

# K-theory and derived equivalences

(Joint work with D. Dugger; S. Schwede)

---

## Main Theorem:

If  $R$  and  $S$  are two derived equivalent rings, then  $K_*(R) \cong K_*(S)$ .

Neeman proved the above result for *regular rings*.

## Definitions:

$\text{Ch}_R$  :  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complexes of  $R$ -modules

$$\mathcal{H}o(\text{Ch}_R) = \text{Ch}_R[\text{q-iso}^{-1}] \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_R$$

$R$  and  $S$  are *derived equivalent* if  $\mathcal{D}_R$  and  $\mathcal{D}_S$  are equivalent as triangulated categories.

$$\mathcal{D}_R \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_S$$

## Examples:

- Morita equivalences such as  $R$  and  $M_n(R)$ .
- Representation theory of finite groups provides other non-Morita equivalent examples.

- For  $k$  a field the following two subalgebras of  $M_3(k)$  are derived equivalent:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } * \in k.$$

**Projectives in Mod- $R$ :**  $e_{ii}R = P_i$

$$P_3 = (0 \ 0 \ *) \rightarrow P_2 = (0 \ * \ *) \rightarrow P_1 = (* \ * \ *)$$

**Indecomposables in Mod- $R$ :**

**Mod- $R$  and Mod- $S$  are not equivalent:**

Indecomposables in Mod- $S$ :

$R$  and  $S$  are *hereditary*,  $\text{Ext}^2 = 0$ .

Thus  $X \simeq_{\text{q-iso}} H_*(X)$  for all  $X$  in  $\text{Ch}_R$  and  $\text{Ch}_S$ .

$\mathcal{D}_R \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_S :$

A *stronger* notion of equivalence:

**Definition:** Two model categories are *Quillen equivalent*  $\mathcal{M} \simeq_Q \mathcal{N}$  if there is an adjoint pair of functors  $L : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : R$  such that

- (1)  $L$  preserves cofibrations and  $R$  fibrations.
- (2) The derived functors  $\bar{L} : \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{N}) : \bar{R}$  induce an equivalence of categories.

**Examples:**

- There exist two DGAs  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\mathcal{D}_A \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_B$ , but  $\text{d.g.}A\text{-mod} \not\cong_Q \text{d.g.}B\text{-mod}$ .
- $\mathcal{H}o(K(n)\text{-mod}) \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{H}o(\text{d.g.}\mathbb{F}_p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]\text{-mod})$  but there is no underlying Quillen equivalence.

The *KEY* to our main result is the following:

**Proposition 1:**  $\text{Ch}_R$  and  $\text{Ch}_S$  are Quillen equivalent if and only if  $R$  and  $S$  are derived equivalent.

**“Proposition 2:”** “A Quillen equivalence  $\mathcal{M} \simeq_Q \mathcal{N}$  between stable model categories induces a  $K$ -theory equivalence,  $K(\mathcal{M}) \simeq K(\mathcal{N})$ .”

## Classical Morita Theory

Given an equivalence  $F : \text{Mod-}S \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Mod-}R : G$ , consider  $F(S) = P$ . It follows that:

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P) \cong \text{Hom}_S(S, S) \cong S$
- (ii) If  $\text{Hom}_R(P, X) \cong 0$  then  $X \cong 0$ .  
(Since  $\text{Hom}_R(F(S), X) \cong \text{Hom}_S(S, G(X))$ .)  
 $P$  is a *strong generator*.

**Morita Theory:** The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{Mod-}R$  and  $\text{Mod-}S$  are equivalent categories.
- (2) There exists a f. g. projective  $R$ -module  $P$  satisfying (i) and (ii).

**Proof:** (1) implies (2) follows from the above.

(2) implies (1), the equivalence is given by:

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, -) : \text{Mod-}R \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Mod-}S : - \otimes_S P.$$

Check for  $X = S$ :

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(P, X \otimes_S P).$$

and for  $Y = P$ :

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, Y) \otimes_S P \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$$

## Homotopical Morita Theory

Given a Quillen equivalence  $L : \text{Ch}_S \rightleftarrows \text{Ch}_R : R$ , consider  $L(S) = P$ . It follows that:

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}_R(P, P)_* \cong S$ , concentrated in degree 0.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{D}_R(P, X) \cong 0$ , then  $X \cong 0$ .
- (iii)  $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{D}_R(P, X_i) \cong \mathcal{D}_R(P, \bigoplus_i X_i)$ .  
(Since  $\mathcal{D}_S(S, -)$  also has this property.)

(ii) and (iii) imply  $P$  is a *compact, weak generator*.

**Lemma:** (Bökstedt-Neeman) In  $\text{Ch}_R$ , the compact objects are the *perfect complexes*, those complexes quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes of finitely generated projectives.

**Proposition 1:** The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{Ch}_R \simeq_Q \text{Ch}_S$
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}_R \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_S$  ( $R$  and  $S$  are derived equivalent.)
- (3)  $(\mathcal{D}_R)_{\text{compact}} \cong_{\Delta} (\mathcal{D}_S)_{\text{compact}}$
- (4)  $K_b(\text{proj-}R) \cong_{\Delta} K_b(\text{proj-}S)$
- (5) There is a bounded complex of finitely generated projectives  $P$  in  $\text{Ch}_R$  such that (i) and (ii) hold.  
 $P$  is a *tilting complex*.

