

Dissident Maps on the Seven-Dimensional Euclidean Space

Ernst Dieterich and Lars Lindberg

Abstract

A *dissident map* on a finite-dimensional euclidean vector space V is understood to be a linear map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ such that $v, w, \eta(v \wedge w)$ are linearly independent whenever $v, w \in V$ are. This notion of a dissident map provides a link between seemingly diverse aspects of real geometric algebra, thereby revealing its shifting significance. While it generalizes on the one hand the classical notion of a vector product, it specializes on the other hand the structure of a real division algebra. Moreover it yields naturally a large class of selfbijections of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ many of which are collineations, but some of which, surprisingly, are not.

Dissident maps are known to exist in the dimensions 0,1,3 and 7 only. In the dimensions 0,1 and 3 they are classified completely and irredundantly, but in dimension 7 they are still far from being fully understood. The present article contributes to the classification of dissident maps on \mathbb{R}^7 which in turn contributes to the classification of 8-dimensional real division algebras.

We study two large classes of dissident maps on \mathbb{R}^7 . The first class is formed by all *composed* dissident maps, obtained from a vector product on \mathbb{R}^7 by composition with a definite endomorphism. The second class is formed by all *doubled* dissident maps, obtained as the purely imaginary parts of the structures of those 8-dimensional real quadratic division algebras which arise from a 4-dimensional real quadratic division algebra by doubling. For each of these two classes we exhibit a complete but redundant classification, given by a 49-parameter family of composed dissident maps and a 9-parameter family of doubled dissident maps respectively. The problem of restricting these two families such as to obtain a complete and irredundant classification arises naturally. Regarding the subproblem of characterizing when two composed dissident maps belonging to the exhaustive 49-parameter family are isomorphic, we present a necessary and sufficient criterion. Regarding the analogous subproblem for the exhaustive 9-parameter family of doubled dissident maps, we present a sufficient criterion which is conjectured, and partially proved, even to be necessary. Finally we solve the subproblem of describing those dissident maps which are both composed and doubled by proving that these form one isoclass only, namely the isoclass consisting of all vector products on \mathbb{R}^7 .

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 15A21, 15A30, 17A35, 17A45, 20G20.

Keywords: Dissident map, real quadratic division algebra, doubling functor, collineation, configuration, classification.

1 Introduction

For the readers convenience we summarize from the rudimentary theory of dissident maps which already has appeared in print those features which the present article builds upon. For proofs and further information we refer to [5]–[11].

First let us explain in which sense dissident maps specialize real division algebras. A *dissident triple* (V, ξ, η) consists of a euclidean space¹ V , a linear form $\xi : V \wedge V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$. Each dissident triple (V, ξ, η) determines a real quadratic division algebra² $\mathcal{H}(V, \xi, \eta) = \mathbb{R} \times V$, with multiplication

$$(\alpha, v)(\beta, w) = (\alpha\beta - \langle v, w \rangle + \xi(v \wedge w), \alpha w + \beta v + \eta(v \wedge w)) .$$

The assignment $(V, \xi, \eta) \mapsto \mathcal{H}(V, \xi, \eta)$ establishes a functor $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ from the category \mathcal{D} of all dissident triples³ to the category \mathcal{Q} of all real quadratic division algebras.

Proposition 1.1 [8, p. 3162] *The functor $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

This proposition summarizes in categorical language old observations made by Frobenius [12] (cf. [16]), Dickson [4] and Osborn [21]. In order to describe an equivalence $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which is quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ we need to recall the manner in which every real quadratic division algebra B is endowed with a natural scalar product. Frobenius’s Lemma [16, p. 187] states that the set $V = \{b \in B \setminus (\mathbb{R}1 \setminus \{0\}) \mid b^2 \in \mathbb{R}1\}$ of all purely imaginary elements in B is a linear subspace in B such that $B = \mathbb{R}1 \oplus V$. This decomposition of B determines a linear form $\varrho : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

¹Throughout this article, a “euclidean space” V is understood to be a finite-dimensional euclidean vector space $V = (V, \langle \rangle)$.

