



Some applications of Miller–Mocanu lemma on certain classes of meromorphic functions

Saqib Hussain

Mathematics Department, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Meromorphic functions
Convolution
Integral operator

ABSTRACT

In this paper, making use of a linear operator we introduce and study certain new classes of meromorphic functions. We derive some inclusion results. These classes contain many known classes as a special case.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denotes the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disc $D = \{z: 0 < |z| < 1\}$. Further, let $P_k(\gamma)$ be the class of functions $p(z)$, analytic in $E = D \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $p(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re} p(z) - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi, \quad (1.2)$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $k \geq 2$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. This class was introduced by Padmanbhan and Paravatham [9]. For $\gamma = 0$ we obtain the class P_k defined by Pinchuk [11] and $P_2(\gamma) = P(\gamma)$ is the class with positive real part greater than γ .

Also note that for $p \in P_k(\gamma)$ if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)p_2(z),$$

where $p_1, p_2 \in P(\gamma)$ for $z \in E$. By $MC(\gamma)$, $MS^*(\gamma)$ and $MK(\gamma)$, we mean the subclasses of meromorphic convex, meromorphic star-like and meromorphic close-to-convex functions of order γ respectively. The class Σ is closed under the convolution or Hadamard product denoted and defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

E-mail address: saqib_math@yahoo.com

The study of operators plays a vital role in Geometric Function Theory. In 1999, using the technique of convolution Noor [6] defined an integral operator (see also [7]). Many author's [1–4,10] studied the properties of Noor integral operator and generalize it in many directions. Motivated, from Noor works, in [12] Yuan et al. defined an operator $I_{n,\mu}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ as follows:

$$I_{n,\mu}f(z) = f_{n,\mu}(z) * f(z), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$f_{n,\mu}(z) * \frac{1}{z(1-z)^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{z(1-z)^\mu} \quad n > -1, \mu > 0, z \in D. \quad (1.5)$$

Using (1.4) and (1.5), one can easily have

$$I_{n,\mu}f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)_{k+1}}{(n+1)_{k+1}} a_k z^k, \quad (1.6)$$

where $(a)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol given by

$$(a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_k = a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+k-1), \quad k \in N.$$

From (1.4) and (1.6), it can be easily verified that

$$z(I_{n+1,\mu}f(z))' = (n+1)I_{n,\mu}f(z) - (n+2)I_{n+1,\mu}f(z) \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$z(I_{n,\mu}f(z))' = \mu I_{n,\mu+1}f(z) - (\mu+1)I_{n,\mu}f(z). \quad (1.8)$$

Furthermore, for $c > 0$ the Generalized Bernardi Operator is defined as

$$J_c f(z) = \frac{c}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt. \quad (1.9)$$

Using the operator $I_{n,\mu}$, we define the following new classes of meromorphic functions

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \Sigma$, $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $z \in D$, then $f \in MV_k(n, \mu, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu}f(z))'}{(I_{n,\mu}f(z))'} \in P_k(\gamma).$$

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in \Sigma$, $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $z \in D$, then $f \in MR_k(n, \mu, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu}f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu}f(z)} \in P_k(\gamma).$$

It can be easily observed that

$$f \in MV_k(n, \mu, \gamma) \text{ if and only if } zf' \in MR_k(n, \mu, \gamma).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \Sigma$, $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, $z \in D$, then $f \in MT_k^*(n, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if there exists $g \in MR_2(n, \mu, \alpha)$ such that

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu}f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu}g(z)} \in P_k(\beta).$$

Remark 1.1. For special values of parameters n , μ , γ and k , we have many known classes of meromorphic functions, see [8,12].

2. Preliminary results

Lemma 2.1 [5]. Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and let $\Psi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function satisfying the conditions:

- (i) $\Psi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$,
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\Psi(1, 0) > 0$,
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}\Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ whenever $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ and $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$.

If $h(z)$ is a function analytic in E such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\Psi(h(z), zh'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in E$, then $\operatorname{Re}h(z) > 0$ in E .

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $n > -1, \mu > 0, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 1, z \in D$, then

$$MR_k(n, \mu + 1, \alpha) \subset MR_k(n, \mu, \beta) \subset MR_k(n + 1, \mu, \gamma).$$

Proof. First we prove

$$MR_k(n, \mu + 1, \alpha) \subset MR_k(n, \mu, \beta).$$

For this let $f(z) \in MR_k(n, \mu + 1, \alpha)$ and set

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)h_2(z) = -\frac{z(I_{n,\mu}f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu}f(z)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $H(z)$ is analytic in E and $H(0) = 1$. Using (1.8) and (3.1) and after some simplification, we obtain