Rickard showed (4) and (5) are equivalent.

**Proposition 1:** The following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{Ch}_R \simeq_Q \text{Ch}_S$
- (2)  $\mathcal{D}_R \cong_{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_S$  ( $R$  and  $S$  are derived equivalent.)
- (5) There is a tilting complex  $P$  in  $\text{Ch}_R$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) = (4)  $\rightarrow$  (5) as above.

(5)  $\rightarrow$  (1):

*Step 1:* Let  $\mathcal{E}(P) = \text{Hom}_R(P, P)$ .

There is a Quillen equivalence:

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, -) : \text{Ch}_R \rightleftarrows \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(P) : - \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(P)} P$$

Check for  $X = \mathcal{E}(P)$ :

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(P, X \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(P)} P).$$

and for  $Y = P$ :

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(P)} P \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$$

*Step 2:*  $\mathcal{E}(P)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $S$  (since  $H_*\mathcal{E}(P) = S$ ). Hence,

$$\text{Ch}_R \simeq_Q \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}(P) \simeq_Q \text{Ch}_S$$

Recall:  $R = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$  and  $S = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}$

Recall the indecomposables in  $\text{Mod-}R$ :

**Claim:**  $T = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_2/P_3$  is a *tilting module*.

(i)  $\text{Hom}_R(T, T) \cong S =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \rightarrow P_1 & P_2 \rightarrow P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \rightarrow P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \rightarrow P_2/P_3 & P_2/P_3 \rightarrow P_2/P_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii)  **$T$  is a weak generator:**

There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_2 \rightarrow 0 \oplus 0 \oplus P_2/P_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\mathcal{D}_R(T, X) \cong 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_R(R, X) \cong 0$  and  $X \cong 0$ .

(iii)  **$T$  is compact:**

$T$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus (P_3 \rightarrow P_2)$ .



## K-theory and Proposition 2

### Definition:

- (1) A *Waldhausen subcategory*  $\mathcal{U}$  of a pointed model category  $\mathcal{M}$  is a full subcategory of cofibrant objects containing  $*$  such that if  $A \twoheadrightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow X$  are in  $\mathcal{U}$  then  $(A \amalg_B X)_{\text{in } \mathcal{M}}$  is also in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- (2)  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$  is the full subcategory of cofibrant objects in  $\mathcal{M}$  weakly equivalent to an object in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- (3) If  $\mathcal{U} \cong \overline{\mathcal{U}}$  then we say  $\mathcal{U}$  is *complete*.

**Main Example:**  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Ch}_R$  and  $\mathcal{U}_R$  contains the bounded complexes of f. g. projectives.

Thus,  $\overline{\mathcal{U}_R} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{compact, cofibrant}}$ .

**Lemma:** Any Waldhausen subcategory is a “category of cofibrations and weak equivalences” in the sense of Waldhausen.

**Definition:**  $K(\mathcal{U})$  is the Waldhausen  $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{U}$ . (Using the S-dot construction.)

Note,  $K(\overline{\mathcal{U}_R}) \cong K(R)$ .

**Proposition 2:** Assume  $L : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : R$  is a Quillen equivalence and  $\mathcal{U}$  is a complete Waldhausen subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then

- $\mathcal{V} = \overline{L\mathcal{U}}$  is a complete Waldhausen subcategory of  $\mathcal{N}$  and
- $L : K(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(\mathcal{V})$ .

**Corollary:** If  $L : \text{Ch}_R \rightleftarrows \text{Ch}_S : R$  is a Quillen equivalence, then  $K(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K(S)$ .

**Proof:** One can show that:  $\overline{L(\overline{\mathcal{U}_R})} = \overline{\mathcal{U}_S}$ . The forward inclusion follows since  $L$  preserves compact and cofibrant objects. For the other direction, if  $X \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_S}$ , then  $\overline{R(X)} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_R}$ . Since  $L(\overline{R(X)}) \simeq X$  it follows that  $X \in L(\overline{\mathcal{U}_R})$ .

**Proof of Main Theorem:** Since  $R$  and  $S$  are derived equivalent if and only if they are Quillen equivalent, the main result that derived equivalence implies  $K$ -theory equivalence follows from the corollary.

**Corollary:** If  $L : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : R$  is a Quillen equivalence between stable model categories, then  $K_c(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_c(\mathcal{N})$  (where  $K_c$  is the  $K$ -theory of the compact objects).

**General result:** Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are cocomplete abelian categories with sets of small projective *strong* generators.

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are derived equivalent if and only if  $\text{Ch}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\text{Ch}_{\mathcal{B}}$  are Quillen equivalent.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are derived equivalent, then  $K_c(\mathcal{A}) \simeq K_c(\mathcal{B})$  (where  $K_c$  is the  $K$ -theory of the compact objects.)

Neeman considered instead small abelian categories and the associated Quillen  $K$ -theory of exact categories.