²By a “division algebra” we mean an algebra A satisfying $0 < \dim A < \infty$ and having no zero divisors (i.e. $xy = 0$ only if $x = 0$ or $y = 0$). By a “quadratic algebra” we mean an algebra A such that $0 < \dim A < \infty$, there exists an identity element $1 \in A$ and each $x \in A$ satisfies an equation $x^2 = \alpha x + \beta 1$ with coefficients α, β in the ground field.

³A morphism $\sigma : (V, \xi, \eta) \rightarrow (V', \xi', \eta')$ of dissident triples is an orthogonal map $\sigma : V \rightarrow V'$ satisfying both $\xi = \xi'(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$ and $\sigma \eta = \eta'(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$.

and a linear map $\iota : B \rightarrow V$ such that $b = \varrho(b)1 + \iota(b)$ for all $b \in B$. These in turn give rise to a quadratic form $q : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $q(b) = \varrho(b)^2 - \varrho(\iota(b)^2)$ and a linear map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$, $\eta(v \wedge w) = \iota(vw)$. Now Osborn's Theorem [21, p. 204] asserts that B has no zero divisors if and only if q is positive definite and η is dissident. In particular, whenever B is a real quadratic division algebra, then its purely imaginary hyperplane V is a euclidean space $V = (V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, with scalar product $\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(q(v+w) - q(v) - q(w)) = -\frac{1}{2}\varrho(vw + wv)$. Finally we define the linear form $\xi : V \wedge V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\xi(v \wedge w) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho(vw - wv)$ to establish a functor $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{I}(B) = (V, \xi, \eta)$.

Proposition 1.2 [8, p. 3162] *The functor $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence of categories which is quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$.*

Combining Proposition 1.1 with the famous theorem of Bott [3] and Milnor [20], asserting that each real division algebra has dimension 1,2,4 or 8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3 *A euclidean space V admits a dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ only if $\dim V \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$.*

In case $\dim V \in \{0, 1\}$, the zero map $o : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ is the uniquely determined dissident map on V . In case $\dim V \in \{3, 7\}$, the first example of a dissident map on V is provided by the purely imaginary part of the structure of the real alternative division algebra \mathbb{H} respectively \mathbb{O} [18]. This dissident map $\pi : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ has in fact the very special properties of a vector product (cf. section 4, paragraph preceding Proposition 4.6). It serves as a starting-point for the production of a multitude of further dissident maps, in view of the following result.

Proposition 1.4 [6, p. 19], [8, p. 3163] *Let V be a euclidean space, endowed with a vector product $\pi : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$.*

(i) *If $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow V$ is a definite linear endomorphism, then $\varepsilon\pi : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ is dissident.*

(ii) *If $\dim V = 3$ and $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ is dissident, then there exists a unique definite linear endomorphism $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\varepsilon\pi = \eta$.*

We call *composed* dissident map any dissident map η on a euclidean space V that admits a factorization $\eta = \varepsilon\pi$ into a vector product π on V and a definite linear endomorphism ε of V . By Proposition 1.4(ii), every dissident map on a 3-dimensional euclidean space is composed. This fact leads to a complete and irredundant classification of all dissident maps on \mathbb{R}^3 [6, p. 21]. What is more, it even leads to a complete and irredundant classification of all 3-dimensional dissident triples and thus, in view of Proposition 1.1, also to a complete and irredundant classification of all 4-dimensional real quadratic division algebras. This assertion is made more precise in Proposition 1.5 below, whose formulation in turn requires further machinery.

First we need to recall the category \mathcal{K} of configurations in \mathbb{R}^3 which recurs as a central theme in the series of articles [5]–[11]. Setting $\mathcal{T} = \{d \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 0 < d_1 \leq d_2 \leq d_3\}$ we denote, for any $d \in \mathcal{T}$, by D_d the diagonal matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ with diagonal sequence d . The object set $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{T}$ is endowed with the structure of a category by declaring as morphisms $S : (x, y, d) \rightarrow (x', y', d')$ those special orthogonal matrices $S \in SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $(Sx, Sy, SD_d S^t) = (x', y', D_{d'})$. Note that the existence of a morphism $(x, y, d) \rightarrow (x', y', d')$ in \mathcal{K} implies $d = d'$. The terminology “category of configurations” originates from the geometric interpretation of \mathcal{K} obtained by identifying the objects $(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ with those configurations in \mathbb{R}^3 which are composed of a pair of points (x, y) and an ellipsoid $E_d = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z^t D_d z = 1\}$ in normal position. Then, identifying $SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ with $SO(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the morphisms $(x, y, d) \rightarrow (x', y', d')$ in \mathcal{K} are identified with those rotation symmetries of $E_d = E_{d'}$ which simultaneously send x to x' and y to y' .

