

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268888719>

# Matching and fixed point theorems in L-convex spaces

Article

---

READS

10

2 authors:



[M. Fakhar](#)

University of Isfahan

31 PUBLICATIONS 297 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Jafar Zafarani](#)

Sheikhbahaee University and Uiversity of I...

63 PUBLICATIONS 418 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

# MATCHING AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN L-CONVEX SPACES

M. FAKHAR AND J. ZAFARANI

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to obtain new Ky Fan type matching theorems and Fan-Browder type fixed point theorems for mappings with KKM property in L-convex spaces. Furthermore, application to these fixed point theorems for existence of solutions of generalized quasi-variational problem is given.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be nonempty sets, we shall denote by  $2^X$  the family of all subsets of  $X$ , by  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  family of all nonempty finite subsets of  $X$  and  $|A|$  the cardinality of  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Suppose that  $Y$  is a nonempty set and  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a multivalued mapping, fibers  $F^{-}(y)$  for  $y \in Y$  defined by  $F^{-}(y) = \{x \in X : y \in F(x)\}$ . For topological space  $X$  and  $Y$ , a multivalued mapping  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is said to be compact if the closure  $clF(X)$  of its range  $F(X)$  is compact in  $Y$ . A multivalued mapping  $F$  is said to be upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) if for each closed set  $B \subseteq Y$ , the set  $F^{-}(B) = \{x \in X : F(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed subset of  $X$ .

A nonempty topological space is acyclic if all of its reduce homology groups over rational vanishes.

The following class  $\mathbf{A}(X, Y)$  of approachable multivalued mappings was introduced by Ben-El-Mechaiekh *et al.* [2]. Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  be uniform topological spaces with bases  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  of symmetric entourages for the uniformities on  $X$  and  $Y$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , let

$$W = \{(x, y), (x', y')\} \in (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) : (x, x') \in U, (y, y') \in V\}.$$

Then the family  $\mathcal{W} = (W)_{U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}}$  is a base of symmetric entourages for the product uniformity, and the associated uniform topology on  $X \times Y$  is the product of the uniform topologies on  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping. For given element  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a  $W$ -approximative selection of  $F$  if and only if  $Gr(f) \subseteq W[Gr(F)]$ , where  $Gr(f)$  and  $Gr(F)$  denote the graphs of  $f$  and  $F$ , respectively. A multivalued mapping  $F$  is said to be approachable if  $F$  admits a continuous  $W$ -approximative selection for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . The class  $\mathbf{A}(X, Y)$  of multivalued mappings is defined by

$$\mathbf{A}(X, Y) := \{F : X \rightarrow 2^Y : F \text{ is approachable}\}.$$

The notation of L-convex space was introduced by Ben-El-Mechaiekh *et al.* [2]. An *L-convex space*  $(X, \Gamma)$  consist of a topological space  $X$  and a multivalued map  $\Gamma : \mathcal{F}(D) \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$  with the cardinality  $|A| = n + 1$ , there exists a continuous function  $\Phi_A : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  such that for each  $J \in \mathcal{F}(A)$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Matching theorem, Fixed point theorem, KKM maps.

The work was partially supported by the Inter-universities research project No. 31303378-37.

implies  $\Phi_A(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma(J)$ , for which if  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and  $J = \{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_j}\}$ , then  $\Delta_J = \text{co}\{e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_j}\}$ . Suppose that  $(X, \Gamma)$  is a  $L$ -convex space, then  $D \subseteq X$  is said to be  $L$ -convex if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ ,  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq D$ . The  $L$ -convex hull of  $D$  denoted by  $L\text{-co}D$ , is the set  $\bigcap\{B \subseteq X : B \text{ is an } L\text{-convex subset of } X \text{ containing } D\}$ . It is clear that each  $L$ -convex subset of  $L$ -convex space is also an  $L$ -convex space. A subset  $D \subseteq X$  is said to be finitely  $L$ -closed (resp.  $L$ -open) in  $X$  if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $D \cap \Gamma(A)$  is closed (resp. open) in  $\Gamma(A)$ .  $D$  is called to be compactly closed (resp. open) in  $X$  if for any compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ ,  $D \cap K$  is closed (resp. open) in  $K$ .

