



Remarks on the Grunsky norm and p th root transformation

Arcadii Z. Grinshpan

Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

Received 5 September 1997; received in revised form 9 March 1998

Dedicated to Professor Haakon Waadeland on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract

We show that the norm of the Grunsky operator generated by a univalent function does not decrease with a p th root transformation, $p \geq 2$. The result is sharp for each p . © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 30C70; 30C35; 30C45

Keywords: Univalent functions; The Grunsky norm; P th root transformation

1. Introduction

Let S be the class of functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$, and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$. Each function $f \in S$ generates its Grunsky operator

$$G_f = \{\sqrt{nm}\alpha_{n,m}\}_{n,m=1}^\infty : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2,$$

where $\alpha_{n,m}$ are determined by the expansion

$$\log \frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} = \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \alpha_{n,m} z^n \zeta^m \quad (z, \zeta \in E).$$

Here ℓ^2 is the Hilbert space of all square-summable complex sequences $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, with the norm

$$\|X\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

E-mail address: azg@math.usf.edu (A.Z. Grinshpan).

The Grunsky operator is an important tool in the theory of conformal mappings. The norm of this linear operator (the Grunsky norm) $\|G_f\|, f \in S$, is at most 1. It is used when estimating the Taylor coefficients and other traditional functionals on various classes of univalent functions, e.g. those with a quasiconformal extension (see e.g. [7, Chs. 3 and 9] and [6,3,4]). The following representation of the Grunsky norm was established in [2] (see also [3]).

Theorem 1. *Let $w = f(z) \in S$ and*

$$N(f) = \{q: q(w) \neq \text{const is analytic in } \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f(E)\}.$$

For $q \in N(f)$ let

$$q \circ f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad 0 < \rho_q < |z| < 1,$$

and

$$\|f\|_q = \left[\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|^2}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_{-n}|^2} \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then the Grunsky norm $\|G_f\|$ can be found by the formula

$$\|G_f\| = \sup_{q \in N(f)} \|f\|_q. \tag{1}$$

Some properties of the Grunsky norm are immediate consequences of Theorem 1. For instance, we have the following inequality for an r -contraction of $f \in S$ which generalizes Pommerenke’s observation [7, p. 287, Example 9.2].

Corollary. *Let $f \in S$ and $f_r(z) = r^{-1}f(rz), r \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$\|G_{f_r}\| \leq \|G_f\| r^2. \tag{2}$$

The equality in (2) holds for all r for the function

$$f(z) = z/(1 - tz)^2 \quad (z \in E, \text{ parameter } t \in \bar{E}). \tag{3}$$

Note that for function (3), $\|G_f\| = |t|^2$ and $f_r(z) = z/(1 - rtz)^2$. Hence $\|G_{f_r}\| = |t|^2 r^2 = \|G_f\| r^2$.

Remark. Inequality (2) can be derived from the Grunsky norm definition directly.

In this paper, we apply Theorem 1 to prove a sharp Grunsky norm inequality arising from the p th root transformations.

2. P -symmetric functions

A function $g(z)$ is said to be p -symmetric ($p = 2, 3, \dots$) in E if for every z in E

$$g(e^{2\pi i/p} z) = e^{2\pi i/p} g(z).$$

According to Gronwall’s theorem (see e.g. [1, pp. 18–19]), $g(z)$ is regular and p -symmetric in E if and only if it has a power series expansion of the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{np+1} z^{n p+1}, \quad z \in E.$$

It follows that a function $g \in S$ is p -symmetric if and only if g is the p th root transformation of a function $f \in S$, i.e.

$$g(z) = \sqrt[p]{f(z^p)}. \tag{4}$$

It is natural to ask how the properties of functions f and g , connected by (4) for some integer $p \geq 2$, are related. We shall consider three simple examples.

Clearly, g is bounded if and only if f is bounded. Also it is easy to see that for each $z \in E$

$$z^p f'(z^p)/f(z^p) = z g'(z)/g(z). \tag{5}$$

Taking into account Nevanlinna’s condition for starlikeness and (5) we conclude that g is starlike if and only if f is starlike [1, p. 111 and Problem 30, p. 131].

Now let g be a convex p -symmetric function in S , $p \geq 2$. Then for f defined by (4) we have

$$p[1 + f''(z^p)z^p/f'(z^p)] = 1 + z g''(z)/g'(z) + (p - 1)z g'(z)/g(z), \quad z \in E. \tag{6}$$

Study’s condition for convexity [1, p. 111] together with Nevanlinna’s condition imply that f is convex as well. However, the latter property of the p -symmetric functions cannot be reversed. Namely, let f be a convex function in S such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\{p[1 + f''(z)z/f'(z)] - (p - 1)z f'(z)/f(z)\} < 0$$

for some integer $p \geq 2$ and some $z \in E$, for example, $f(z) = z/(1 - z)$ (see Problems 15 and 31 in [1, pp. 129 and 132]). According to Study’s condition, (5) and (6), the corresponding function g is not convex.