Next we recall the construction $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, associating with any given configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ the dissident triple $\mathcal{G}(\kappa) = (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_x, \eta_{yd})$ defined by $\xi_x(v \wedge w) = v^t M_x w$ and $\eta_{yd}(v \wedge w) = E_{yd} \pi_3(v \wedge w)$ for all $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, where

$$M_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_{yd} = M_y + D_d = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -y_3 & y_2 \\ y_3 & d_2 & -y_1 \\ -y_2 & y_1 & d_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\pi_3 : \mathbb{R}^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes the linear isomorphism identifying the standard basis (e_1, e_2, e_3) in \mathbb{R}^3 with its associated basis $(e_2 \wedge e_3, e_3 \wedge e_1, e_1 \wedge e_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}^3$. Note that π_3 in fact is a vector product on \mathbb{R}^3 , henceforth to be referred to as the *standard* vector product on \mathbb{R}^3 (cf. section 4, paragraph preceding Proposition 4.6). We conclude with Proposition 1.4(i) that η_{yd} indeed is a dissident map on \mathbb{R}^3 . Moreover, the construction $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is functorial, acting on morphisms identically. We denote by \mathcal{D}_3 the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} formed by all 3-dimensional dissident triples.

Proposition 1.5 [11, Propositions 2.3 and 3.1] *The functor $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_3$.*

Thus the problem of classifying \mathcal{D}_3 / \simeq is equivalent to the problem of describing a cross-section \mathcal{C} for the set \mathcal{K} / \simeq of isoclasses of configurations. Such a cross-section was first presented in [5, p. 17–18] (see also [11, p. 12]).

Let us now turn to composed dissident maps on a 7-dimensional euclidean space. Although here our knowledge is not as complete as in dimension 3, we do know an exhaustive 49-parameter family and we are able to

characterize when two composed dissident maps belonging to this family are isomorphic. This assertion is made precise in Proposition 1.6 below, whose formulation once more requires further notation.

The object class of all dissident maps $\mathcal{E} = \{(V, \eta) \mid \eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V \text{ is a dissident map on a euclidean space } V\}$ is endowed with the structure of a category by declaring as morphisms $\sigma : (V, \eta) \rightarrow (V', \eta')$ those orthogonal maps $\sigma : V \rightarrow V'$ satisfying $\sigma\eta = \eta'(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$. Occasionally we simply write η to denote an object $(V, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}$. By $\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{sympos}^{7 \times 7}$ we denote the set of all pairs (Y, D) of real 7×7 -matrices such that Y is antisymmetric and D is symmetric and positive definite. The orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{R}^7)$ acts canonically on the set of all vector products π on \mathbb{R}^7 , via $\sigma \cdot \pi = \sigma\pi(\sigma^{-1} \wedge \sigma^{-1})$. By $O_\pi(\mathbb{R}^7) = \{\sigma \in O(\mathbb{R}^7) \mid \sigma \cdot \pi = \pi\}$ we denote the isotropy subgroup of $O(\mathbb{R}^7)$ associated with a fixed vector product π on \mathbb{R}^7 . By π_7 we denote the standard vector product on \mathbb{R}^7 , as defined in section 4, paragraph preceding Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 1.6 [6, p. 20], [8, p. 3164] (i) For each matrix pair $(Y, D) \in \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{sympos}^{7 \times 7}$, the linear map $\eta_{YD} : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, given by $\eta_{YD}(v \wedge w) = (Y + D)\pi_7(v \wedge w)$ for all $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7$, is a composed dissident map on \mathbb{R}^7 .

(ii) Each composed dissident map η on a 7-dimensional euclidean space is isomorphic to η_{YD} , for some matrix pair $(Y, D) \in \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{sympos}^{7 \times 7}$.

(iii) For all matrix pairs (Y, D) and (Y', D') in $\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{sympos}^{7 \times 7}$, the composed dissident maps η_{YD} and $\eta_{Y'D'}$ are isomorphic if and only if $(SY S^t, SDS^t) = (Y', D')$ for some $S \in O_{\pi_7}(\mathbb{R}^7)$.