If  $\Gamma$  as the above definition, verifies the additional condition: For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq \Gamma(B)$ , then the pair  $(X, \Gamma)$  is what called by Park and Kim [13], a  $G$ -convex spaces. Recently, Park [14] has removed the above condition and considered the  $G$ -convex space  $(X, D; \Gamma)$ , where  $D$  need not be a subset of  $X$ . If  $D = X$ , then a  $G$ -convex space in [14] is an  $L$ -convex space.

If for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , there exists  $A_1 \subseteq A$  such that  $A_1 \subseteq B$  implies  $\Gamma(A_1) \subseteq \Gamma(B)$ , then the pair  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called by Verma [15] a generalized  $H$ -space. It is clear that, the notion of  $L$ -convex spaces includes the  $G$ -convex spaces of Park and Kim [13],  $G$ - $H$  spaces and  $H$ -spaces and therefore Hyperconvex spaces [7].

An  $L$ -convex space  $(X, \Gamma)$  is called a locally  $L$ -space, denoted by  $(X, \Gamma, \mathcal{U})$  if  $X$  is a uniform topological space with a uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}$  having a symmetric base such that for each  $L$ -convex subset  $C \subseteq X$  and each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U[C] = \{x \in X : U[x] \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$  is  $L$ -convex, where  $U[x] = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in U\}$ .

Knaster, Kuratowski and Mazurkiewicz [8] (simply KKM) first consider the closed valued multivalued mapping  $T : D \rightarrow 2^{\Delta_n}$ , where  $D$  is the set of vertices of an  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta_n$ , satisfying  $\text{co}(A) \subseteq T(A)$  for each  $A \subseteq D$ . The KKM principle implies that when  $T$  has closed (resp. open)-values, then  $\bigcap_{x \in D} T(x) \neq \emptyset$  [14]. More generally if  $(X, \Gamma)$  is a  $L$ -convex space and  $Y$  is a set, then a multivalued map  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is called a KKM map if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ,  $\Gamma(A) \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} F(x)$ . If  $G : D \rightarrow 2^Y$ ,  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  are two multivalued maps such that for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $L \subseteq A$ ,  $F(\Gamma(L)) \subseteq G(L)$ , then  $G$  is said to be a KKM mapping respect to  $F$ . Let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued mapping such that if  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is a KKM mapping with respect to  $F$ , then the family  $\{clG(x) : x \in D\}$  has the finite intersection property. In this case we say that  $F$  has the KKM property. We define

$$\mathfrak{K}(X, Y) := \{F : X \rightarrow 2^Y : F \text{ has the KKM property}\}.$$

When  $X$  is convex subset of topological vector space, the class  $\mathfrak{K}(X, Y)$  was introduced and studied by Chang and Yen [3]. This concept is further extended for  $G$ -convex spaces by Lin *et al.* [10]. Ding [4] has shown that

$\mathfrak{K}_c^c(X, Y) \subseteq \mathfrak{K}(X, Y)$ , moreover, if  $T \in \mathbf{A}(X, Y)$  which is u.s.c. and compact values, then  $T \in \mathfrak{K}(X, Y)$ .

In this paper, we obtain some new Ky Fan type matching theorems, fixed point theorems for mapping with KKM property and a generalized quasi-variational inequality as application.

## 2. Main results

Motivated by recent works on KKM maps and generalized KKM maps we introduce the following definition:

**Definition 2.1** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be an L-convex space and  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$ , then the multivalued mapping  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  is called a  $\Phi$ -KKM map if for each finite subset  $A$  of  $Y$  with  $|A| = n + 1$ , we have  $\Phi_A(\Delta_J) \subseteq F(J)$  for every  $J \subseteq A$ .

The following example shows that  $\Phi$ -KKM map is more generalize than KKM map.

