

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277017312>

Fixed set theorems with application to the fixed point theory

Research · May 2015

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3345.8086

READS

62

3 authors, including:



M. Fakhar

University of Isfahan

31 PUBLICATIONS 297 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Jafar Zafarani

Sheikhbahaee University and Uiniversity of I...

63 PUBLICATIONS 418 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Fixed set theorems with application to the fixed point theory

M. Fakhar

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan,
Isfahan, 81745-163, Iran
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P. O. Box : 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran
e-mail: fakhar@math.ui.ac.ir

Z. Soltani

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan,
Isfahan, 81745-163, Iran
e-mail: z.soltani@sci.ui.ac.ir

J. Zafarani

Department of Mathematics Sheikhabaee University
and University of Isfahan,
Isfahan, Iran
e-mail: jzaf@zafarani.ir

Abstract. Here, we show that continuous set-valued maps which are generalized set contraction on noncompact topological spaces have a maximal invariant (fixed) set. As an application, we prove the existence and uniqueness of endpoints for topological contraction mappings. Also, we present fractal set results for system of continuous set-valued maps on regular topological spaces. As application of our result, we show how some fixed point theorems can be established from these results.

Keywords: Fixed set; Endpoint; Topological contraction; Measure of noncompactness; Generalized μ -set contraction; Fractal set; Fixed point.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H04, 47H08, 47H09, 47H10, 37B25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a nonempty set and $T : X \multimap X$ be a set-valued map with nonempty values. A subset A of X is said to be a fixed set (or fractal or module set or invariant set) of T , if $T(A) = A$. Fixed set theory has significant applications in iterated functions systems, fractals, dynamical systems, game theory and fixed point theory; for more details see [5, 6, 11, 14, 16, 23, 24].

Most of the results of fixed sets are established by the well known order-theoretic fixed point theorems and metric fixed point theory. In this work, we first obtain a maximal compact fixed set for a continuous set-valued mapping in noncompact regular topological spaces. As applications of this result we establish a topological multivalued fractal, in the sense of Andres and Fišer [5] and some fixed point theorems.

Let us introduce some definitions and facts which will be used in the sequel. Let X and Y be topological spaces, a set-valued map $T : X \multimap Y$ is said to be:

- (i) upper semicontinuous, if for each closed set $B \subseteq Y$, $T^-(B) = \{x \in X : T(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed in X or equivalently for each open set $U \subseteq Y$, $T^+(U) = \{x \in X : T(x) \subseteq U\}$ is open in X ,
- (ii) lower semicontinuous if for each open set $V \subseteq Y$, $T^-(V) = \{x \in X : T(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X .
- (iii) continuous if it is both upper and lower semicontinuous.

Let X be a topological space and (\mathcal{C}, τ) be a topological lattice with minimal element which is denoted by 0. Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a collection of nonempty subsets of X such that $\bar{A}, A \cup B \in \mathcal{B}$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$. A measure of noncompactness on X with respect to \mathcal{B} is simply any functional $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that:

- (i) $\mu(\bar{A}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$;
- (ii) $\mu(A) = 0$ if and only if A is relatively compact;
- (iii) $\mu(A \cup B) = \max\{\mu(A), \mu(B)\}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$.

It follows immediately that if $A \subseteq B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$. A sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of nonempty subsets in \mathcal{B} is called μ -*descending*, if A_n is closed, $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$. We say that μ has the Kuratowski property, if the intersection $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is nonempty and compact for any μ -descending sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{B} .

In the special case, the Kuratowski [resp. Hausdorff] measure of noncompactness on the complete metric spaces has the Kuratowski property [1]. For some other examples of measure of noncompactness with the Kuratowski property one can refer to [1, 3, 12, 21].

Definition 1.1. Let X be a topological space and (\mathcal{C}, τ) be a topological lattice with minimal element 0. Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a collection of nonempty subsets of X such that $\bar{A}, A \cup B \in \mathcal{B}$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a measure of noncompactness, then a set-valued map $T : X \multimap X$ is said to be a generalized μ -set contraction with respect to (w.r.t) \mathcal{B} , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T^n(A)) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 1.2. Let (M, d) be a bounded metric space and γ be the Kuratowski or the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. Assume that $T : M \multimap M$ is a set-valued map with nonempty values. We say that T is a condensing map if for every $A \subseteq M$ with $\gamma(A) > 0$,

$$\gamma(T(A)) < \gamma(A).$$

If T is upper semicontinuous and compact valued, then by a similar proof as that of Lemma 1.6.11 in [1], we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(T^n(M)) = 0.$$

Therefore, T is a generalized γ -set contraction w.r.t 2^M .

