



On q -analogues of double Euler sums



K. Dilcher^a, Kh. Hessami Pilehrood^a, T. Hessami Pilehrood^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada

^b Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 3C3, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 April 2013

Available online 13 September 2013

Submitted by B.C. Berndt

Keywords:

Double Euler sum

q -Zeta value

Multiple q -zeta value

q -Gamma function

Residue

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study properties of a q -analogue of the function $\pi / \sin \pi z$ which is defined by means of Jackson's q -gamma function and a reflection formula for the gamma function. As application, we obtain evaluations for q -analogues of double Euler sums in terms of single q -zeta values. We also derive a q -analogue of a well-known formula for the multiple zeta-star value $\zeta^*(\{2\}^a)$.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In recent years there has been significant interest in investigating multiple zeta values and their q -analogues. The subject of this paper is studying q -analogues of double Euler sums

$$S_{a,b}^{+-} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} H_n(a)}{n^b}, \quad S_{a,b}^{-+} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{H}_n(a)}{n^b} \tag{1}$$

where

$$H_n(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^a} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{H}_n(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j^a}$$

are generalized harmonic numbers or partial sums of the series

$$\zeta(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^a} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\zeta}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j^a}$$

defining the Riemann zeta function and the alternating zeta function, respectively. If a, b are positive integers and $a + b$ is odd, the sums (1) are expressible in terms of ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ values as follows [3]:

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dilcher@mathstat.dal.ca (K. Dilcher), hessamik@gmail.com (Kh. Hessami Pilehrood), hessamit@gmail.com (T. Hessami Pilehrood).

$$2S_{a,b}^{+-} = (1 - (-1)^a)\zeta(a)\bar{\zeta}(b) + \bar{\zeta}(a+b) - 2 \sum_{j+2k=a} \binom{b+j-1}{b-1} (-1)^j \bar{\zeta}(b+j)\bar{\zeta}(2k) + 2(-1)^a \sum_{j+2k=b} \binom{a+j-1}{a-1} \zeta(a+j)\bar{\zeta}(2k), \tag{2}$$

$$2S_{a,b}^{-+} = (1 - (-1)^a)\bar{\zeta}(a)\zeta(b) + \bar{\zeta}(a+b) + 2(-1)^a \sum_{j+2k=a} \binom{b+j-1}{b-1} \zeta(b+j)\bar{\zeta}(2k) + 2 \sum_{j+2k=b} \binom{a+j-1}{a-1} (-1)^j \bar{\zeta}(a+j)\bar{\zeta}(2k), \quad b > 1. \tag{3}$$

In formulas (2), (3) it is understood that $\zeta(0) = -1/2$ in accordance with the analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function, the value $\zeta(1)$ is interpreted as zero, $\bar{\zeta}(0) = 1/2$, and $\bar{\zeta}(1) = \log 2$.

In this paper we find a suitable q -analogue for alternating zeta values that allows us to obtain appropriate q -analogues of formulas (2) and (3). As an intermediate result, we derive a q -analogue of the well-known formula

$$\zeta^* (\{2\}^a) = 2\bar{\zeta}(2a) = 2(1 - 2^{1-2a})\zeta(2a), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\zeta^* (s_1, \dots, s_a) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_a} \frac{1}{k_1^{s_1} \dots k_a^{s_a}}, \quad s_j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad s_a \geq 2,$$

is the multiple zeta-star value, $\zeta^*(\emptyset) = 1$, and $\{2\}^a$ denotes the a -tuple $(2, \dots, 2)$.

Note that the proof of (4) is quite simple and is based on the representation of the function $\pi z / \sin \pi z$ as an infinite product, which gives a generating function for the zeta-star values $\zeta^* (\{2\}^a)$,

$$\frac{\pi z}{\sin \pi z} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - z^2/m^2} = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \zeta^* (\{2\}^a) z^{2a} \tag{5}$$

on the one hand, and as an infinite partial fraction expansion, which leads to the Laurent series,

$$\frac{\pi z}{\sin \pi z} = 1 + 2z^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^2 - z^2} = 1 + 2 \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \bar{\zeta}(2a) z^{2a} \tag{6}$$

on the other hand. Laurent series expansions of the function $\pi / \sin \pi z$ played an important role, as well, in the Flajolet and Salvy proof [3] of formulas (2) and (3) (other proofs of these formulas using an elementary partial fraction approach and Fourier expansions of Bernoulli polynomials can be found in [2,8]).

