



On a discrete norm for polynomials

R. Fournier ^a, S. Ruscheweyh ^{b,*}, L. Salinas C. ^c

^a Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, QC H3J 3J7, Canada

^b Institut für Mathematik, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

^c Dpt. Informática and CCTVal—Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso, Universidad Técnica F. Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 March 2012

Available online 28 June 2012

Submitted by Eero Saksman

Keywords:

Discrete norms

Discrete type Bernstein and Markov

inequalities

Interpolation

ABSTRACT

Given $n + 1$ angles $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_n \leq \pi$, we discuss various extremal problems over the class of polynomials \mathcal{P}_n endowed with the norm

$$|p|_n = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left| \frac{p(e^{i\theta_j}) + p(e^{-i\theta_j})}{2} \right|.$$

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the class of polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients. To $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we associate the polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T_k(z)$ where T_k is the k th Chebyshev polynomial [1]. Given $n + 1$ angles $0 \leq \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_n \leq \pi$, an application of the Lagrange interpolation formula at the $n + 1$ nodes

$$-1 \leq \cos(\theta_n) < \cos(\theta_{n-1}) < \dots < \cos(\theta_1) < \cos(\theta_0) \leq 1$$

yields

$$P(\cos \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^n L_j(\cos \theta) P(\cos \theta_j), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$L_j(z) := \frac{W(z)}{(z - \cos \theta_j) W'(\cos \theta_j)} \in \mathcal{P}_n, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n,$$

with

$$W(z) := \prod_{j=0}^n (z - \cos \theta_j) \tag{1.2}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fournier@dms.umontreal.ca (R. Fournier), ruscheweyh@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de (S. Ruscheweyh), luis.salinas@usm.cl (L. Salinas C.).

are the fundamental polynomials of this interpolation process. Setting

$$L_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,j} T_k(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,j} z^k$$

we readily obtain from (1.1) for all $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$

$$p(e^{i\theta}) + p(e^{-i\theta}) = \sum_{j=0}^n (\ell_j(e^{i\theta}) + \ell_j(e^{-i\theta})) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_j}) + p(e^{-i\theta_j})}{2}$$

and, in particular, for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$p(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \ell_j(e^{i\theta}) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_j}) + p(e^{-i\theta_j})}{2}. \tag{1.3}$$

Therefore, for any linear functional \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{P}_n , we have

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathcal{L}(\ell_j) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_j}) + p(e^{-i\theta_j})}{2}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n. \tag{1.4}$$

Equipping \mathcal{P}_n with the norm

$$|p|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left| \frac{p(e^{i\theta_j}) + p(e^{-i\theta_j})}{2} \right|,$$

we obtain from (1.4) that

$$\max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |\mathcal{L}(p)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^n |\mathcal{L}(\ell_j)|. \tag{1.5}$$

Indeed, equality holds in (1.5): there exists a polynomial

$$P(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T_k(z) \in \mathcal{P}_n$$

such that

$$P(\cos \theta_j) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathcal{L}(\ell_j)} / |\mathcal{L}(\ell_j)| & \text{if } \mathcal{L}(\ell_j) \neq 0, \\ \text{any complex number with modulus } \leq 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{L}(\ell_j) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Then the associated polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ clearly satisfies $|p|_n = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(p) = \sum_{j=0}^n |\mathcal{L}(\ell_j)|$. It is also clear that the extremal polynomials $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{P}_n$, i.e., those for which $|\tilde{p}|_n = 1$ and

$$|\mathcal{L}(\tilde{p})| = \sum_{j=0}^n |\mathcal{L}(\ell_j)|,$$

are fully determined by the interpolation condition (1.6). In particular, if $\mathcal{L}(\ell_j) \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, there exists a unique (up to a multiplicative constant of modulus 1) extremal polynomial. Any linear problem over the space \mathcal{P}_n with the discrete norm $|\cdot|_n$ for an arbitrary system $\{\theta_j : j = 0, \dots, n\}$ given as above is explicitly solvable.