Knowing that all dissident maps in the dimensions 0,1 and 3 are composed and observing the analogies between dissident maps in dimension 3 and composed dissident maps in dimension 7, the reader may wonder whether, even in dimension 7, every dissident map might be composed. This is not the case! The exceptional phenomenon of non-composed dissident maps, occurring in dimension 7 only, was first pointed out in [9, p. 1]. Here we shall prove it (cf. section 4), even though not along the lines sketched in [9]. Instead our proof will emerge from the investigation of doubled dissident maps, another class of dissident maps which we proceed to introduce.

Recall that the double of a real quadratic algebra A is defined by $\mathcal{V}(A) = A \times A$ with multiplication $(w, x)(y, z) = (wy - \bar{z}x, x\bar{y} + zw)$, where \bar{y}, \bar{z} denote the conjugates of y, z . The construction of doubling provides an endofunctor \mathcal{V} of the category of all real quadratic algebras, acting on morphisms by $\mathcal{V}(\varphi) = \varphi \times \varphi$.⁴ In particular, the property of being quadratic is preserved under doubling. The additional property of having no zero divisors behaves under doubling as follows.

Proposition 1.7 [7, p. 946] If A is a real quadratic division algebra and $\dim A \leq 4$, then $\mathcal{V}(A)$ is again a real quadratic division algebra.

⁴The notation “ \mathcal{V} ” originates from the german terminology “Verdoppelung”.

A real quadratic division algebra B will be called *doubled* if and only if it admits an isomorphism $B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}(A)$ for some real quadratic division algebra A . Moreover, a dissident triple (V, ξ, η) will be called *doubled* if and only if it admits an isomorphism $(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A)$ for some real quadratic division algebra A . Finally, a dissident map η will be called *doubled* if and only if it occurs as third component of a doubled dissident triple (V, ξ, η) .

We are now in the position to indicate the set-up of the present article. In section 2 we prove that the selfmap $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ induced by a dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$, introduced in [6, p. 19] and [8, p. 3163], always is bijective (Proposition 2.2). We also observe that $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear whenever η is composed dissident (Proposition 2.3). In section 3 we exhibit a 9-parameter family of linear maps $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ which exhausts all isoclasses of 7-dimensional doubled dissident maps (Proposition 3.2(i),(ii)). Regarding the problem of characterizing when two doubled dissident maps $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ are isomorphic, the criterion $\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa'$ is proved to be sufficient (Proposition 3.2(iii)) and conjectured even to be necessary (Conjecture 3.3). In section 4 we work with the exhaustive family $(\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))_{\kappa \in \mathcal{K}}$ to prove that $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear if and only if κ is formed by a double point in the origin and a sphere centred in the origin (Proposition 4.5). This implies that the dissident maps which are both composed and doubled form three isoclasses only, represented by the standard vector products on \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^7 respectively (Corollary 4.7). In section 5 we make inroads into a possible proof of Conjecture 3.3 by decomposing the given problem into several subproblems (Proposition 5.3) and solving the simplest ones among those (Propositions 5.6 and 5.7). A complete proof of Conjecture 3.3 lies beyond the frame of the present article and is therefore postponed to a future publication. In section 6 we summarize our results from the viewpoint of the problem of classifying all real quadratic division algebras (Theorem 6.1). The epilogue embeds our article into its historical context.

We shall use the following notation, conventions and terminology. We follow Bourbaki in viewing 0 as the least natural number. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\underline{n} = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. By $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ we denote the vector space of all real matrices of size $m \times n$. In writing down matrices, omitted entries are understood to be zero entries. We set $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$. The standard basis in \mathbb{R}^m is denoted by $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$, with the sole exception of Lemma 3.1 where we start with e_0 for good reasons. The columns $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ correspond to the diagonal matrices $D_y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ with diagonal sequence (y_1, \dots, y_m) . By $1_m = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ we denote the column in \mathbb{R}^m all of whose entries are 1, and by $\mathbb{I}_m = D_{1_m}$ we denote the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. By M^t we mean the transpose of a matrix M . If $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then we mean by $M_{i\bullet}$ the i -th row of M , by $M_{\bullet j}$ the j -th column of M and by M_{ij} the entry of M lying in the i -th row and in the j -th column. Moreover, $\underline{M} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ denotes the linear map given by $\underline{M}(x) = Mx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. With matrices of the