2. On a q -analogue of the function $\pi / \sin \pi z$ and its properties

Let q be a real number with $0 < q < 1$. For any complex number α , the basic number is defined as

$$[\alpha]_q = \frac{1 - q^\alpha}{1 - q}.$$

For a positive integer n , put

$$(\alpha)_0 := (\alpha; q)_0 := 1, \quad (\alpha)_n := (\alpha; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \alpha q^k), \quad (\alpha)_\infty := (\alpha; q)_\infty := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^k).$$

For defining a q -analogue of $\pi / \sin \pi z$ it is convenient to use the reflection formula for the Euler gamma function:

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}.$$

Let us consider the function

$$f(z) = -\frac{(q; q)_\infty^2}{(z; q)_\infty (qz^{-1}; q)_\infty} = \frac{1}{z-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^k)^2}{(1 - q^k z)(1 - q^k z^{-1})}. \tag{7}$$

Since

$$\frac{(1 - q^k)^2}{(1 - q^k z)(1 - q^k z^{-1})} = 1 + \frac{q^k(1 - z)^2}{z(1 + q^{2k}) - q^k(1 + z^2)},$$

by the Weierstrass test we conclude that the infinite product (7) converges uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{C} not containing 0 and $q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and defines a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with simple poles at $q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and residues

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=q^n} f(z) = (-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{8}$$

It is easily seen that

$$(q - 1)f(q^x) = \Gamma_q(x)\Gamma_q(1 - x),$$

where $\Gamma_q(x)$ is Jackson's q -gamma function,

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^x; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-x}.$$

From [6, Appendix B] it follows that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (q - 1)f(q^x) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_q(x)\Gamma_q(1 - x) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(1 - x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}.$$

Lemma 2.1. For any integer n , the function $f(z)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$f(zq^n) = (-1)^n z^n q^{n(n-1)/2} f(z). \tag{9}$$

Proof. The formula is trivial for $n = 0$. Suppose n is positive. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(zq^n) &= \frac{1}{zq^n - 1} \prod_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - q^k)^2}{(1 - q^{k+n}z)(1 - q^{k-n}z^{-1})} = -zf(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1 - q^k z}{1 - q^{k-n}z^{-1}} \\ &= -zf(z) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - q^k z) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{zq^{n-k}}{zq^{n-k} - 1} = (-1)^n z^n f(z) q^{n(n-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and Eq. (9) is true in this case. Since

$$f(z^{-1}) = -zf(z), \tag{10}$$

we have

$$f(zq^{-n}) = f((q^n/z)^{-1}) = -\frac{q^n}{z} f(q^n z^{-1}).$$

Now applying Eq. (9) with z replaced by $1/z$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$f(zq^{-n}) = -\frac{q^n}{z} (-1)^n z^{-n} q^{n(n-1)/2} f(z^{-1}) = (-1)^{n-1} z^{-n-1} q^{n(n+1)/2} f(z^{-1})$$

which by (10) gives

$$f(zq^{-n}) = (-1)^{n-1} z^{-n-1} q^{n(n+1)/2} (-zf(z)) = (-1)^n z^{-n} q^{n(n+1)/2} f(z),$$

and therefore Eq. (9) is valid for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

We consider the following q -analogues of zeta, alternating zeta, and multiple zeta-star values:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}_q[b; a] &:= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2+an}}{[n]_q^b}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathfrak{z}_q^\wedge[b; a] &:= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(1 + q^n)q^{n(n-1)/2+an}}{[n]_q^b} = \mathfrak{z}_q[b; a] + \mathfrak{z}_q[b; a + 1], \\ \mathfrak{\bar{z}}_q[b; a] &:= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{n-1} q^{n(n-1)/2+an}}{[n]_q^b}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}_q^{\wedge}[b; a] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (1+q^n) q^{n(n-1)/2+an}}{[n]_q^b} = \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a] + \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a+1],$$

$$\zeta_q^*[b_1, \dots, b_m] := \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m} \frac{q^{k_1}}{[k_1]_q^{b_1}} \dots \frac{q^{k_m}}{[k_m]_q^{b_m}}, \quad b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the convention $\zeta_q^*[\emptyset] = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Laurent series expansion of $z^a f(z)$ about q^n is*

$$z^a f(z) = \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2+an}}{z - q^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{-nj} (z - q^n)^j \sum_{l=0}^{[j/2]} \binom{a+n-l}{j-2l} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. We start with rewriting Eq. (7) as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{q^k}{(1-q^k)^2} \frac{(z-1)^2}{z} \right)^{-1}$$

which implies the following expansion valid in a neighborhood of the point $z = 1$:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}} \frac{(z-1)^{2l}}{z^l}.$$

