

THE H^p CLASSES FOR α -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

P. J. EENIGENBURG¹ AND S. S. MILLER

ABSTRACT. Given $\alpha > 0$, we determine the H^p class to which an α -convex function belongs.

Introduction. In this paper we continue the study ([4], [5], [6], [7]) of \mathcal{M}_α , the class of α -convex functions. Our purpose is to obtain the H^p class to which a given α -convex function belongs.

DEFINITION. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be analytic in the unit disc D , with $(f(z)/z)f'(z) \neq 0$ there, and let α be a real number. Then $f(z)$ is said to be α -convex in D if and only if

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > 0.$$

It is known [5] that if $f(z)$ is α -convex then $f(z)$ is starlike and univalent in D . Moreover, if $\alpha \geq 1$ then $f(z)$ is convex in D . In our investigation we shall employ the H^p results concerning starlike and convex functions [3]. We shall also make use of the following subclasses, first introduced by Reade [11], of the class of close-to-convex functions.

DEFINITION. Let K_β denote the class of functions $f(z)$, analytic in D , for which there exists a convex function $h(z)$ such that

$$(2) \quad |\arg(f'(z)/h'(z))| \leq \beta\pi/2.$$

The following theorem can be found in [7].

THEOREM 1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$), then $f(z) \in K_{1-\alpha}$.*

PROOF. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ one easily checks that $f(z)(zf'(z)/f(z))^\alpha$ is starlike, so that

$$(3) \quad h(z) \equiv \int_0^z \frac{f(w)}{w} \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha dw$$

Presented to the Society, January 26, 1973; received by the editors July 31, 1972 and, in revised form, August 31, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A32; Secondary 30A72.

¹ The first author acknowledges support received under a Western Michigan University Faculty Research Fellowship.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

is convex. The result then follows from the identity

$$f'(z)/h'(z) = (zf'(z)/f(z))^{1-\alpha}$$

and the fact that $f(z)$ is starlike.

We now make the following two observations.

(a) If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$), then $f(z)$ is continuous in \bar{D} (if ∞ is allowed as a value) and assumes no finite value more than once.

(b) If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$), and $L(r)$ denotes the length of $\{w=f(z):|z|=r\}$, then $L(r)=O(M(r))$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.

Pommerenke [10] obtained the results in (a) and (b) for $f(z) \in K_\beta$, $\beta < 1$, and consequently by Theorem 1 they follow for $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. In case $\alpha \geq 1$, $f(z)$ is convex and the results (a) and (b) are well known.

We further observe from (a) that none of the classes of starlike functions of a positive order (less than unity) is contained in \mathcal{M}_α for some positive α . This follows from the existence of bounded functions, starlike of any given order (less than unity), which do not extend continuously to \bar{D} [2]. The question of the order of starlikeness for the class \mathcal{M}_α remains open.

THEOREM 2. *If $f(z) \in K_\beta$ and there exists a convex function $h(z)$, not of the form $h(z)=a+bz(1+ze^{i\tau})^{-1}$, such that $|\arg(f'(z)/h'(z))| \leq \beta\pi/2$, then there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(f) > 0$ such that*

$$f(z) \in H^{1/(1+\beta)+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(z) \in H^{1/(2+\beta)+\varepsilon}.$$

PROOF. We first observe that if $\beta=0$ or $\beta=1$, these results are known [3]. Writing $f'(z)=h'(z)P(z)$ where $|\arg P(z)| \leq \beta\pi/2$, it follows that $P(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$, $\lambda < 1/\beta$. Also, from Theorem 3 of [3], $h'(z) \in H^{1/2+\delta}$ for some $\delta=\delta(h) > 0$. Application of Hölder's inequality with

$$p = (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)(\beta + 2 - \delta),$$

$$q = (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)(\beta + 2 - \delta)(\beta/2 + \delta\beta + 3\delta/2 - \delta^2)^{-1}$$

yields

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(z)|^{(\beta+2-\delta)^{-1}} d\theta \leq \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h'(z)|^{p/(\beta+2-\delta)} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |P(z)|^{q/(\beta+2-\delta)} d\theta \right)^{1/q}.$$