Example 2.4 in [3] shows the existence of a set-valued map which is generalized γ -set contraction w.r.t 2^M but it's not condensing map.

2. FIXED SET THEOREMS

In this section, we present fixed set result for continuous set-valued maps on noncompact topological spaces. As application, we obtain an existence result of endpoint for the class of topological contraction set-valued mappings on regular

topological spaces. Also, we present fractal set results for system of continuous set-valued maps on regular topological spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a regular topological space, (\mathcal{C}, τ) be a topological lattice with minimal element 0 and $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a measure of noncompactness on X with the Kuratowski property. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous set-valued map with closed values. If T is a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X , then T has maximal nonempty compact fixed set.*

Proof. Let $X_n := \overline{T^n(X)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X , then $\mu(X_n) = \mu(T^n(X)) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $K := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$ is nonempty and compact. By lower semicontinuity of T , we get $T(\overline{T^n(X)}) \subseteq \overline{T(T^n(X))}$. Thus, $T(K) \subseteq K$. On the other hand by Theorem 17.10 of [2], $T(K)$ is closed. Therefore, $T(K)$ is compact. If $T(K) \neq K$, then there is an open neighborhood U of $T(K)$ such that $K \not\subseteq U$. Since X is regular and $T(K)$ is compact, then there is an open neighborhood U_1 of $T(K)$ such that $T(K) \subset U_1 \subset \overline{U_1} \subset U$. If $V = T^+(U_1)$, then $K \subseteq V$ and V is open (note that T is upper semicontinuous). We show that there is an integer $N_V = N$ such that $X_N \subset V$. Assume on the contrary that $X_n \cap V^c \neq \emptyset$, for every n . The sequence $(X_n \cap V^c)$ is decreasing and $\mu(X_n \cap V^c) \rightarrow 0$. So by the Kuratowski property of μ , $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n \cap V^c = K \cap V^c \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

If $n \geq N$, then $T(T^n(X)) \subset T(\overline{T^n(X)}) \subset T(V) \subset U_1$. Hence, $K \subset \overline{T^{n+1}(X)} \subset \overline{U_1} \subset U$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $T(K) = K$.

To show that K is maximal fixed set, observe that if A is a compact set and $T(A) = A$, then $\overline{T^n(A)} = A$ so that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{T^n(A)} = A$. Since $\overline{T^n(A)} \subset \overline{T^n(X)}$, so $A \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{T^n(X)} = K$. \square

The hypothesis that T is a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X can not be relaxed as the following example shows:

Example 2.2. Let $X = c_0$ be the null sequences space equipped with its canonical norm

$$\|(x_n)\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \forall (x_n) \in c_0.$$

Let B be the closed unit ball of c_0 and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined as $T(x) = B$. It's clear that T is continuous but it's not generalized γ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X , where γ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness. Here, B is a fixed set of T which is not compact.

A set-valued map T on a topological space X is said to be a topological contraction if for any compact subset A of X with $T(A) = A$ we have that A is a singleton; see [28]. An element $x \in X$ is called an endpoint of T , if $T(x) = \{x\}$. In [4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35] some results for the existence of endpoints in complete metric spaces and complete uniform spaces are presented. As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a regular topological space, (\mathcal{C}, τ) be a topological lattice with minimal element 0 and $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a measure of noncompactness on X with the Kuratowski property. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous set-valued map with closed values. If T is a topological contraction and a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X , then T has a unique endpoint x_0 and $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(X)$.*

Proof. By the Theorem 2.1, there exists nonempty compact fixed set K i.e $T(K) = K$. Since T is a topological contraction, then K is singleton that is there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $T(x_0) = \{x_0\} = K$ and so $\{x_0\} := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(X)$. \square

Now, we are going to obtain fractal set on regular topological space. In order to obtain our result, we need some more definitions.