Expanding z^{a-l} in powers of $z - 1$,

$$z^{a-l} = (1 + (z - 1))^{a-l} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{a-l}{k} (z - 1)^k$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^a f(z) &= \frac{1}{z-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{a-l}{k} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}} (z-1)^{2l+k} \\ &= \frac{1}{z-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z-1)^j \sum_{l=0}^{[j/2]} \binom{a-l}{j-2l} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which is exactly (11) in the case $n = 0$.

Now suppose that n is a nonzero integer. Replacing z by zq^n and a by $a - n$ in (12), we obtain the following expansion in powers of $(z - q^{-n})$:

$$z^{a-n} f(zq^n) = \frac{q^{n(n-1)-an}}{z - q^{-n}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{nj} (z - q^{-n})^j \sum_{l=0}^{[j/2]} \binom{a-n-l}{j-2l} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}}. \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$z^a f(z) = (-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2} z^{a-n} f(zq^n)$$

which, by (13), implies the required expansion (11) if we replace n by $-n$. \square

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and b be a nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\bar{\zeta}_q[b; b-a] + (-1)^b \cdot \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a+1] = \sum_{l=0}^{[b/2]} \binom{a-l}{b-2l} (1-q)^{b-2l} \zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]. \tag{14}$$

In particular, for any nonnegative integer a ,

$$\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^a] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (1 + q^n) q^{n(n-1)/2 + an}}{[n]_q^{2a}} = \tilde{\zeta}_q^{\wedge}[2a; a]. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Let $R > 0$ be a sufficiently large real number distinct from q^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and N be the largest positive integer such that $q^N > 1/R$. Then by the residue theorem, we have

$$\int_{|z|=R} \frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} dz - \int_{|z|=1/R} \frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} dz = \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{res}_{z=q^k} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{res}_{z=q^{-k}} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) + \operatorname{res}_{z=1} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) \tag{16}$$

where contours of integration on the left-hand side are taken in the counterclockwise direction. From an asymptotic formula for $(qz; q)_{\infty}$ with $|z| = R \rightarrow \infty$, due to Littlewood [7, §12], it follows that

$$|(qz; q)_{\infty}| \asymp e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln^2 R}{\ln q}} R^{-1/2}.$$

Since the infinite product

$$\frac{1}{|(qz^{-1}; q)_{\infty}|} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1 - q^k z^{-1}|} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 + \frac{q^k}{z - q^k} \right| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{q^k}{R - q^k} \right)$$

is bounded on $|z| = R$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{|z|=R} \frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} dz \right| = O\left(R^{a-b+1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln^2 R}{\ln q}} \right), \tag{17}$$

and therefore the absolute value of the integral in (17) tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, on the circle $|z| = 1/R \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$|(qz^{-1}; q)_{\infty}| \asymp e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln^2 R}{\ln q}} R^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the product

$$\frac{1}{|(qz; q)_{\infty}|} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1 - q^k z|} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 + \frac{q^k}{1/z - q^k} \right| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{q^k}{R - q^k} \right)$$

is bounded. This implies that

$$\left| \int_{|z|=1/R} \frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} dz \right| = O\left(R^{-a-1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln^2 R}{\ln q}} \right) \tag{18}$$

and the absolute value of the integral tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore letting R tend to infinity in (16), by (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^k} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^{-k}} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) = -\operatorname{res}_{z=1} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right).$$

The function $z^a f(z)/(z-1)^b$ has simple poles at q^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and by (8), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{k(k-1)/2 + (b-a)k}}{[k]_q^b} + \frac{(-1)^{k-1+b} q^{k(k+1)/2 + ak}}{[k]_q^b} \right) = (1-q)^b \cdot \operatorname{res}_{z=1} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right).$$

By Lemma 2.2 with $n = 0$, we easily find that

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=1} \left(\frac{z^a f(z)}{(z-1)^b} \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor b/2 \rfloor} \binom{a-l}{b-2l} \frac{\zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l]}{(1-q)^{2l}},$$

which implies the desired identity (14). Putting $b = 2a$ in (14) and noting that $\binom{a-l}{2a-2l}$ is distinct from zero if and only if $l = a$, we obtain (15). \square