If δ is sufficiently small, each of the integrals on the right remains bounded as r tends to 1. Hence there exists $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(f) > 0$ such that $f'(z) \in H^{1/(\beta+2)+\varepsilon}$. By a well-known theorem of Hardy-Littlewood [1, p. 88], $f(z) \in H^{1/(\beta+1)+\varepsilon}$ for a possibly different value of ε .

We require the following integral representation [6] for functions in \mathcal{M}_α , $\alpha > 0$: the function $f(z)$ is in \mathcal{M}_α , $\alpha > 0$, if and only if there exists a

starlike function $s(z)$ such that

$$(4) \quad f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z [s(\zeta)]^{1/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^\alpha.$$

If $\alpha > 2$, $f(z)$ is bounded [4] and $f'(z) \in H^1$ (since $f(z)$ is convex).

Let us denote by $f_\alpha(z)$, the function obtained in (4) by letting $s(z)$ be the Koebe function, $k(z) = z(1-z)^{-2}$. It follows from (3) that $h(z)$ is of the form $z(1-ze^{i\tau})^{-1}$ if and only if $f(z) = e^{-i\tau} f_\alpha(ze^{i\tau})$. Theorems 1 and 2 then yield the following.

THEOREM 3. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) and is not a rotation of $f_\alpha(z)$, then there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(f) > 0$ such that*

$$f(z) \in H^{1/(2-\alpha)+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(z) \in H^{1/(3-\alpha)+\varepsilon}.$$

We remark that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $f_\alpha(z) \notin H^{1/(2-\alpha)}$ (H^∞ if $\alpha = 2$), although $f_\alpha(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$, $\lambda < 1/(2-\alpha)$.

We now wish to establish Theorem 3 for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. In this case $f(z)$ is both convex and starlike. These geometric properties give rise to the usual Herglotz formulas, which in turn yield probability measures μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively. We can suppose these measures to be normalized so that

$$\frac{1}{2}[\mu_i(t+0) + \mu_i(t-0)] = \mu_i(t), \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mu_i(t) dt = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The normalization determines μ_1 and μ_2 uniquely; we shall call them *the convex and starlike measures* associated with $f(z)$, respectively.

LEMMA 4. *Let $f(z)$ be an unbounded convex function and let μ_1 be the convex measure associated with $f(z)$. If the maximum jump of $\mu_1(t)$ is γ , then $f(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$, $\lambda < 1/(2\gamma-1)$, and $f'(z) \in H^\lambda$, $\forall \lambda$, $\lambda < 1/2\gamma$.*

PROOF. We first observe that since $f(z)$ is unbounded, $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ [8, pp. 67-72]. The proof of Lemma 4 then follows from [3, p. 345].

THEOREM 5. *Let $f(z)$ be an unbounded convex function. If the maximum jump of $\mu_1(t)$ is γ then the maximum jump in $\mu_2(t)$ is $\gamma - \frac{1}{2}$.*

PROOF. Since $f(z)$ is convex, either (a) $f(z)$ is continuous on \bar{D} with the exception of one point, say z_0 , and at this point, $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$ with $|z| \leq 1$, $|z_0| = 1$, or (b) $f(D)$ is a domain whose boundary is two parallel lines [8]. In case (b), the result of Theorem 5 is obvious; hence, we assume case (a). We also assume that the maximum jump, γ , in $\mu_1(t)$ occurs at $t=0$. It follows by the continuity remark in (a) that the maximum jump in $\mu_2(t)$, call it β , also occurs at $t=0$.