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu+1}f(z)} = H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-H(z) + (\mu + 1)} \in P_k(\alpha). \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$\Phi_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\mu + 1} \left[\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \right] + \frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \left[\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kz^k \right],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) * z\Phi_\mu(z) &= H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-H(z) + (\mu + 1)} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(h_1(z) + \frac{zh_1'(z)}{-h_1(z) + (\mu + 1)} \right) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(h_2(z) + \frac{zh_2'(z)}{-h_2(z) + (\mu + 1)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since $f(z) \in MR_k(n, \mu + 1, \gamma)$, it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that $h_i(z) + \frac{zh_i'(z)}{-h_i(z) + (\mu + 1)} \in P(\alpha)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $h_i(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta)p_i(z)$. Then

$$\left[(\beta - \alpha) + (1 - \beta)p_i(z) + \frac{(1 - \beta)zp_i'(z)}{-(1 - \beta)p_i(z) + (-\beta + \mu + 1)} \right] \in P, \quad z \in E.$$

We formulate a functional $\Psi(u, v)$ by taking $u = h_i(z)$ and $v = zh_i'(z)$, then

$$\Psi(u, v) = (\beta - \alpha) + (1 - \beta)u + \frac{(1 - \beta)v}{-(1 - \beta)u + (-\beta + \mu + 1)}.$$

Clearly, $\Psi(u, v)$ satisfies first two conditions of Lemma 2.1. For the third condition, we proceed as follows:

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) = (\beta - \alpha) + \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 + \mu - \beta)v_1}{(1 + \mu - \beta)^2 + (1 - \beta)^2u_2^2}.$$

By putting $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C},$$

where

$$A = 2(\beta - \alpha)(1 + \mu - \beta)^2 - (1 - \beta)(1 + \mu - \beta),$$

$$B = 2(\beta - \alpha)(1 - \beta)^2 - (1 - \beta)(1 + \mu - \beta),$$

$$C = (1 + \mu - \beta)^2 + (1 - \beta)^2u_2^2.$$

We note that $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ if $A \leq 0$ and $B \leq 0$. From $A \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left[(3 + 2\mu + 2\alpha) - \sqrt{(3 + 2\mu + 2\alpha)^2 - 8} \right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Now using Lemma 2.1, we see that $p_i \in P$, for $i = 1, 2$ and $z \in E$. Hence $H(z) \in P_k(\beta)$ and consequently $f(z) \in MR_k(n, \mu, \beta)$. Working in a similar way, we obtain the other inclusion. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $n > -1, \mu > 0, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 1, z \in D$, then

$$MV_k(n, \mu + 1, \alpha) \subset MV_k(n, \mu, \beta) \subset MV_k(n + 1, \mu, \gamma).$$

Proof. Proof follows immediately, by using Remark 1.1 and Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $n > -1, \mu > 0, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta < 1, z \in D$, then

$$MT_k^*(n, \mu + 1, \alpha, \beta) \subset MT_k^*(n, \mu, \alpha, \gamma) \subset MT_k^*(n + 1, \mu, \alpha, \delta).$$

Proof. First we prove

$$MT_k^*(n, \mu + 1, \gamma) \subset MT_k^*(n, \mu, \gamma)$$

Let $f(z) \in MT_k^*(n, \mu + 1, \alpha, \beta)$ then there exists $g(z) \in MR_2(n, \mu + 1, \alpha)$ such that

$$-\frac{z(I_{n, \mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)} \in P_k(\beta). \tag{3.5}$$

We set

$$\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} = -H(z) = -\gamma - (1 - \gamma)p(z), \tag{3.6}$$

where $g(z) \in MR_2(n, \mu, \alpha)$, because $MR_2(n, \mu + 1, \alpha) \subset MR_2(n, \mu, \alpha)$. Then also

$$-\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}g(z))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} \in P_2(\alpha) = P(\alpha), \tag{3.7}$$

this implies

$$\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}g(z))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} = -Q(z) = -\alpha - (1 - \alpha)q(z), \quad q(z) \in P. \tag{3.8}$$

Consider

$$\frac{z(I_{n, \mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)} = \frac{I_{n, \mu+1}(zf'(z))}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)}. \tag{3.9}$$

From (1.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\frac{z(I_{n, \mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)} = \frac{\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}(zf'(z)))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} + (\mu + 1)\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)}}{\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}g(z))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} + (\mu + 1)}. \tag{3.10}$$

By using (3.6) and (3.8) and after some simplification, we have

$$\frac{z(I_{n, \mu}(zf'(z)))'}{I_{n, \mu}g(z)} = H(z)Q(z) - zH(z). \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.7)–(3.11), and after some simplification, we obtain

$$-\frac{z(I_{n, \mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)} = H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-Q(z) + (\mu + 1)} \in P_k(\beta). \tag{3.12}$$

Let

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)h_1(z)\} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)h_2(z)\}.$$