Notice that formula (15) was also proved in [4, Corollary 1.1] by another method. Using Theorem 2.1, we can rewrite the expansion coefficients in (11) in terms of the alternating q -zeta values $\tilde{\zeta}_q$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Laurent series expansion of $z^a f(z)$ about q^n is given by

$$z^a f(z) = \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+1)/2+an}}{z - q^n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-nj}}{(1-q)^j} (z - q^n)^j (\bar{\zeta}_q[j; j - a - n] + (-1)^j \bar{\zeta}_q[j; a + n + 1]).$$

Formula (15) also allows us to obtain a q -analogue of the infinite partial fraction expansion (6). Indeed, for the product $\Gamma_q(1+x)\Gamma_q(1-x)$, we easily derive the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_q(1+x)\Gamma_q(1-x) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^n)^2}{(1-q^{n+x})(1-q^{n-x})} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{q^n}{[n]_q^2} [x]_q [-x]_q\right)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^l \zeta_q^*[\{2\}^l] ([x]_q [-x]_q)^l, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

which is a q -analogue of the generating function (5). Substituting formula (15) into the right-hand side of (19), changing the order of summation and simplifying, we get a q -analogue of the infinite partial fraction expansion for the function $\pi x / \sin \pi x$.

Corollary 2.2. Let x be a real number satisfying $|x| < 1$. Then

$$\Gamma_q(1+x)\Gamma_q(1-x) = 1 - [x]_q [-x]_q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (1+q^n) q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{[n]_q^2 + q^n [x]_q [-x]_q}.$$

Note that the expansion (19) in powers of $[x]_q$ and $[-x]_q$ is not unique, since $[x]_q$ and $[-x]_q$ are connected by the relation $[x]_q + [-x]_q = (1-q)[x]_q [-x]_q$. Using Heine’s q -analogue of the Gauss summation formula for the ordinary hypergeometric function, Bradley [1, Theorem 16] proved another expansion for $\Gamma_q(1+x)\Gamma_q(1-x)$ in terms of the q -zeta values

$$\tilde{\zeta}_q[s_1, \dots, s_m] = \sum_{k_1 > \dots > k_m > 0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{q^{(s_j-1)k_j}}{[k_j]_q^{s_j}},$$

which has the form

$$\Gamma_q(1+x)\Gamma_q(1-x) = 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} \tilde{\zeta}_q[m+2, \{1\}^n] [x]_q^{m+1} [-x]_q^{n+1}.$$

3. Evaluation of q -analogues of double Euler sums

We start with the definition of q -analogues of the generalized harmonic numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n[b; a] &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b}, & \bar{H}_n[b; a] &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b}, \\ H_n^\wedge[b; a] &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1+q^k) q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b}, & \bar{H}_n^\wedge[b; a] &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1} (1+q^k) q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b} \end{aligned}$$

and single zeta values:

$$\zeta_q[b; a] := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b}, \quad \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a] := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{ka}}{[k]_q^b}.$$

Define q -analogues of double Euler sums as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\zeta}_q^{+-}[b, d; a, c] &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} q^{n(n-1)/2+cn}}{[n]_q^d} H_n[b; a], \\ \bar{\zeta}_q^{-+}[b, d; a, c] &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2+cn}}{[n]_q^d} \bar{H}_n[b; a], \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}_q^+[b, d; a, c] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (1+q^n) q^{n(n-1)/2+cn}}{[n]_q^d} H_n^{\wedge}[b; a],$$

$$\hat{\zeta}_q^-[b, d; a, c] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+q^n) q^{n(n-1)/2+cn}}{[n]_q^d} \bar{H}_n^{\wedge}[b; a].$$

Consider the q -polygamma function

$$\psi_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{ak}}{(1-zq^k)^b}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots\},$$

and its alternating version

$$\bar{\psi}_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{ak}}{(1-zq^k)^b}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots\}.$$

The function $\psi_{a,b}$ is related to the Hurwitz zeta function by the limit relation

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (1-q)^b \psi_{a,b}(q^x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+x)^b}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}.$$