The discrete norm $|p|_n$ for the choice $\{\theta_j\} = \{j\pi/n\}_{j=0}^n$, in combination with the linear functional \mathcal{L}_θ , for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ fixed and,

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta(p) := \frac{p(e^{i\theta}) - p(e^{-i\theta})}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

seems to be of particular interest. In this case formula (1.4) becomes

$$\frac{p(e^{i\theta}) - p(e^{-i\theta})}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = \sum_{j=0}^n c_n(j, \theta) \frac{p(e^{ij\pi/n}) + p(e^{-ij\pi/n})}{2} \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$c_n(j, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1 - \cos(n\theta)}{1 - \cos(\theta)}, & j = 0, \\ \frac{(-1)^j \cos(j\pi) - \cos(n\theta)}{n \cos(j\pi/n) - \cos(\theta)}, & 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \frac{1}{2n} \frac{-1 + (-1)^n \cos(n\theta)}{1 + \cos(\theta)}, & j = n. \end{cases}$$

This formula has been derived in previous work [2–4] and it has also been shown that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |c_n(j, \theta)| \leq n, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \tag{1.8}$$

The limiting case $\theta \rightarrow 0$, when applied to $p(e^{i\varphi}z)$, leads to

$$e^{i\varphi} p'(e^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_n(j, 0) \frac{p(e^{i(\varphi+j\pi/n)}) + p(e^{i(\varphi-j\pi/n)})}{2}, \tag{1.9}$$

and to

$$e^{i\varphi} p'(e^{i\varphi}) - \frac{n}{2} p'(e^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^n c_n(j, 0) \frac{p(e^{i(\varphi+j\pi/n)}) + p(e^{i(\varphi-j\pi/n)})}{2}. \tag{1.10}$$

Formula (1.10) has various consequences, some of which are (even improvements of) classical results: for example if $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $p_\varphi(z) = p(e^{i\varphi}z)$, we obtain

$$\left| e^{i\varphi} p'(e^{i\varphi}) - \frac{n}{2} p'(e^{i\varphi}) \right| \leq \frac{n}{2} |p_\varphi|_n, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

which is a refinement of the classical Bernstein inequality for polynomials in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$.

It can also be shown that (1.10) contains the Marcel Riesz interpolation formula for trigonometric polynomials: if $t(\varphi)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of degree n then $p(e^{i\varphi}) := e^{in\varphi} t(\varphi)$ is in \mathcal{P}_{2n} . Formula (1.10), with n replaced by $2n$, and applied to this polynomial p turns out to be nothing but the Marcel Riesz formula.

The famous inequality of Duffin and Schaeffer for the first derivative of polynomials in the interval $[-1, 1]$ also follows from (1.9); see [4]. For matters concerning polynomial inequalities and interpolation formulae, we refer the reader to the book of Rahman and Schmeisser [5].

The norm $|p|_n$ (with $\{\theta_j\} = \{j\pi/n\}_{j=0}^n$) naturally should be compared to other norms on \mathcal{P}_n , for example to $|p|_{\mathbb{D}} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |p(z)|$ or else $\|p\|_n := \max_{0 \leq j \leq 2n-1} |p(e^{ij\pi/n})|$. It is a consequence of a very beautiful theorem of Rakhmanov and Shekhtman [6] (see also [7] for related results) that for some absolute constant K (not depending on n)

$$\|p\|_n \leq |p|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq K \|p\|_n, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

This has recently been extended by Sheil-Small [8] and Dubinin [9]. It is also known [10] that

$$|p'|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq n \|p\|_n, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

We shall prove the following three results.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a universal constant $M < \infty$ (independent of n) such that for any polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ we have*

$$|p|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq M \log n \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left| \frac{p(e^{ij\pi/n}) + p(e^{-ij\pi/n})}{2} \right|.$$

