we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - q^x)}{(1 - q)} {}_q\mathcal{L}_n^k(x, y) = \frac{(1 - q^{nk})}{(1 - q^k)} {}_q\mathcal{L}_{n-1}^k(2k, y). \tag{8.13}$$

Multiplying (8.6), (8.10), and (8.13), we see that ${}_qR_n^k(x, y; c, d)$ satisfies the recurrence relation (7.9).

Using (5.10), (5.12), and (7.9), and proceeding by induction on n, x , and m , we may evaluate ${}_qAS_n^k(x, y; c, d)$ when x is a positive integer.

Recall the identity theorem by Hille [14, Sect. 8.1] that two functions which are analytic in the domain \mathcal{D} and agree at infinitely many points of \mathcal{D} which include an accumulation point in \mathcal{D} agree throughout \mathcal{D} .

Let w and z be complex numbers. Observe that $(wz; q)_\infty$ is an entire function of z and that if $|w| \leq 1$ then $1/(wz; q)_\infty$ is an analytic function of z in the unit disc around zero. Observe that

$$s^{2k}(q^{1-k}t/s; q)_{2k} = (s - q^{1-k}t) \cdots (s - q^k t). \tag{8.14}$$

Setting $s = t_i$ and $t = t_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ in (8.14), we see by (1.2) that ${}_q\Delta_n^{2k}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a polynomial. Since finite sums and products of analytic functions are analytic, we see that ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ and ${}_qR_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ are analytic functions of $z = q^x$ in the unit disc around zero. Since they have the same values at $z = q^x$ where x is a positive integer and they are both analytic at $z = 0$, we see by the identity theorem that they are equal for z in the unit disc around zero. Since $|q| < 1$, this is the half plane where the real part of x is positive. This completes the evaluation of ${}_qAS_{n,m}^k(x, y; c, d)$ and establishes Theorem 1.

Our argument was introduced by Ismail [15] who gave a simple proof of Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula. Kaneko [21] used Ismail's argument to give a multivariable ${}_1\psi_1$ summation theorem using the Macdonald polynomials.

REFERENCES

1. G. Anderson, A short proof of Selberg's generalized beta formula, *Forum Math.* **3** (1991), 415–417.
2. G. E. Andrews and R. A. Askey, Another q -extension of the beta function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1981), 97–101.
3. K. Aomoto, Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg's integral, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **18** (1987), 545–549.
4. R. A. Askey, Ramanujan's extensions of the gamma and beta functions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **87** (1980), 346–359.
5. R. A. Askey, Some basic hypergeometric extensions of integrals of Selberg and Andrews, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **11** (1980), 938–951.
6. R. W. Carter, "Simple Groups of Lie Type," Wiley-Interscience, London/New York, 1972.
7. R. Evans, Multidimensional q -beta integrals, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23** (1992), 758–765.
8. R. Evans, Multidimensional beta and gamma integrals, *Contemp. Math.* **166** (1994), 341–357.
9. L. C. Grove and C. T. Benson, "Finite Reflection Groups," 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
10. R. A. Gustafson, A generalization of Selberg's beta integral, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 97–105.
11. R. A. Gustafson, Some q -beta and Mellin–Barnes integrals with many parameters associated to the classical groups, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23** (1992), 525–551.
12. L. Habsieger, Une q -intégrale de Selberg–Askey, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 1475–1489.
13. G. H. Hardy, "Ramanujan," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1940; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1959.
14. E. Hille, "Analytic Function Theory," Vol. 1, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1973.
15. M. E.-H. Ismail, A simple proof of Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1977), 185–186.
16. K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of some q -analogues of Selberg's integral for $k = 1$, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 944–968.
17. K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of Askey's conjectured q -analogue of Selberg's integral and a conjecture of Morris *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **19** (1988), 969–986.

18. K. W. J. Kadell, A proof of the q -Macdonald–Morris conjecture for BC_n , *AMS Memoir* **108**, No. 516 (1994).
19. K. W. J. Kadell, A simple proof of an Aomoto-type extension of the q -Morris theorem, *Contemp. Math.* **166** (1994), 167–181.
20. K. W. J. Kadell, A simple proof of an Aomoto-type extension of Gustafson’s Askey–Wilson Selberg q -integral, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **5** (1998), 125–142.
21. J. Kaneko, A ${}_1\psi_1$ summation theorem for Macdonald polynomials, *Ramanujan J.* **2** (1998), 379–386.
22. C. Krattenthaler, Schur function identities and the number of perfect matchings of holey Aztec rectangles, *Contemp. Math.* **254** (2000), 335–349.
23. I. G. Macdonald, The Poincaré series of a Coxeter group, *Math. Ann.* **199** (1972), 161–174.
24. P. A. Mac Mahon, Two applications of general theorems in combinatory analysis: (1) to the theory of inversions of permutations; (2) to the ascertainment of the numbers of terms in the development of a determinant which has amongst its elements an arbitrary number of zeros, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **15** (1916), 314–321.
25. S. Ramanujan, Some definite integrals, *Messenger Math.* **44** (1915), 10–18; reprinted in [26].
26. S. Ramanujan, “Collected Papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan” (G. H. Hardy, P. V. Seshu Aiyar, and B. M. Wilson, Eds.), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1927; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1962.
27. A. Selberg, Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral, *Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr.* **26** (1944), 71–78.