**Example 2.2.** Suppose that  $Y = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X = [0, +\infty)$  and  $\Gamma : \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is defined by  $\Gamma(A) = [a, +\infty)$  for which  $a = \min A$ . If  $\Phi_A(\Delta_n) = coA$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  with the cardinality  $|A| = n + 1$ , then it is clear that  $\Phi_A : \Delta_n \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  is continuous and so  $(X, \Gamma)$  is a L-convex space. Also  $\Phi_A(\Delta_n) \neq \Gamma(A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$ . If  $F(x) = [0, x]$  for all  $x \in Y$ , then  $F$  is a  $\Phi$ -KKM map and is not a KKM map.

We introduce now the notation of finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) set in an arbitrary L-convex space.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $D$  be a nonempty set of  $(X, \Gamma)$ .  $D$  is called finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) if for every finite subset  $A$  of  $X$  with  $|A| = n + 1$   $D \cap \Phi_B(\Delta_n)$  is closed (resp. open) in  $\Phi_B(\Delta_n)$ .

Clearly, if  $D$  is closed (resp. open), then  $D$  is finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open), and more generally if  $D$  is finitely  $L$ -closed (resp. open) valued [5], then  $F$  is finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) valued. Therefore the concept of finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) sets generalize that of finitely close (resp. open) in topological vector spaces of and in hyperconvex spaces of Kirk-Sims-Yuan [9]. Furthermore, the notion of finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) improves the notion of compactly closed (resp. open).

The following Ky Fan's type lemma is essential in our work.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of  $(X, \Gamma)$ . Assume that  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  has finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp.  $\Phi$ -open) valued and  $F$  is a  $\Phi$ -KKM map, then the class  $\{F(y) : y \in Y\}$  has the finite intersection property.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$ . Then by the definition of  $\Phi$ -KKM, for each  $\{i_0, \dots, i_j\}$  we have  $\Phi_A(\Delta_J) \subseteq (\bigcup_{k=0}^j F(y_{i_k})) \cap \Phi_A(\Delta_n)$ . Therefore,  $co(e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_j}) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^j \Phi_A^{-1}(F(y_{i_k}) \cap \Phi_A(\Delta_n))$  and  $\Phi_A^{-1}(F(y_{i_k}) \cap \Phi_A(\Delta_n))$  is closed (resp. open) in  $\Delta_n$ . Consequently, the map  $e_i \rightarrow \Phi_B^{-1}(F(y_i) \cap \Phi_A(\Delta_n))$  from  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  to  $\Delta_n$  is a KKM map, thus by the KKM principle,  $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \Phi_A^{-1}(F(y_i) \cap \Phi_B(\Delta_n)) \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi_A(\Delta_n) \cap (\bigcap_{i=0}^n F(y_i)) \neq \emptyset$ .

In the following theorem we unify and improve theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of Ding [5] for matching theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a L-convex space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . Suppose that  $S : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  has finitely  $\Phi$  closed (resp. open)-values such that for a finite subset  $M = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset Y$  we have  $X = \bigcup_{i \in M} S(x_i)$ . Then there exists  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\} \subset M$  such that:

$$\Gamma(\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\}) \cap \bigcap_{k=0}^j S(x_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** Define a set-valued map  $T : M \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $T(x) = X \setminus S(x)$ . Then  $T$  is finitely  $\Phi$ -open (resp.  $\Phi$ -closed) values. Our hypothesis on  $S$  implies that  $\bigcap_{x \in M} T(x) = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \in M} S(x) = X \setminus X = \emptyset$  and therefore,  $\{T(x) : x \in M\}$  has not the finite intersection property. Thus by lemma 2.4,  $T$  can not be a  $\Phi$ -KKM map. Hence there exists a

nonempty finite subset  $J = \{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\} \subseteq M$  such that  $\Phi_A(\Delta_J) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{k=0}^j T(x_{i_k})$ . Consequently,

$$\Gamma(\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\}) \cap \bigcap_{k=0}^j S(x_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset.$$

As a consequence of theorem 2.5 we have a general form of the Fan-Browder theorem for L-convex spaces. This theorem improves theorem 3.3 of Ding [5] and theorem 4.1 of Park [11].