Again using the case where $\{\theta_j\} = \{j\pi/n\}_{j=0}^n$, we obtain inequalities for coefficient functionals.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $p(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(p)z^k$, we have*

$$|a_0(p)| \leq |p|_n, \quad |a_n(p)| \leq |p|_n$$

and

$$|a_1(p)| \leq |p|_n \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right), & n \text{ even} \\ \frac{2}{n} \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right), & n \text{ odd } (\geq 3). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for a fixed integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |p|_n=1}} |a_k(p)| = \frac{4}{\pi}.$$

Our final result is dealing with the coefficients $c_n(j, \theta)$ in the formula (1.7). We have seen that for the system $\{\theta_j\} = \{j \pi / n\}_{j=0}^n$ the relation

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |c_n(j, \theta)| \leq n, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \tag{1.11}$$

holds. This is obviously also important for possible variants of the Bernstein inequality. We are interested to which extent (1.11) holds for other node systems $\{\theta_j\}$ as well. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let n be odd and for some set of nodes $\{\theta_j\}_{j=0}^n$ assume*

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n |c_n(j, 0)|, \sum_{j=0}^n |c_n(j, \pi)| \right\} \leq n. \tag{1.12}$$

Then $\{\theta_j\}_{j=0}^n = \{j \pi / n\}_{j=0}^n$ and (1.11) holds.

We have numerical evidence that this result is not true for n even. There is also evidence that one cannot replace the condition (1.12) by the weaker one

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |c_n(j, 0)| \leq n$$

to guarantee the validity of the conclusion of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.3 is reminiscent of a result of Duffin and Schaeffer [11] (see also [5, pp. 574–576] for a detailed proof).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall use the notation

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j + \frac{\alpha_n}{2}.$$

Then, it has been established in [4] that (compare with (1.3))

$$p(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \lambda_j(\theta) \left(\frac{p(e^{ij\pi/n}) + p(e^{-ij\pi/n})}{2} \right)$$

where for $0 \leq j \leq n$

$$\lambda_j(\theta) = \frac{i(-1)^j \sin(\theta)(-e^{in\theta} + (-1)^j)}{n(\cos(j\pi/n) - \cos(\theta))}$$

and using (1.5), (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, \theta) &:= \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |p(e^{i\theta})| = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j |\lambda_j(\theta)| \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n\theta/2 + j\pi/2)|}{|\cos(\theta) - \cos(j\pi/n)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions λ_j are 2π -periodic and fulfil

$$|\lambda_j(-\theta)| = |\lambda_j(\pi - \theta)| = |\lambda_{n-j}(\theta)|, \quad j = 0, \dots, n,$$

we find

$$M(n, \theta + 2\pi) = M(n, -\theta) = M(n, \pi - \theta) = M(n, \theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

so that we can restrict our attention to the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$. We look for upper bounds for the terms in the last sum. When $j = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n\theta/2)|}{|1 - \cos\theta|} = \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{\sin(n\theta/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{\sin(n\theta/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right| \leq 1.$$

When $j = n$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n\theta/2 + n\pi/2)|}{|1 - \cos\theta|} = \frac{1}{2n} \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n\theta/2 + \pi/2)|}{|\cos^2(\theta/2)|} \leq \frac{1}{2n \cos^2(\theta/2)} \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, the terms are

$$\frac{2}{n} \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n\theta/2 - j\pi/2)|}{|\cos(\theta) - \cos(j\pi/n)|} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{|\sin(\theta)| |\sin(n(\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|}{|\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)| |\sin((\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)} \right| &= \frac{|\sin(\theta/2)|}{|\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)|} \frac{|\sin(\theta)|}{|\sin(\theta/2)|} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{|\sin(\theta/2)|}{|\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)|} \\ &= 2 \frac{\sin(\theta/2)}{\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

because $\pi/(2n) < j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2 < \pi$ if $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