Note that

$$q^a (1-q)^b \psi_{a,b}(q) = \zeta_q[b; a] \quad \text{and} \quad q^a (1-q)^b \bar{\psi}_{a,b}(q) = \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a].$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let a, b be positive integers. Then the Laurent series expansions of $\psi_{a,b}(z)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{a,b}(z)$ about $q^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are given by*

$$\psi_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{q^{-n(a+l)}}{(1-q)^{b+l}} (\zeta_q[b+l; a+l] - H_{n-1}[b+l; a+l]) (z-q^n)^l,$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{a,b}(z) = (-1)^n \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{q^{-n(a+l)}}{(1-q)^{b+l}} (\bar{H}_{n-1}[b+l; a+l] - \bar{\zeta}_q[b+l; a+l]) (z-q^n)^l,$$

and about $q^{-n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, they are represented by

$$\psi_{a,b}(z) = \frac{(-1)^b q^{(a-b)n}}{(z-q^{-n})^b} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{q^{n(a+l)}}{(1-q)^{b+l}} (\zeta_q[b+l; a+l] + (-1)^{b+l} H_n[b+l; b-a]) (z-q^{-n})^l,$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{a,b}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{b+n} q^{(a-b)n}}{(z-q^{-n})^b} - (-1)^n \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{q^{n(a+l)}}{(1-q)^{b+l}} (\bar{\zeta}_q[b+l; a+l] + (-1)^{b+l} \bar{H}_n[b+l; b-a]) (z-q^{-n})^l.$$

Proof. Let n be a positive integer and $\sigma = \pm 1$. Consider the series

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^k q^{ak}}{(1-zq^k)^b} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^k q^{ak}}{(1-q^{k+n})^b (1 - \frac{q^k}{1-q^{k+n}} (z-q^n))^b} \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{k-n} q^{a(k-n)}}{(1-q^k)^b (1 - \frac{q^{k-n}}{1-q^k} (z-q^n))^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the last sum in powers of $(z-q^n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma) &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{k-n} q^{a(k-n)}}{(1-q^k)^b} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{q^{(k-n)l}}{(1-q^k)^l} (z-q^n)^l \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \sigma^n q^{-n(a+l)} (z-q^n)^l \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^k q^{k(a+l)}}{(1-q^k)^{b+l}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first desired expansion if $\sigma = 1$, and the second one if $\sigma = -1$.

Now let n be a nonnegative integer. To expand $\psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma)$ in powers of $(z - q^{-n})$, we rewrite it as

$$\psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma) = \frac{\sigma^n q^{an}}{(1 - zq^n)^b} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sigma^k q^{ak}}{(1 - zq^k)^b} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^k q^{ak}}{(1 - zq^k)^b}.$$

Now changing the order of summation in the second and third sums, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma) &= \frac{(-1)^b \sigma^n q^{(a-b)n}}{(z - q^{-n})^b} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sigma^{n-k} q^{a(n-k)}}{(1 - zq^{n-k})^b} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{k+n} q^{a(k+n)}}{(1 - zq^{k+n})^b} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^b \sigma^n q^{(a-b)n}}{(z - q^{-n})^b} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^b \sigma^{n-k} q^{an+k(b-a)}}{(1 - q^k)^b (1 + \frac{q^n}{1 - q^k} (z - q^{-n}))^b} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{k+n} q^{a(k+n)}}{(1 - q^k)^b (1 - \frac{q^{k+n}}{1 - q^k} (z - q^{-n}))^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the above series in powers of $(z - q^{-n})$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{a,b}(z, \sigma) &= \frac{(-1)^b \sigma^n q^{(a-b)n}}{(z - q^{-n})^b} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{b+l} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{\sigma^n q^{n(a+l)} (z - q^{-n})^l}{(1 - q)^{b+l}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sigma^k q^{k(b-a)}}{[k]_q^{b+l}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{b+l-1}{l} \frac{\sigma^n q^{n(a+l)}}{(1 - q)^{b+l}} (z - q^{-n})^l \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^k q^{k(a+l)}}{[k]_q^{b+l}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the third desired formula if we take $\sigma = 1$, and the fourth one if $\sigma = -1$. \square

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \geq 1, b \geq 1, d \geq 0$, and $b + d$ is odd. Then

$$\mathfrak{z}_q^{+-}[b, d; a, c - a + 1] + \mathfrak{z}_q^{+-}[b, d; b - a, a + d - c]$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{z}_q[b + d; b + d - c] + \zeta_q[b; a](\mathfrak{z}_q[d; c - a + 1] - (-1)^b \mathfrak{z}_q[d; a + d - c]) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{-b}{d-j} \zeta_q[b + d - j; a + d - j](\mathfrak{z}_q[j; c + 1] + (-1)^j \mathfrak{z}_q[j; j - c]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{-d}{b-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2+k(a+d-c)}}{[k]_q^{b+d-j}} (\mathfrak{z}_q[j; j + k - c] + (-1)^j \mathfrak{z}_q[j; c - k + 1]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$g(z) := \frac{z^c f(z) \psi_{a,b}(z)}{(z - 1)^d}.$$