special size 7×21 we slightly deviate from this general convention in as much as we shall, for each $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 21}$, denote by $\underline{Y} : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ the linear map represented by Y in the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^7 and an associated basis of $\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7$, defined in the first paragraph of section 3. Accordingly we prefer double indices to index the column set of $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 21}$. By $[v_1, \dots, v_\ell]$ we mean the linear hull of vectors v_1, \dots, v_ℓ in a vector space V . By \mathbb{I}_X we denote the identity map on a set X . Given any category \mathcal{C} for which a function $\dim : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined, we denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by \mathcal{C}_n the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} formed by $\dim^{-1}(n)$. Nonisomorphic objects in a category will be called *heteromorphic*. Two subclasses \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of a category \mathcal{C} are called heteromorphic if and only if A and B are heteromorphic for all $(A, B) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$. We set $\mathbb{R}_{>0} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \lambda > 0\}$.

2 The selfbijection $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ induced by a dissident map η

Given any dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ and $v, w \in V$, we adopt the short notation $vw = \eta(v \wedge w)$, $vv^\perp = v(v^\perp) = \{vx \mid x \in v^\perp\}$ and $\lambda_v : V \rightarrow V$, $x \mapsto vx$. Note that $vv^\perp = v(v^\perp + [v]) = vV = \text{im}\lambda_v$. If $v \neq 0$, then the linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : V \rightarrow V$ induces a linear isomorphism $v^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} vv^\perp$, by dissidence of η . Because the hyperplane vv^\perp only depends on the line $[v]$ spanned by v , we infer that each dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ induces a well-defined selfmap $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$, $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}[v] = (vv^\perp)^\perp$ of the real projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. The investigation of $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ will be an important tool in the study of dissident maps η . Our first result in this direction is Proposition 2.2 below. Preparatory to its proof we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ be a dissident map on a euclidean space V . Then for each vector $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, the linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : V \rightarrow V$ induces a linear automorphism $\lambda_v : vv^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} vv^\perp$.*

Proof. Dissidence of η implies that $v \notin vv^\perp$. Accordingly $vv^\perp + [v] = V = v^\perp + [v]$, and therefore $\lambda_v(vv^\perp) = \lambda_v(vv^\perp + [v]) = \lambda_v(v^\perp + [v]) = \lambda_v(v^\perp) = vv^\perp$. Thus the linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : V \rightarrow V$ induces a linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : vv^\perp \rightarrow vv^\perp$ which is surjective, hence bijective. \square

Proposition 2.2 *For each dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ on a euclidean space V , the induced selfmap $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is bijective.*

Proof. Let $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ be a dissident map. If $\dim V \in \{0, 1\}$, then $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is trivially bijective. Due to Corollary 1.3 we may therefore assume that $\dim V \in \{3, 7\}$.

Suppose $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not injective. Then we may choose non-proportional vectors $v, w \in V$ such that $vv^\perp = ww^\perp$. Set $E = [v, w]$, $H = vv^\perp$ and $D = E \cap H$. The latter subspace D is non-trivial, for dimension reasons. Choose $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and write $d = \alpha v + \beta w$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$dd^\perp = (\alpha v + \beta w)V \subset vV + wV = vv^\perp + ww^\perp = H$. Equality of dimensions implies $dd^\perp = H$. Thus $d \in dd^\perp$, contradicting the dissidence of η . Hence $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is injective.