Remark further that

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{2 \sin(\theta/2)}{\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)} = \frac{\sin(j\pi/n)}{\sin^2(j\pi/n + \theta/2)} \geq 0$$

and therefore by (2.1) and $\pi/4 < j\pi/2n + \pi/4 < 3\pi/4$

$$\left| \frac{2 \sin(\theta/2)}{\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \theta/2)} \right| \leq \frac{2 \sin(\pi/4)}{\sin(j\pi/(2n) + \pi/4)} \leq 2.$$

This leads to

$$M(n, \theta) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{\sin(n(\theta - j\pi/n)/2)}{\sin((\theta - j\pi/n)/2)} \right|.$$

We now write $\theta = s\pi/n + \varepsilon\pi/n$ where s is an integer, $0 \leq s < n - 1$ and $-1/2 \leq \varepsilon < 1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, \theta) &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \frac{|\sin(n(\theta - s\pi/n)/2)|}{|\sin((\theta - s\pi/n)/2)|} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{|\sin(n(\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|}{|\sin((\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|} \\ &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{|\sin(n(\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|}{|\sin((\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|} \end{aligned}$$

and given our representation we also have for any $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$,

$$\left| \frac{\theta - j\pi/n}{2} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{so that} \quad \frac{|\sin(\theta/2 - j\pi/(2n))|}{|\theta/2 - j\pi/(2n)|} \geq \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M(n, \theta) &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|\sin((\theta - j\pi/n)/2)|} \\ &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|\theta - j\pi/n|} \\ &= 3 + \frac{1}{n} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|s - j + \varepsilon|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + 2 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|s-j| - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + 8 \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2j-1} \\ &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + 8 \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{j} \\ &\leq 3 + \frac{1}{n} + 8\gamma + 8 \log(2n+1), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is the Euler constant. \square

The order of growth $O(\log n)$ is sharp since, for odd n , the Riemann sums $M(n, \pi/2)$ satisfy

$$M\left(n, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \log(n) + O(1).$$

Remark. Applying the classical Bernstein inequality to [Theorem 1.1](#) yields

$$|p'|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq Mn \log(n) |p|_n, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_n,$$

with the same constant M as in [Theorem 1.1](#).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and related remarks

In this section we always assume $\{\theta_j\} = \{j\pi/n\}_{j=0}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In this case we have (see (1.2))

$$W(z) = -\frac{(1-z^2)T'_n(z)}{n2^{n-1}}$$

and a simple computation together with (1.4) gives

$$a_k = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \cos\left(\frac{kj\pi}{n}\right) \frac{p(e^{ij\pi/n}) + p(e^{-ij\pi/n})}{2}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \cos\left(\frac{kj\pi}{n}\right) \frac{p(e^{ij\pi/n}) + p(e^{-ij\pi/n})}{2}, & k = 0, n \end{cases}$$

for any polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{P}_n$. As explained in the introduction we obtain

$$\max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_0| = \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_n| = 1$$

with the maximum attained respectively only if $p(z) \equiv a_0$ or $p(z) \equiv a_n z^n$. Similarly, for $n > 1$, elementary computations lead to

$$\max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_1| = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2}{n} \csc\left(\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and there will be essentially only one extremal polynomial when n is odd but many more when n is even. For $k \geq 1$ fixed, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_k| = 2 \int_0^1 |\cos(k\pi x)| dx = \frac{4}{\pi}. \quad \square$$

We end this section by looking at a particular extremal problem and set for $n \geq 1$,

$$m_n = \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_{\mathbb{D}}=1} |a_0 + a_1| = \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_{\mathbb{D}}=1} (|a_0| + |a_1|).$$

Not much seems to be known about the sharp size of m_n . Rahman [10] has shown that

$$m_n \leq \frac{2}{n+1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

but the equality cannot hold for infinitely many values of n since by a simple application of the Schwarz lemma we have

$$\sup_f |a_0 + a_1| = \frac{5}{4} < \frac{4}{\pi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n+1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)$$

where the sup is taken over all functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, holomorphic in \mathbb{D} with $|f|_{\mathbb{D}} = 1$. It is also known [12] that

$$m_n = \inf_Q \left| \sum \operatorname{res} \frac{1}{Q} \right|$$

where the inf is taken over all polynomials $Q(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - e^{i\varphi_j} z)$ with φ_j real and $|Q'(0)| = 1$ and the sum is taken over all residues of each such $1/Q$. The choice $Q(z) = (1 - z^{n+1})/(1 - z)$ leads again to (3.1).