First, suppose $\gamma - \frac{1}{2} < \beta$. It is well known (see e.g. [12]) that $f(D)$ contains a sector of vertex angle $2\pi\beta$. Hence, for some constant C ,

$$C((1+z)/(1-z))^{2\beta} < f(z), \quad z \in D.$$

Thus, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(z)|^{1/2\beta} d\theta$ becomes unbounded as r tends to 1, contradicting Lemma 4 (since $2\gamma - 1 < 2\beta$).

We now suppose $\beta < \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$. Choosing δ such that $\beta < \delta < \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$, a result of Pommerenke [9] gives

$$M(r, f) = O((1-r)^{-2\delta}).$$

The Cauchy formula yields

$$M(r, f') = O((1-r)^{-2\delta-1}).$$

On the other hand, since $zf'(z)$ is starlike

$$|zf'(z)| \geq K |z|/|1-z|^{2\gamma},$$

where K is a constant [9]. But this contradicts the fact that $2\delta + 1 < 2\gamma$. The result follows.

THEOREM 6. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 2$) and is not a rotation of $f_\alpha(z)$, then there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(f) > 0$ such that*

$$f(z) \in H^{1/(2-\alpha)+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad f'(z) \in H^{1/(3-\alpha)+\varepsilon}.$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_2$ is unbounded, then $f(z)$ is a rotation of $f_2(z)$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3, we may assume $\alpha > 1$. We also take $f(z)$ unbounded. Let μ_1 and μ_2 be as in Theorem 5. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, $\mu = (1-\alpha)\mu_2 + \alpha\mu_1$ is a measure on $[-\pi, \pi]$. If γ denotes the maximum jump in μ_1 , then the maximum jump in μ_2 occurs at the same point and equals $\gamma - \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, the maximum jump in μ is $\gamma + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)$. The rotations of $f_\alpha(z)$ are given by equating this number with unity; i.e., by setting $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(3 - \alpha)$.

Thus, let us assume $\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}(3 - \alpha)$. We observe that if $\alpha = 2$ then $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, and consequently there is only one unbounded function in \mathcal{M}_2 (up to rotations).²

By Lemma 4, $f'(z) \in H^\lambda$ ($\lambda < 1/2\gamma$). Since $1/2\gamma > 1/(3 - \alpha)$ we may choose $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(f) > 0$ so that $1/2\gamma > 1/(3 - \alpha) + \varepsilon$. Hence, $f'(z) \in H^{1/(3-\alpha)+\varepsilon}$, and, for perhaps a different ε , $f(z) \in H^{1/(2-\alpha)+\varepsilon}$.

² M. O. Reade has pointed out to the authors that this result is also obtained by considering $g(z) = \sqrt{f(z^2)}$, which is odd and convex, whenever $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_2$.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970. MR 42 #3552.
2. P. J. Eenigenburg, *Boundary behavior of starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 428–433.
3. P. J. Eenigenburg and F. R. Keogh, *The Hardy class of some univalent functions and their derivatives*, Michigan Math. J. 17 (1970), 335–346.
4. S. S. Miller, *Distortion properties of alpha-starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
5. S. S. Miller, P. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, *All α -convex functions are univalent and starlike*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 553–554.
6. P. Mocanu, *Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme*, Mathematica (Cluj) 11 (34) (1969), 127–133. MR 42 #7881.
7. P. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, *On generalized convexity in conformal mappings*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971), 1541–1544.
8. V. Paatero, *Über die konforme Abbildungen von Gebieten deren Ränder von beschränkter Drehung sind*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A33 (1931), no. 9.
9. Ch. Pommerenke, *On starlike and convex functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 209–224. MR 25 #1279.
10. ———, *On close-to-convex analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 176–186. MR 30 #4920.
11. M. O. Reade, *The coefficients of close-to-convex functions*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1955), 459–462. MR 17, 1194.
12. T. Sheil-Small, *Starlike univalent functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 21 (1970), 577–613. MR 43 #2207.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN 49001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BROCKPORT, NEW YORK 14420