Then (3.12) becomes

$$-\frac{z(I_{n, \mu+1}f(z))'}{I_{n, \mu+1}g(z)} = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left\{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)h_1(z) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)zh'_1(z)}{-Q(z) + (\mu + 1)}\right\} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left\{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)h_2(z) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)zh'_2(z)}{-Q(z) + (\mu + 1)}\right\},$$

where

$$\left\{\gamma + (1 - \gamma)h_i(z) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)zh'_i(z)}{-Q(z) + (\mu + 1)}\right\} \in P(\beta), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad z \in E.$$

that is

$$\left\{ (\gamma - \beta) + (1 - \gamma)h_i(z) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)zh'_i(z)}{-Q(z) + (\mu + 1)} \right\} \in P(\beta), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \quad z \in E.$$

We formulate a functional $\Psi(u, v)$ by choosing $u = h_i(z) = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = zh'_i(z) = v_1 + iv_2$

$$\Psi(u, v) = (\gamma - \beta) + (1 - \gamma)u + \frac{(1 - \gamma)v}{-(q_1 + iq_2) + (\mu + 1)}.$$

The first two conditions of Lemma 2.1 are clearly satisfied. We verify the third condition with $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) &= (\gamma - \beta) + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(1 - \gamma)v_1\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1) + iq_2\}}{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2(\gamma - \beta)\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2\} - (1 - \gamma)(-q_1 + \mu + 1)(1 + u_2^2)}{2\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2\}} = \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2(\gamma - \beta)\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2\} - (1 - \gamma)(-q_1 + \mu + 1), \\ B &= -(1 - \gamma)(-q_1 + \mu + 1), \\ C &= (-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ if and only if $A \leq 0$ and $B \leq 0$. From $A \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\gamma = \frac{2\beta\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2\} + (-q_1 + \mu + 1)}{2\{(-q_1 + \mu + 1)^2 + q_2^2\} + (-q_1 + \mu + 1)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $h_i \in P$ and consequently $H(z) \in P_k(\gamma)$, where γ is given by (3.13). This completes the proof. \square

Next, we show that all the classes defined above are closed under Bernardi's operator.

Theorem 3.4. For $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $z \in D$, $c > 0$, if $f(z) \in R_k(n, \mu, \gamma)$ then

$$J_c f(z) \in R_k(n, \mu, \gamma).$$

Proof. We set

$$\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c f(z)} = -H(z) = -\gamma - (1 - \gamma)p(z). \quad (3.14)$$

Using (1.9) and (3.14) and by logarithmic differentiation we have

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} f(z)} = H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{-H(z) + c + 1}.$$

Now working in same way as in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the required result. \square

Theorem 3.5. For $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $z \in D$, $c > 0$, if $f(z) \in V_k(n, \mu, \gamma)$ then

$$J_c f(z) \in MV_k(n, \mu, \gamma).$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately by using Remark 1.1 and Theorem 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.6. For $n > -1$, $\mu > 0$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, $z \in D$, $c > 0$, if $f(z) \in T_k^*(n, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ then

$$J_c f(z) \in T_k^*(n, \mu, \alpha, \beta).$$

Proof. We set

$$\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c f(z)} = -H(z) = -\beta - (1 - \beta)p(z), \quad (3.15)$$

where $g(z) \in R_2(n, \mu, \gamma)$, so $J_c g(z) \in R_2(n, \mu, \gamma)$. Then also

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z)} \in P_2(\beta) = P(\beta). \quad (3.16)$$

Let

$$-\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z)} = Q(z). \quad (3.17)$$

Now

$$\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} g(z)} = \frac{I_{n,\mu}(zf'(z))}{I_{n,\mu} g(z)}. \quad (3.18)$$

From (1.11) and (3.18), we have

$$\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} g(z)} = \frac{\frac{z(z(I_{n,\mu} J_c f'(z)))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z)} + (c+1) \frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c f(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z)}}{\frac{z(I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z))'}{I_{n,\mu} J_c g(z)} + (c+1)} \quad (3.19)$$

Now, working in similar way as in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the required result. \square

References

- [1] N.E. Cho, K.I. Noor, Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with Choi–Saigo–Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320 (2006) 779–786.
- [2] J.H. Choi, M. Saigo, H.M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 276 (2002) 432–445.
- [3] J.-L. Liu, The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 261 (2001) 441–447.
- [4] J.-L. Liu, K.I. Noor, Some properties of Noor integral operator, *J. Nat. Geom.* 21 (2002) 81–90.
- [5] S.S. Miller, Differential inequalities and Caratheodory functions, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 81 (1975) 79–81.
- [6] K.I. Noor, On new classes of integral operators, *J. Nat. Geom.* 16 (1999) 71–80.
- [7] K.I. Noor, M.A. Noor, On integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 238 (1999) 341–352.
- [8] K.I. Noor, On certain classes of meromorphic functions involving integral operators, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7 (4) (2006) 1–8. Art. 138.
- [9] K.S. Padmanbhan, R. Paravatham, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 31 (1975) 311–323.
- [10] K. Piejko, J. Sokół, Subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with the Cho–Kwon–Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 1261–1266.
- [11] B. Pinchuk, Functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Isr. J. Math.* 10 (1971) 6–16.
- [12] S.M. Yuan, Z.M. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 505–515.