The more recent remark [13] is that for any $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k \in \mathcal{P}_n$

$$|a_0| + |a_1| \leq \max_{|z|=2 \cos(\pi/n+2)} |p(z)|.$$

Unfortunately, none of the above results seems to yield an explicit sharp estimate concerning m_n for large values of n . The approach in this paper yields an interesting alternative:

$$\mu_n := \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_0 + a_1| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \left| 1 + 2 \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right) \right|$$

with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \int_0^1 |1 + 2 \cos(\pi x)| dx + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi} = 1.4359 \dots$$

Remark however that $5/4 < 4/\pi < 1/3 + 2\sqrt{3}/\pi$. It is also not clear whether or not

$$\max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} (|a_0| + |a_1|) = \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, |p|_n=1} |a_0 + a_1|.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Suppose we are given a set of angles $\{\theta_j\}$ with n odd and with

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n |c_n(k, 0)|, \sum_{k=0}^n |c_n(k, \pi)| \right\} \leq n. \tag{4.1}$$

We shall prove that $\{\theta_j\} = \{j\pi/n\}_{j=0}^n$. Let first $p(z) \equiv z^n$ in (1.6) with $\theta = 0$. We then have

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_n(k, 0) \cos(n\theta_k) = (-1)^{n-1} n \sum_{k=0}^n c_n(k, \pi) \cos(n\theta_k)$$

and by (4.1) for both, $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n c_n(k, \theta) \cos(n\theta_k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |c_n(k, \theta)| |\cos(n\theta_k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n |c_n(k, \theta)| \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the above equality holds everywhere and in particular for each $0 \leq k \leq n$

$$|c_n(k, 0)| + |c_n(k, \pi)| > 0 \implies \cos(n\theta_k) = \pm 1 \implies \theta_k = \frac{\ell_k \pi}{n}, \tag{4.2}$$

where ℓ_k is an integer, $0 \leq \ell_k \leq n$. We now write the identity (1.6) as

$$\frac{p(e^{i\theta}) - p(e^{-i\theta})}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = \sum_{k \in S} c_n(k, \theta) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_k}) + p(e^{-i\theta_k})}{2} + \sum_{k \in T} c_n(k, \theta) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_k}) - p(e^{-i\theta_k})}{2} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, where

$$T = \left\{ k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \theta_k \neq \frac{j\pi}{n} \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

and

$$S = \left\{ k \mid 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \theta_k = \frac{\ell_k \pi}{n} \text{ for some } \ell_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \right\}.$$

By (4.2), $c_n(k, 0) = c_n(k, \pi) = 0$ for each $k \in T$. We shall now assume that T is non-empty, i.e., there exists \tilde{k} with $0 \leq \tilde{k} \leq n$ such that $\theta_{\tilde{k}}$ is not an integer multiple of π/n . Then the cardinality of S is at most n and there exists v , $0 \leq v \leq n$ such that $\theta_k \neq v\pi/n$ for all $k \in S$.