Then by the residue theorem and a similar argument as in the proof of [Theorem 2.1](#), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^k} g(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^{-k}} g(z) = - \operatorname{res}_{z=1} g(z). \tag{20}$$

The function $g(z)$ has simple poles at $z = q^k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, poles of order $b + 1$ at $z = q^{-k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and a pole of order $b + d + 1$ at the point $z = 1$. By [Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1](#), we easily find that

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=q^k} g(z) = \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2+k(c-a)}}{(1 - q)^b (q^k - 1)^d} (\zeta_q[b; a] - H_{k-1}[b; a])$$

and therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^k} g(z) = \frac{(-1)^d}{(1 - q)^{b+d}} (\mathfrak{z}_q^{+-}[b, d; a, c - a + 1] - \zeta_q[b; a] \mathfrak{z}_q[d; c - a + 1] - \mathfrak{z}_q[b + d; c + 1]). \tag{21}$$

Taking into account that

$$(z - 1)^{-d} = (1 - q^{-k})^{-d} \left(\frac{z - q^{-k}}{1 - q^{-k}} - 1 \right)^{-d} = \frac{q^{kd}}{(1 - q)^d [k]_q^d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-d}{j} \frac{q^{kj}}{[k]_q^j} \frac{(z - q^{-k})^j}{(1 - q)^j},$$

by Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^{-k}} g(z) &= \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2+k(a+d-c)}}{(1-q)^{b+d} [k]_q^d} (\zeta_q[b; a] + (-1)^b H_k[b; b-a]) \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^{b+k} q^{k(k-1)/2+k(a+d-c)}}{(1-q)^{b+d} [k]_q^{b+d}} \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{-d}{b-j} [k]_q^j (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j+k-c] + (-1)^j \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; c-k+1]) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{res}_{z=q^{-k}} g(z) &= \frac{(-1)^{b+1}}{(1-q)^{b+d}} \left(\hat{\zeta}_q^{+-}[b, d; b-a, a+d-c] + (-1)^b \zeta_q[b; a] \tilde{\zeta}_q[d; a+d-c] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{-d}{b-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} q^{k(k-1)/2+k(a+d-c)}}{[k]_q^{b+d-j}} (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j+k-c] + (-1)^j \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; c-k+1]) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Finally, calculating the residue at $z = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{res}_{z=1} g(z) &= \frac{(-1)^b}{(1-q)^{b+d}} \left(\tilde{\zeta}_q[b+d; b+d-c] - \tilde{\zeta}_q[b+d; c+1] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{-b}{d-j} \zeta_q[b+d-j; a+d-j] (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; c+1] + (-1)^j \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j-c]) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now by (20)–(23), we readily obtain the theorem. \square

Note that letting q tend to 1 in Theorem 3.1, we recover formula (2) of Flajolet and Salvy for $S_{b,d}^{+-}$ with $b+d$ odd. The next corollary gives two special cases of Theorem 3.1 when the parity of the parameters b and d is fixed.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 1, d \geq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\hat{\zeta}_q^{+-}[2a, 2d+1; a, d+1] &= \tilde{\zeta}_q[2a+2d+1; a+d+1] - \sum_{j=0}^{2d+1} \binom{-2a}{2d+1-j} \zeta_q[2a+2d+1-j; 2d+a+1-j] \\ &\quad \times (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; a+d+1] + (-1)^j \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j-a-d]) + \sum_{j=0}^{2a} \binom{-2d-1}{2a-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k+1)/2+kd}}{[k]_q^{2a+2d+1-j}} \\ &\quad \times (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j+k-a-d] + (-1)^j \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; a+d-k+1]), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\zeta}_q^{+-}[2a+1, 2d; a, d] &= 2\zeta_q[2a+1; a] \hat{\zeta}_q[2d; d] + \hat{\zeta}_q[2a+2d+1; a+d] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2d} \binom{-2a-1}{2d-j} \zeta_q[2a+2d+1-j; a+2d-j] (\hat{\zeta}_q[j; a+d] + (-1)^j \hat{\zeta}_q[j; j-a-d]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2a+1} \binom{-2d}{2a+1-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)/2+kd}}{[k]_q^{2a+2d+1-j}} (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j-a-d+k] \\ &\quad + q^k \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; j-a-d+k+1] + (-1)^j (\tilde{\zeta}_q[j; a+d-k+1] + q^k \tilde{\zeta}_q[j; a+d-k])). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Proof. Replacing b by $2a$, d by $2d+1$, and c by $a+d$ in Theorem 3.1, we get identity (24). Considering the sum of two identities corresponding to the sets a, b, c, d and $a, b, c+1, d$ from Theorem 3.1, and then replacing b by $2a+1$, d by $2d$, and c by $a+d-1$, we get the desired identity (25). \square