Let X be a topological space and suppose that $\{T_i : X \multimap X, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a system of set-valued maps. We consider the Hutchinson-Barnsley map $F : X \multimap X$ generated by T_i as follows:

$$F(x) := \bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i(x) \quad x \in X$$

and the induced Hutchinson-Barnsley operator or the fractal operator $F^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the power set of X

$$F^*(A) := \overline{F(A)} = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n T_i(A)} \quad A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$$

Fixed point of Hutchinson-Barnsley operator is called fractal set. Let X be a topological space and $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a measure of noncompactness on X and $T : X \multimap X$ be a set-valued map. We say that $T : X \multimap X$ has the property (M-K) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \mu(A) < \varepsilon + \delta$ implies that $\mu(T(A)) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a regular topological space and $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a measure of noncompactness on X with the Kuratowski property. Suppose that $\{T_i : X \multimap X, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a system of continuous set-valued maps with closed values such that $\mu(T_i(X)) < \infty$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. If T_i has the property (M-K) for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a maximal, nonempty, compact, invariant set $A^* \subset X$ w.r.t. the Hutchinson-Barnsley map F , i.e. a maximal topological multivalued fractal.*

Proof. Since T_i has the property (M-K) and $\mu(T_i(X)) < \infty$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, then it is obvious that F has the property (M-K) and $\mu(F(X)) < \infty$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5 of [3] F is generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X . Also F is continuous with closed values. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, the Hutchinson-Barnsley map F , has a maximal, nonempty, compact, fixed set $A^* \subset X$. \square

3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS

It is clear that if f is a single-valued self map on a space X , then every endpoint of f is a fixed point for f . Inspired by this fact and using the results of the previous section, we present a new proof of Kirk's asymptotic fixed point theorem. Moreover, a new fixed point theorem in regular topological spaces is given. The following result is similar to Corollary 2.3 under a weaker condition.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a regular topological space, (\mathcal{C}, τ) be a topological lattice with minimal element 0 and $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a measure of noncompactness on X with the Kuratowski property. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X . If there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f^p is continuous and topological contraction, then f has a unique fixed point x_0 and $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(X)$.*

Proof. Since f is a generalized μ -set contraction w.r.t 2^X , then $\mu(f^n(X)) \rightarrow 0$. Let $X_n := \overline{f^{pn}(X)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu(X_n) \leq$

$\mu(f^{pn}(X)) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $K := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$ is nonempty and compact. But continuity of f^p implies that

$$f^p(\overline{f^{pn}(X)}) \subseteq \overline{f^p(f^{pn}(X))}.$$

Thus, $f^p(K) \subseteq K$. If $f^p(K) \neq K$, there is an open neighborhood U of $f^p(K)$ such that $K \not\subseteq U$. Since X is regular and $f^p(K)$ is compact, then there is an open neighborhood U_1 of $f^p(K)$ such that $f^p(K) \subset U_1 \subset \overline{U_1} \subset U$. If $V := (f^p)^{-1}(U_1)$, then V is an open neighborhood of K and $f^p(V) \subset U_1$. Therefore, there is an integer $N(V)$ such that $X_{pn} \subset V$ for all $n \geq N(V)$. On the other hand $f^p(f^{pn}(X)) \subset f^p(\overline{f^{pn}(X)}) \subset \overline{f^p(f^{pn}(X))} \subset f^p(V) \subset U_1$ for any $n \geq N(V)$. Then $K \subset \overline{f^{pn+p}(X)} \subset \overline{U_1} \subset U$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $f^p(K) = K$. Since f^p is a topological contraction, then K is singleton. Therefore, there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f^p(x_0) = \{x_0\}$ and $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$. Hence,

$$f^p(\{x_0\} \cup f(x_0)) = f^p(x_0) \cup f^{p+1}(x_0) = \{x_0\} \cup f(x_0).$$

But f^p is a topological contraction, then $\{x_0\} \cup f(x_0)$ is singleton and so $f(x_0) = \{x_0\}$. Now, we show that f has precisely one fixed point. Suppose that $z \in X$ is another fixed point of f . Therefore, $z \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(X) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{f^n(X)} = K$ and so $z = x_0$. Hence, f has a unique fixed point x_0 and $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(X)$. \square

Remark 3.2. The above result was obtained by Pathak and Shahzad [25] in an arbitrary complete metric space in the case where f is continuous, closed and the diameter $\delta(f^n(M)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the condition $\delta(f^n(M)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that f is a generalized γ -set contraction, where γ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on M , and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f^n is topological contraction, then by Theorem 3.1, f has a unique fixed point. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 extends Theorem 3.1 of [25]. In order to justify our claim, we need the following result.