Next we define

$$P(z) := \frac{(1 - z^2)T'_n(z)}{z - \cos(v\pi/n)} := \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j,v} T_j(z) \in \mathcal{P}_n$$

and the associated $p(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{j,v} z^j$. As before we have

$$P(\cos \theta) = \frac{p(e^{i\theta}) + p(e^{-i\theta})}{2}$$

and in particular for all $k \in S$, $p(e^{i\theta_k}) + p(e^{-i\theta_k})/2 = 0$. It follows from (4.3) that

$$\frac{p(e^{i\theta}) - p(e^{-i\theta})}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = \sum_{k \in T} c_k(\theta) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_k}) + p(e^{-i\theta_k})}{2}$$

and, taking the limits $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta \rightarrow \pi$,

$$p'(1) = \sum_{k \in T} c_n(k, 0) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_k}) + p(e^{-i\theta_k})}{2} = 0,$$

and

$$p'(-1) = \sum_{k \in T} c_n(k, \pi) \frac{p(e^{i\theta_k}) + p(e^{-i\theta_k})}{2} = 0.$$

What remains is to calculate the values $p'(1)$ and $p'(-1)$ explicitly. We have

$$P(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sin^2(\theta)T'_n(\cos \theta)}{\cos(\theta) - \cos(v\pi/n)} = \frac{n \sin(\theta) \sin(n\theta)}{\cos(\theta) - \cos(v\pi/n)}$$

and using $z = e^{i\theta}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(\cos \theta) &= -\frac{n}{2z^n} \frac{(1 - z^2)(1 - z^{2n})}{(1 - ze^{iv\pi/n})(1 - ze^{-iv\pi/n})} \\ &= 2n(-1)^{v+1} \sum_{k=0}^n {}'' \cos\left(k \frac{v\pi}{n}\right) \cos(k\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

hence

$$p(z) = 2n(-1)^{v+1} \sum_{k=0}^n {}'' \cos\left(k \frac{v\pi}{n}\right) z^k.$$

This implies

$$p'(1) = \begin{cases} -n^3, & v = 0, \\ \frac{n((-1)^{v+1} + 1)}{\cos(\pi v/n) - 1}, & 0 < v \leq n, \end{cases}$$

which can be 0 only if v is an even number, and

$$p'(-1) = \begin{cases} \frac{n((-1)^n - (-1)^v)}{\cos(\pi v/n) + 1}, & 0 \leq v < n, \\ (-1)^n n^3, & v = n, \end{cases}$$

which, under the assumption v even, can be zero only if n is even as well. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

R. Fournier acknowledges support from FQRNT (Quebec). S. Ruscheweyh and L. Salinas acknowledge support from FONDECYT, Grant 1100805, from Basal Project CCTVal—Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso, and from Anillo Project ACT 119.

References

- [1] T.J. Rivlin, *Chebyshev Polynomials*, Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [2] D. Dryanov, R. Fournier, A note on Bernstein and Markov type inequalities, *J. Approx. Theory* 136 (2005) 84–90.
- [3] D. Dryanov, R. Fournier, Equality cases for two polynomial inequalities, *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.* 99 (2009) 169–181.
- [4] D. Dryanov, R. Fournier, S. Ruscheweyh, Some extensions of the Markov inequality for polynomials, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 37 (2007) 1155–1165.
- [5] Q.I. Rahman, G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [6] E. Rakhmanov, Boris Shekhtman, On discrete norms of polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* 139 (2006) 2–7.
- [7] E. Rakhmanov, Bounds for polynomials with a unit discrete norm, *Ann. of Math.* 165 (2007) 85–88.
- [8] T. Sheil-Small, An inequality for the modulus of a polynomial at the roots of unity, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 40 (2008) 956–964.
- [9] V.N. Dubinin, Lower bound for the discrete norm of a polynomial on the circle, *Math. Notes* 90 (2011) 284–287.
- [10] Q.I. Rahman, Inequalities concerning polynomials and trigonometric polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 6 (1963) 303–324.
- [11] R. Duffin, A.C. Schaeffer, A refinement of an inequality of the brothers Markov, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50 (1941) 517–528.
- [12] L. Brickman, S. Ruscheweyh, Bound-preserving operator for $H(\mathbb{D})$ and an application to polynomials, *Notas Soc. Mat. Chile* 3 (1984) 29–47.
- [13] D. Dryanov, R. Fournier, Bound preserving operators over classes of polynomials, *East J. Approx.* 8 (2002) 327–353.