To prove that $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is surjective, let $L \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ be given. Set $H = L^\perp$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\iota} V \xrightarrow{\psi} L \longrightarrow 0$$

formed by the inclusion map ι and the orthogonal projection ψ . Then the map $\alpha : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H, L)$, $v \mapsto \psi\lambda_v\iota$ is linear and has non-trivial kernel, for dimension reasons. Thus we may choose $v \in \ker\alpha \setminus \{0\}$. Now it suffices to prove that $vv^\perp = H$. To do so, consider $I = vv^\perp \cap H$. The linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : V \rightarrow V$ induces both a linear automorphism $\lambda_v : vv^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} vv^\perp$ (Lemma 2.1) and a linear endomorphism $\lambda_v : H \rightarrow H$ (since $v \in \ker\alpha$), hence a linear automorphism $\lambda_v : I \xrightarrow{\sim} I$. If now $vv^\perp \neq H$, then $\dim I \in \{1, 5\}$ and therefore $\lambda_v : I \xrightarrow{\sim} I$ has a non-zero eigenvalue, contradicting the dissidence of η . Accordingly $vv^\perp = H$, i.e. $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}[v] = L$. \square

Following Proposition 2.2, the natural question arises whether the selfbijection $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ induced by a dissident map η is collinear.⁵ The answer turns out to depend on the isoclass of η only (Lemma 2.3). Moreover, the answer is positive for all composed dissident maps (Proposition 2.4), while for doubled dissident maps it is in general negative (Proposition 4.5).

Lemma 2.3 *If $\sigma : (V, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V', \eta')$ is an isomorphism of dissident maps, then*

- (i) $\mathbb{P}(\sigma) \circ \eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \eta'_{\mathbb{P}} \circ \mathbb{P}(\sigma)$, and
- (ii) $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear if and only if $\eta'_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear.

Proof. (i) For each $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ we have that $(\mathbb{P}(\sigma) \circ \eta_{\mathbb{P}})[v] = \sigma((\eta(v \wedge v^\perp))^\perp) = (\sigma\eta(v \wedge v^\perp))^\perp = (\eta'(\sigma(v) \wedge \sigma(v^\perp)))^\perp = \eta'_{\mathbb{P}}[\sigma(v)] = (\eta'_{\mathbb{P}} \circ \mathbb{P}(\sigma))[v]$.

(ii) Assume that $\eta'_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear. Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ be given, such that $\dim \sum_{i=1}^3 L_i = 2$. Then $\dim \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma(L_i) = 2$ and so, by hypothesis, $\dim \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta'_{\mathbb{P}}(\sigma(L_i)) = 2$. Applying (i) we conclude that $\dim \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta_{\mathbb{P}}(L_i) = \dim \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma(\eta_{\mathbb{P}}(L_i)) = \dim \sum_{i=1}^3 \eta'_{\mathbb{P}}(\sigma(L_i)) = 2$. So $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear. Conversely, working with σ^{-1} instead of σ , the collinearity of $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ implies the collinearity of $\eta'_{\mathbb{P}}$. \square

Proposition 2.4 [6, p. 19], [8, p. 3163] *For each composed dissident map η on a euclidean space V , the induced selfbijection $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is collinear. More precisely, the identity $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon^{-*})$ holds for any factorization $\eta = \varepsilon\pi$ of η into a vector product π on V and a definite linear endomorphism ε of V .*

⁵Recall that a selfbijection $\psi : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ is called *collinear* (or synonymously *collineation*) if and only if $\dim(L_1 + L_2 + L_3) = 2$ implies $\dim(\psi(L_1) + \psi(L_2) + \psi(L_3)) = 2$ for all $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. Each $\varphi \in GL(V)$ induces a collineation $\mathbb{P}(\varphi) : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$, $\mathbb{P}(\varphi)(L) = \varphi(L)$.

3 Doubled dissident maps

The standard basis $\underline{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3 \mid e_4 \mid e_5, e_6, e_7)$ in \mathbb{R}^7 gives rise to the subset $\{\pm e_i \wedge e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 7\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7$ which after any choice of signs and total order becomes a basis in $\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7$, denoted by $\underline{e} \wedge \underline{e}$. We choose signs and total order such that $\underline{e} \wedge \underline{e} = (e_{23}, e_{31}, e_{12} \mid e_{72}, e_{17}, e_{61} \mid e_{14}, e_{24}, e_{34} \mid e_{15}, e_{26}, e_{37} \mid e_{45}, e_{46}, e_{47} \mid e_{36}, e_{53}, e_{25} \mid e_{76}, e_{57}, e_{65})$, using the short notation $e_{ij} = e_i \wedge e_j$. For each matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 21}$ we denote by $\underline{Y} : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ the linear map represented by Y in the bases \underline{e} and $\underline{e} \wedge \underline{e}$.