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be an L-convex space and  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $S : Y \rightarrow 2^X$ ,  $T : Y \rightarrow 2^X$  two multivalued maps satisfying:

- (1)  $S$  is finitely  $\Phi$ -closed (resp. open) values,
- (2)  $X = S(M)$  for some finite subset  $M$  of  $Y$ ,
- (3) for each  $x \in Y$ , any finite subset  $A \subseteq S^-(x)$ , we have  $\Gamma(A) \subseteq T^-(x)$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** By theorem 2.5 there exist a nonempty finite subset  $A = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq M$  such that  $\Gamma(A) \cap \bigcap_{k=0}^n S(x_k) \neq \emptyset$ . Now take any  $x \in \Gamma(A) \cap \bigcap_{k=0}^n S(x_k)$ , then  $x_k \in S^-(x)$ , for each  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . Hence by condition (3),  $x \in \Gamma(A) \subseteq T^-(x)$  and therefore,  $x$  is a fixed point for  $T$ .

Motivated by the concept of  $\Psi$ -condensing map for topological vector spaces, we define this notion in the similar way for L-convex spaces. Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a L-convex space and  $C$  be a lattice with least element which is denoted by 0. A function  $\Psi : 2^X \rightarrow C$  is called a measure of compactness on  $X$  provided that the following conditions hold for any  $Z_1, Z_2 \in 2^X$ :

- (1)  $\Psi(Z_1) = 0$  if and only if  $Z_1$  is relatively compact.
- (2)  $\Psi(\text{cl}(\text{L-con}Z_1)) = \Psi(Z_1)$ , where  $\text{cl}(\text{L-con}Z_1)$  denotes the closed L-convex hull of  $Z_1$ .
- (3)  $\Psi(Z_1 \cap Z_2) = \max\{\Psi(Z_1), \Psi(Z_2)\}$ .

If  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ , then  $F$  is called  $\Psi$ -condensing if, whenever  $\Psi(Z) \leq \Psi(F(Z))$  for  $Z \subset X$ , then  $Z$  is relatively compact.

In order to obtain our result for  $\Psi$ -condensing map, we need the following lemma. The proof of this lemma is similar to that for topological vector spaces.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be an L-convex space and  $Z$  be a closed L-convex subset of  $X$ . If  $T : Z \rightarrow 2^Z$  is  $\Psi$ -condensing map, then there exists a nonempty compact L-convex subset  $K \subset Z$  such that  $T(K) \subset K$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be an element of  $Z$  and consider the family  $\mathfrak{C}$  of all closed L-convex subsets  $C$  of  $Z$  such that  $x_0 \in C$  and  $T(x) \subseteq C$  for each  $x \in C$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{C}$  is nonempty. Let  $C_0 = \bigcap_{C \in \mathfrak{C}} C$ . Then  $C_0$  is nonempty, closed, L-convex and  $x_0 \in C_0$ . If  $x \in C_0$ , then  $T(x) \subseteq C$  for all  $C$  so  $T : C_0 \rightarrow 2^{C_0}$ . Now we prove  $C_0$  is also compact. Suppose that  $C_0$  were not compact. Since  $T$  is  $\Psi$ -condensing,

$\Psi(T(C_0)) \not\subseteq \Psi(C_0)$ . Let  $C_1 = cl(L\text{-co}(\{x_0\} \cup T(C_0)))$ . Then  $C_1 \subseteq C_0$ , which implies that  $T(C_1) \subseteq T(C_0) \subseteq C_1$ . Hence  $C_1 \in \mathfrak{C}$  so that  $C_0 \subseteq C_1$ . Therefore,  $C_0 = C_1$ . But then  $\Psi(C_0) = \Psi(C_1) = \Psi[cl(L\text{-co}(\{x_0\} \cup T(C_0)))] = \Psi(T(C_0))$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $C_0$  must be compact.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, \Gamma)$  be a  $L$ -convex space provided uniform topology  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and every  $x \in X$ ,  $U[x]$  be  $L$ -convex. Then any u.s.c. closed valued  $\Psi$ -condensing map  $T \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** By lemma 2.7, there is a nonempty compact  $L$ -convex subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $T(K) \subset K$ . Since  $T \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$ , it is easy to see that  $T|_K \in \mathfrak{K}(K, K)$  is u.s.c and closed valued. Hence an appeal to theorem 3.2 of Ding [4] completes the proof.