Theorem 3.3. [27] (*Basic Matrix Theorem*) Let X be a topological vector space and $x_{ij} \in X$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose

- (I) $\lim_i x_{ij} = x_j$ exists for each j and
- (II) for each increasing sequence of positive integers (m_j) , there is a subsequence (n_j) of (m_j) such that $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{in_j})_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy.

Then $\lim_i x_{ij} = x_j$ uniformly for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular,

$$\lim_i \lim_j x_{ij} = \lim_j \lim_i x_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_i x_{ii} = 0.$$

A matrix which satisfies condition (I) and (II) is called a \mathcal{K} -matrix.

Example 3.4. Let $B = \{x \in \ell^2 : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ and $f : B \rightarrow B$ be defined as $f(x) = (x_3, 0, x_5, 0, \dots)$. Let μ be a weakly measure of noncompactness. Since B is weakly compact, then $\lim_n \mu(f^n(B)) = 0$. Therefore, f is a generalized μ -set contraction. Now, we show that f is weakly topological contraction. Suppose that A is weakly compact and $f^n(A) = A$. We have

$$f^n(A) = \{(x_{2n+1}, 0, x_{2n+3}, 0, \dots); (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in A\}$$

and $\lim_n f^n(A) = A = \{\lim_n y_n, y_n \in f^n(A)\}$.

For y_1 , there exists $x_1 = (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots)$ such that $y_1 = (x_{13}, 0, x_{15}, 0, \dots)$ and for y_2 , there exists $x_2 = (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots)$ such that $y_2 = (x_{25}, 0, x_{27}, 0, \dots)$. By continuing this process, for every y_n there exists $x_n = (x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots)$ such that $y_n = (x_{n2n+1}, 0, x_{n2n+3}, 0, \dots)$. The sequence (x_n) belongs to A and A is weakly

compact, therefore there exists subsequence (x_{nk}) (we denote it again by (x_n)) such that weakly converges to x_0 . Since f is weakly continuous, then $f(x_n)$ is weakly convergent to $f(x_0)$. Now, we consider following matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} & 0 & x_{15} & 0 & \dots \\ x_{23} & 0 & x_{25} & 0 & \dots \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ x_{n3} & 0 & x_{n5} & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the matrix C is a \mathcal{K} -matrix, therefore, by Theorem 3.3, the sequence (x_{nj}) is uniformly convergent to x_{0j} for $j = 3, 5, \dots$ and $\lim_n \lim_j x_{nj} = \lim_j \lim_n x_{nj} = 0$. Therefore, every column of following matrix convergent to 0,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} x_{13} & 0 & x_{15} & 0 & \dots \\ x_{25} & 0 & x_{27} & 0 & \dots \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ x_{n2n+1} & 0 & x_{n2n+3} & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the sequence (y_n) converges to 0, so $A = \{(0, 0, \dots)\}$. Thus, f is a weakly topological contraction.

On the other hand, if $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the standard base of ℓ^2 , $x = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $y = e_{2n+1}$, then

$$\|f^n(x) - f^n(y)\| = 1.$$

Therefore, $\delta(f^n(B)) \not\rightarrow 0$.

Remark 3.5. In many metrically fixed point theorems, one can show that $\delta(f^n(M)) \rightarrow 0$, where (M, d) is a bounded complete metric space and f is selfmap on M with a contraction property; for example see [10, 22]. Since these mappings are topological contractions and generalized γ -set-contractions w.r.t 2^M , where γ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on M , then the above example also shows that Theorem 3.1 extends and improves these results.

In 2003, Kirk [17] introduced the notion of asymptotic contraction on a metric space and proved a fixed-point theorem for such contractions.

Definition 3.6. [17] Let (M, d) be a metric space and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Then a mapping f on M is said to be an asymptotic φ -contraction if there exist a sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \varphi_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$(1) \quad d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \varphi_n(d(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on \mathbb{R}_+ .

Theorem 3.7. [17] Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a continuous asymptotic φ -contraction for which all functions φ_n in (1) are continuous and $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Assume also that some orbit $(f^n(x_0))_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Then f has a contractive fixed point.