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, evaluating residues of the function $\frac{z^c f(z) \bar{\psi}_{a,b}(z)}{(z-1)^d}$, we get a q -analogue of formula (3).

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 1, b \geq 1, d \geq 0$, and $b + d$ is odd. Then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{-+}[b, d; a, c - a + 1] + \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{-+}[b, d; b - a, a + d - c]$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[b + d; b + d - c] + \bar{\zeta}_q[b; a](\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[d; c - a + 1] - (-1)^b \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[d; a + d - c]) \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{-b}{d-j} \bar{\zeta}_q[b + d - j; a + d - j](\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; c + 1] + (-1)^j \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j - c]) \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^b \binom{-d}{b-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2+k(a+d-c)}}{[k]_q^{b+d-j}} (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j + k - c] + (-1)^j \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; c - k + 1]). \end{aligned}$$

Fixing parities of the parameters b and d , we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 1, d \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{-+}[2a, 2d + 1; a, d + 1] &= \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[2a + 2d + 1; a + d + 1] + \sum_{j=0}^{2d+1} \binom{-2a}{2d+1-j} \bar{\zeta}_q[2a + 2d + 1 - j; 2d + a + 1 - j] \\ & \quad \times (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; a + d + 1] + (-1)^j \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j - a - d]) + \sum_{j=0}^{2a} \binom{-2d-1}{2a-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)/2+kd}}{[k]_q^{2a+2d+1-j}} \\ & \quad \times (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j + k - a - d] + (-1)^j \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; a + d - k + 1]), \\ \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{-+}[2a + 1, 2d; a, d] &= 2\bar{\zeta}_q[2a + 1; a] \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{\wedge}[2d; d] + \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{\wedge}[2a + 2d + 1; a + d] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2d} \binom{-2a-1}{2d-j} \bar{\zeta}_q[2a + 2d + 1 - j; a + 2d - j](\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{\wedge}[j; a + d] + (-1)^j \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q^{\wedge}[j; j - a - d]) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2a+1} \binom{-2d}{2a+1-j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2+kd}}{[k]_q^{2a+2d+1-j}} (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j - a - d + k] + q^k \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; j - a - d + k + 1] \\ & \quad + (-1)^j (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; a + d - k + 1] + q^k \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_q[j; a + d - k])). \end{aligned}$$

For further possible applications of the formulas for double q -Euler sums proved in this section, we refer the reader to the paper [5].

References

[1] D.M. Bradley, Multiple q -zeta values, J. Algebra 283 (2005) 752–798.
 [2] D.M. Bradley, A signed analog of Euler’s reduction formula for the double zeta function, preprint, arXiv:0707.4486 [math.CA].
 [3] P. Flajolet, B. Salvy, Euler sums and contour integral representations, Experiment. Math. 7 (1) (1998) 15–35.
 [4] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, On q -analogues of two–one formulas for multiple harmonic sums and multiple zeta star values, preprint, arXiv:1304.0269 [math.NT].
 [5] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood, T. Hessami Pilehrood, J. Zhao, On q -analogs of some families of multiple harmonic sum and multiple zeta star value identities, preprint, arXiv:1307.7985 [math.NT].
 [6] T.H. Koornwinder, Jacobi functions as limit cases of q -ultraspherical polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 148 (1990) 44–54.
 [7] J.E. Littlewood, On the asymptotic approximation to integral functions of zero order, Proc. London Math. Soc. 5 (1907) 361–410; reprinted in: Collected Papers, vol. 2, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1982, pp. 1059–1108.
 [8] Y.L. Ong, M. Eie, On recurrence relations for the extensions of Euler sums, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 38 (1) (2008) 225–251.