Here we obtain a matching theorem similar to theorem 7 of Chang and Yen [3] for locally  $L$ -convex spaces.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $(X; \Gamma, \mathcal{U})$  be a compact locally  $L$ -convex space such that  $\Gamma(A) = L\text{-co}A$  and  $\Gamma(A)$  is compact for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Suppose that  $S : X \rightarrow 2^X$  has closed valued such that for a finite subset  $M = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \subset X$ , we have  $X = \cup_{i \in M} S(x_i)$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$  is u.s.c. and closed values. Then there exists  $\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\} \subset M$  such that:

$$T(\Gamma(\{x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_j}\})) \cap \bigcap_{k=0}^j S(x_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** For each  $x \in X$ , let  $I(x) = \{k : x \in S(x_k)\}$ , then  $I(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in X$  since  $X = \cup_{i \in M} S(x_i)$ . Define  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $F(x) = \Gamma(I(x))$ . One can show that  $F$  is an u.s.c. map. Hence  $F$  is u.s.c. map which has  $L$ -convex compact values. Hence by proposition 3.9 of [2]  $F \in \mathbf{A}(X, X)$ . Now by a similar method as it is given in the proof of corollary 6 of [3], we can show that  $TF \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$  which is u.s.c. and closed values. Now by theorem 2.8,  $TF$  has a fixed point  $x_0$ . Therefore,

$$x_0 \in T(\Gamma(I(x_0))) \cap \left( \bigcap_{k \in I(x_0)} S(x_k) \right).$$

As an application of our results, here we obtain a solution for generalized quasi-variational inequality in  $L$ -convex spaces.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $(X; \Gamma)$  be a locally  $L$ -convex space such that  $\Gamma(\{x\}) = \{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $Y$  is a Hausdorff topological space,  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is an u.s.c. multivalued mapping with nonempty compact values and  $S : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is an u.s.c. with closed values and compact. Assume that  $\phi : X \times Y \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a l.s.c. function such that:

- (1) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $x \in \Gamma(A)$ , there exist  $z \in A$  and  $y \in T(x)$  such that  $\phi(x, y, z) \leq 0$ ,
- (2) for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $M_x \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that for each  $y \in X \setminus S(x)$  there exists a point  $z \in M_x$  such that  $\inf_{x' \in T(y)} \phi(y, x', z) > 0$ ,
- (3) for each  $x \in X$ , the set

$$\{x^* \in S(x) : \inf_{y \in T(x^*)} \phi(x^*, y, z) \leq 0\}$$

is  $L$ -convex,

- (4) for each  $(x, z) \in X \times X$ , the set  $\{y \in T(x) : \phi(x, y, z) \leq 0\}$  is acyclic.
- (5) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , the set  $\{z \in X : \phi(x, y, z) > 0\}$  is  $L$ -convex.

Then there exist  $x_0 \in S(x_0)$ ,  $y_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that  $\phi(x_0, y_0, x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $x, z \in X$  and  $f(z, x) = \inf_{y \in T(x)} \phi(x, y, z)$ , then by proposition 3.1.21 of [1]  $f(z, x)$  is l.s.c. in  $x$ . If  $G : X \rightarrow 2^X$  defined by  $G(z) = \{x : f(z, x) \leq 0\}$ , then  $G$  has closed valued and condition (1) implies that  $G$  is a  $\Phi$ -KKM. Moreover condition (2) implies that for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $M_x \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $\bigcap_{z \in M_x} G(z) \subseteq S(x)$ . Therefore by lemma 2.4 we have  $\bigcap_{z \in X} G(z) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in X$ . Hence for each  $x \in X$ , there is a point  $x^* \in S(x)$  such that

$$f(z, x^*) = \inf_{y \in T(x^*)} \phi(x^*, y, z) \leq 0 \quad \forall z \in X.$$