Jachymski and Jóźwik [15] proved a similar result to Theorem 3.7, by assuming φ is upper semicontinuous with $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) = \infty$, and f

is uniformly continuous. Now, we show that fixed points of asymptotic contractions can be obtained from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (M, d) be a complete metric space, $f : M \rightarrow M$ and there exists n_0 such that $f^{n_0}(M)$ is bounded.*

Suppose for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, f^p is continuous and asymptotic φ -contraction such that φ is upper semicontinuous and $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point x_0 and $(f^n(x))$ converges to x_0 , for each $x \in M$.

Proof. Since $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on \mathbb{R}_+ , then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists N_k such that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_n(t) \leq \varphi(t) + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall n \geq N_k, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

If $A \in B(M)$, where $B(M)$ is the set of all nonempty bounded subsets of M , then by (1) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f^{pn}(A)) &\leq \sup_{x,y \in A} \varphi_n(d(x,y)) \leq \sup_{x,y \in A} \varphi(d(x,y)) + \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq \delta(A) + \frac{1}{2} < \infty; \quad \forall n \geq N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f^{pn}(A) \in B(M)$ for sufficiently large n . Now, we prove that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(f^{pn}(A)) = 0 \quad \forall A \in B(M).$$

Assume that (3) does not hold. Thus, without loss of generality, we have

$$(4) \quad \exists A_0 \in B(M) \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0 \exists (n_k) : n_k \geq N_k \forall k, \quad \lim_k \delta(f^{pn_k}(A_0)) = \varepsilon_0.$$

Now, we show that

$$(5) \quad \exists k_0, \quad \sup\{\varphi(d(x,y)), x, y \in f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0)\} < \varepsilon_0.$$

Indeed, otherwise

$$(6) \quad \forall k, \quad \sup\{\varphi(d(x,y)), x, y \in f^{pn_k}(A_0)\} \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Since φ is upper semicontinuous, by Lemma 1 in [18], there exists a continuous and strictly increasing function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$(7) \quad \varphi(t) \leq \psi(t) < t \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Therefore, by (4), (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 &\leq \limsup_k \{\varphi(d(x,y)), x, y \in f^{pn_k}(A_0)\} \\ &\leq \limsup_k \{\psi(d(x,y)), x, y \in f^{pn_k}(A_0)\} \\ &\leq \lim_k \psi(\delta(f^{pn_k}(A_0))) \\ &= \psi(\lim_k \delta(f^{pn_k}(A_0))) = \psi(\varepsilon_0) < \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Thus, (5) holds. Now, by using (2), (3) and (5), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_0 &= \lim_k \delta(f^{pn_k}(A_0)) \\
&= \lim_k \delta(f^{p(n_k - n_{k_0})}(f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0))) = \lim_k \delta(f^{pn_k}(f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0))) \\
&\leq \lim_k \sup\{\varphi_{n_k}(d(x, y)), x, y \in f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0)\} \\
&\leq \lim_k (\sup\{\varphi(d(x, y)), x, y \in f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0)\} + \frac{1}{k}) \\
&= \sup\{\varphi(d(x, y)), x, y \in f^{pn_{k_0}}(A_0)\} < \varepsilon_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is also impossible. Thus, (3) holds. So f^p is a topological contraction and $\lim \gamma(f^n(A)) = 0$, where γ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on M . Since there exists n_0 such that $f^{n_0}(M)$ is bounded so f is a generalized γ -set contraction w.r.t 2^M . Hence, by Theorem 3.1, f has a unique fixed point x_0 and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(M) = \{x_0\}$. Furthermore, $d(f^n(x), x_0) \leq \delta(f^n(M))$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(f^n(M)) = 0$ so $(f^n(x))$ converges to x_0 , for any $x \in M$. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for comments and suggestions that improved the quality of this manuscript. The first author was partially supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Shahrekord and by a grant from IPM (No. 91550414).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. Akhmerov, M. I. Kamenskii, A. S. Potapov, A. E. Rodkina and B. N. Sadovskii, *Measures of Noncompactness and Condensing Operators*. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel. 1992.
- [2] C. D. Aliprantis and, K.C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*. Springer. 2007.
- [3] A. Amini-Harandi, M. Fakhari and J. Zafarani, *Fixed point theorems for generalized set-contraction maps and their applications*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 2891-2895.
- [4] A. Amini-Harandi, *Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 132-134.
- [5] J. Andres, J. Fišer, *Metric and topological multivalued fractals*. *Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos.* **14** (2004), 1277?-1289.
- [6] J. Andres, J. Fišer, G. Gabor and K. Leśniak, *Multivalued fractals*. *Chaos Solutions Fractals.* **25** (2005), 665-?700.
- [7] J. P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*. John Wiley, New York. 1984.
- [8] J. P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-Valued Analysis*. Birkhäuser, Boston. 1990.
- [9] J. P. Aubin and J. Siegel, *Fixed points and stationary points of dissipative multivalued maps*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 391-398.
- [10] D. W. Boyd and J.S.W. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 458?-464.
- [11] R. Brooks, K. Schmitt and B. Warner, *Fixed set theorems for discrete dynamics and nonlinear boundary-value problems*. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations.* **56** (2011), 1-15.
- [12] H. Conti, V. Obukhovskii, P. Zecca, *On the topological structure of the solution set for a semilinear functional-differential inclusion in a Banach space*. *Topology in Nonlinear Anal. Banach Center Publications, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences.* **35** (1996) 159-169.
- [13] M. Fakhari, *Endpoints of set-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces*. *Appl. Math. Let.* **24** (2011), 428-431.
- [14] J. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981) 713?-747.
- [15] J. Jachymski and I. Jóźwik, *On Kirk's asymptotic contractions*. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **300** (2004), 147-159.
- [16] J. Jachymski, L. L. Gajek, P. Pokarowski, *The Tarski-Kantorovitch principle and the theory of iterated function systems*. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **61** (2000), no. 2, 247?261.