It is possible that other properties of univalent functions are also not “improving” under the p th root transformations. In Section 3 we verify this occurrence in the case of the Grunsky norm.

3. An inequality for the Grunsky norm

We show that for functions f and g satisfying (4) $\|G_g\|$ can be estimated from below via $\|G_f\|$ but not from above.

Theorem 2. *Given a function $f \in S$ and an integer $p \geq 2$ let g be defined by (4). Then*

$$\|G_f\| \leq \|G_g\|. \tag{7}$$

This inequality is sharp for each p and over each subclass $\{f \in S: \|G_f\| = k\}$, $0 \leq k \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $N(f)$ and $\|f\|_q$, $q \in N(f)$, be defined as in Theorem 1. We denote

$$N_p = \{v = q(w^p): q(w) \in N(f)\},$$

where each function v is defined on $\bar{C} \setminus g(E)$. It follows that

$$N_p \subset N(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for } q \in N(f), \quad \|f\|_q = \|g\|_{q \circ w^p}.$$

According to Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\|G_f\| = \sup_{q \in N(f)} \|f\|_q = \sup_{q \in N_p} \|g\|_q \leq \|G_g\|.$$

To show the sharpness of inequality (7) we use Krushkal’s example [5]. He evaluated (in an equivalent form) the Grunsky norm of the sequence of p -symmetric functions generated by (3). In particular, he proved that for each even p , any $t \in E$, and

$$h(z) = z/(1 - tz^p)^{2/p}, \quad z \in E, \tag{8}$$

the Grunsky norm $\|G_h\|$ equals $|t|$. Let $f(z)$ be defined by (8) with $p = 2$ and $t = k$, $0 \leq k < 1$, i.e. $f(z) = z/(1 - kz^2)$, $z \in E$. Then $\|G_f\| = k$ and the Grunsky norm of the function $\sqrt[p]{f(z^p)}$ is the same for each $p = 2, 3, \dots$. The case $\|G_f\| = 1$ is trivial. The proof is complete. \square

Remark. Inequality (7) with $p = 2$ was implicitly used in [4] to prove an inclusion theorem for bounded univalent functions.

It is sufficient to consider an unbounded function $f \in S$ with $\|G_f\| = k$, $0 \leq k < 1$, to see that in the general case there is no upper bound less than 1 for $\|G_g\|$ in Theorem 2. For example, let $f(z) = z/(1 - z)^{1+k}$ [3]. Since the function g defined by (4) has at least two logarithmic poles on the unit circle, it cannot have a quasiconformal extension onto \bar{C} . By Pommerenke’s theorem on quasiconformal extensions [7, p. 292], $\|G_g\| = 1$.

Given $f \in S$ the sequence $b_p = \|G_g\|$ ($p = 2, 3, \dots$), where g is defined by (4), is not necessarily nondecreasing. For example [5], for each odd $p \geq 3$ and $t \in E \setminus \{0\}$, the Grunsky norm of function (8) is less than $|t|$ (recall that the Grunsky norm of this function equals $|t|$ if p is even). The case $p = 3$ was established by Kühnau in 1981 (see e.g. [6, p. 135]). Now let $a = \limsup b_p$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$). Theorem 2 implies that $\|G_f\| \leq b_p \leq a$ ($p = 2, 3, \dots$). If f , with $\|G_f\| < 1$, is a bounded function which has a q -quasiconformal extension \tilde{f} onto \bar{C} with the normalization $\tilde{f}(\infty) = \infty$, then it follows that $a \leq q < 1$. The smallest possible value of q is likely to be equal to a for any function f of this type. The function f defined by (8) with $p = 3$ was the first known example (given by Kühnau) of a function such that the smallest possible value of q , which is equal to $|t|$, is greater than $\|G_f\|$. For details concerning the inequality $\|G_f\| \leq q$ and this example we refer the reader to the monograph [6, Part 2, Ch. 2] and its references.

References

[1] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, vol. 1, Polygonal Publ. House, Washington, NJ, 1983.
 [2] A.Z. Grinshpan, Univalent functions and regularly measurable mappings, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 27 (6) (1986) 50–64 (Russian); English transl. *Siberian Math. J.* 27 (6) (1986) 825–837.
 [3] A.Z. Grinshpan, Univalent functions with logarithmic restrictions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 55 (1991) 117–138.

- [4] A.Z. Grinshpan, Ch. Pommerenke, The Grunsky norm and some coefficient estimates for bounded functions, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997) 705–712.
- [5] S.L. Krushkal, The Grunsky coefficient conditions, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 28 (1) (1987) 138–145 (Russian); English transl. *Siberian Math. J.* 28 (1) (1987) 104–110.
- [6] S.L. Krushkal, R. Kühnau, *Quasiconformal mappings – new methods and applications*, Nauka Sibirsk. Otdel., Novosibirsk, 1984 (in Russian).
- [7] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.