Let  $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$  is defined as the following

$$F(x) = \{x^* \in S(x) : f(z, x^*) \leq 0 \text{ for all } z \in X\},$$

then  $F(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in X$ . It is clear that  $F$  is u.s.c., closed valued and compact. Also by condition (3)  $F(x)$  is  $L$ -convex for all  $x \in X$ . Hence by the same argument as that in the proof of theorem 2.9  $F \in \mathbf{A}(X, X)$ . Thus by lemma 3.2 of Ding[4],  $F \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$ . Hence by theorem 2.8,  $F$  has a fixed point  $x_0 \in F(x_0)$ , i.e.  $x_0 \in S(x_0)$  and  $f(z, x_0) \leq 0$  for each  $z \in X$ . Now suppose that  $H(x) = \{y \in T(x_0) : \phi(x_0, y, x) \leq 0\}$ , then it is clear that  $H$  is u.s.c. with closed and acyclic valued thus by lemma 3.1 (2)  $H \in \mathfrak{K}(X, T(x_0))$ . If the conclusion is false, then for each  $y \in T(x_0)$ , there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $\phi(x_0, y, z) > 0$ . Let  $H'(y) = \{x \in X : \phi(x_0, y, x) > 0\}$ , then  $H' : T(x_0) \rightarrow 2^X$  is multivalued with nonempty  $L$ -convex values and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $H'^{-1}(x)$  is open in  $T(x_0)$ . Hence by theorem 2.1 of [6]  $H'$  has a continuous selection  $h$ . Since  $hH \in \mathfrak{K}(X, X)$  and  $hH$  is u.s.c, closed values and compact, then by theorem 2.8  $hH$  has a fixed point  $x^* \in X$ . Thus there exists a point  $y_0 \in T(x_0)$  such that  $\phi(x_0, y_0, x^*) \leq 0$  and  $x^* = h(y_0) \in H'(y_0)$ . So  $\phi(x_0, y_0, x^*) > 0$ . This is a contradiction, therefore the conclusion of theorem holds.

## References

- [1] J. P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied nonlinear analysis*, Wiely, New York, 1984.
- [2] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh, S. Chebbi, M. Florenzano, and J-L. Llinares, *Abstract convexity and fixed points*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 138–150.
- [3] T. H. Chang and C. L. Yen, *KKM proprty and fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 224–235.
- [4] X. P. Ding, *Abstract convexity and generalizations of Himmelberg type fixed-point theorems*, J. computers Math. Applic. **41** (2001), 497–504.

- [5] X. P. Ding, *Generalized L-KKM type theorems in L-convex spaces with applications*, Computers Math. Appl. **43** (2002), 1249–1256.
- [6] X. P. Ding and J. Y. Park, *Continuous selection theorem, coincidence theorem, and generalized equilibrium in L-convex spaces*, J. computers Math. Applic. **44** (2002), 95–103.
- [7] C. Horvath, *Extension and selection theorems in topological spaces with generalized convexity structure*, Annal. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **2** (1993), 93–101.
- [8] B. Kanaster, C. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein bewies des Fixpunktsatzes für n-dimensional simplexe*, Fund. Math. **XIV** (1929), 132–137.
- [9] W. A. Kirk, B. Sims, and X. Z. Yuan, *The Knaster-Kuratowski and Mazurkiewicz theory in hyperconvex metric spaces and some of its applications*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **39** (2000), 611–627.
- [10] L.-J. Lin, C.-J. Ko, and S. Park, *Coincidence theorems for set-valued maps with G-KKM property on generalized convex spaces*, Discuss. Math. Differential Incl. **18**(1998), 69-85.
- [11] S. Park, *New topological version of the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A. **47** (2001), 595–606.
- [13] S. Park and H. Kim, *Admissible classes of multifunctions on generalized convex spaces*, Proc. Coll. Natur. Sci. SNU **18** (1993), 1–21.
- [14] S. Park and W. Lee, *A unified approach to generalized KKM maps in generalized convex spaces*, J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal. **2** (2001), 157–166.
- [15] R. U. Verma, *G-H-KKM type theorems and their applications to new classes of minimax inequalities*, Computers Math. Applic. **37** (1999), 45-48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN 81745-163 IRAN.  
E-mail address: fakhhar@sci.ui.ac.ir  
E-mail address: jzaf@sci.ui.ac.ir