- [17] W. A. Kirk, *Fixed points of asymptotic contractions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **277** (2003), 645–650.
- [18] T. C. Lim, *On characterizations of Meir-Keeler contractive maps*. Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 113–120.
- [19] A. Lasota and J. Myjak, *Attractors of multifunctions*. Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **48** (2000), 319–334.
- [20] K. Leśniak, *Extremal sets as fractals*. Nonlinear Anal. Forum. **7** (2002), 199–208.
- [21] K. Leśniak, *Note on the Kuratowski theorem for abstract measure of noncompactness*. (unpublished)(2003), <http://www-users.mat.umk.pl/much/WORKS/KURATOW.pdf>
- [22] A. Meir and E. Keeler, *A theorem on contraction mappings*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **28** (1969), 326–329.
- [23] E.A. Ok, *Fixed set theory for closed correspondences with applications to self-similarity and games*. Nonlinear Anal. **56** (2004), 309–330.
- [24] E.A. Ok, *Fixed set theorems of Krasnoselskiĭ type*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 511–518.
- [25] H. K. Pathak and N. Shahzad, *Fixed points for generalized contractions and applications to control theory*. Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 2181–2193.
- [26] I. A. Rus, *Strict fixed point theory*. Fixed Point Theory. **4** (2003) no 2, 177–183.
- [27] C. Swartz, *An Introduction to Functional Analysis*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [28] E. Tarafdar, X.-Z. Yuan, *Topological contractions*. Appl. Math. Lett. **8** (1995), 79–81.
- [29] E. Tarafdar, X.-Z. Yuan, *The set-valued dynamic system and its applications to Pareto optima*. Acta Appl. Math. **46** (1997), 93–106.
- [30] K. Włodarczyk, D. Klim and R. Plebaniak, *Endpoint theory for set-valued nonlinear asymptotic contractions with respect to generalized pseudodistances in uniform spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339** (2008), 344–358.
- [31] K. Włodarczyk, D. Klim and R. Plebaniak, *Existence and uniqueness of endpoints of closed set-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 46–57.
- [32] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak and C. Obczyński, *Endpoints of set-valued dynamical systems of asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type and strict contractions in uniform spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 1668–1679.
- [33] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, *Generalized contractions of Meir-Keeler type, endpoint, set-valued dynamical system and generalized pseudometrics in uniform spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 3445–3453.
- [34] K. Włodarczyk, R. Plebaniak and C. Obczyński, *Uniqueness of endpoints for set-valued dynamical systems of Meir-Keeler in uniform spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 3373–3383. 1668–1679.
- [35] G. X. Z. Yuan, *KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.