To build up an exhaustive 9-parameter family of doubled dissident maps on \mathbb{R}^7 we start from the category \mathcal{K} of configurations in \mathbb{R}^3 , described in the introduction. For each configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ we set

$$\mathcal{Y}(\kappa) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} E_{yd} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{I}_3 & 0 & E_{yd} \\ \hline 0 & -x^t & 0 & -1_3^t & 0 & -x^t & 0 \\ \hline 0 & E_{yd} & \mathbb{I}_3 & 0 & 0 & E_{yd} & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

thus defining the map $\mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 21}$. (Recall that $E_{yd} = M_y + D_d$, $x^t = (x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3)$ and $1_3^t = (1 \ 1 \ 1)$. Note that the block-partition of $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$ corresponds to the partitions of \underline{e} and $\underline{e} \wedge \underline{e}$ respectively, indicated above by use of “|”.) Composing \mathcal{Y} with the linear isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}^{7 \times 21} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7, \mathbb{R}^7), \quad Y \mapsto \underline{Y},$$

we obtain the map

$$\underline{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7, \mathbb{R}^7), \quad \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)}.$$

Some properties of $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}$ are collected in Proposition 3.2 below. Preparatory to the proof of that we need the following lemma which analyses the sequence of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{I} & & \\ & & \longleftarrow & & \mathcal{Q}_8 \\ & & & & \uparrow \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_3 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_4 \\ & & \mathcal{G} & & \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

described in the introduction. (Recall that all of the horizontally written functors \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{I} are equivalences of categories.)

Lemma 3.1 *Each configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ determines a 4-dimensional real quadratic division algebra $A(\kappa) = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ and an 8-dimensional real quadratic division algebra $B(\kappa) = \mathcal{V}(A(\kappa))$. The latter has the following properties.*

(i) *Denoting the standard basis in $A(\kappa)$ by (e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3) , the sequence*

$\underline{b} = ((e_1, 0), (e_2, 0), (e_3, 0) \mid (0, e_0) \mid (0, e_1), (0, e_2), (0, e_3))$ in $B(\kappa)$ is an orthonormal basis for the purely imaginary hyperplane V in $B(\kappa)$.

(ii) The linear form $\xi(\kappa) : V \wedge V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\xi(\kappa)(v \wedge w) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho(vw - wv)$ depends on x only and is represented in \underline{b} by the matrix

$$\mathcal{X}(x) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} M_x & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -M_x \end{array} \right).$$

(iii) The dissident map $\eta(\kappa) : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$, $\eta(\kappa)(v \wedge w) = \iota(vw)$ is represented in \underline{b} and $\underline{b} \wedge \underline{b}$ by the matrix $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$.

(iv) The orthogonal isomorphism $\sigma : V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^7$ identifying \underline{b} with the standard basis \underline{e} in \mathbb{R}^7 is an isomorphism of dissident triples

$$\sigma : \mathcal{I}(B(\kappa)) = (V, \xi(\kappa), \eta(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)),$$

where $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x) : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x)(v \wedge w) = v^t \mathcal{X}(x)w$.

Proof. (i) The identity element in $B(\kappa)$ is $1_{B(\kappa)} = (e_0, 0)$, by construction. Hence $(1_{B(\kappa)}, b_1, \dots, b_7) = ((e_0, 0), \dots, (e_3, 0), (0, e_0), \dots, (0, e_3))$ is the standard basis in $B(\kappa)$. Again by construction we have that $b_i^2 = -1_{B(\kappa)}$ for all $i \in \underline{7}$, and $b_i b_j + b_j b_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 7$. Hence \underline{b} is an orthonormal basis in V .

(ii) By the matrix representing $\xi(\kappa)$ in \underline{b} we mean $(\xi(\kappa)(b_i \wedge b_j))_{ij \in \underline{7}^2} \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$. A routine verification shows that $\xi(\kappa)(b_i \wedge b_j) = \mathcal{X}(x)_{ij}$ holds indeed for all $ij \in \underline{7}^2$. For example, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ we find that $\xi(\kappa)(b_i \wedge b_j) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho(b_i b_j - b_j b_i) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho((e_i, 0)(e_j, 0) - (e_j, 0)(e_i, 0)) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho((e_i e_j, 0) - (e_j e_i, 0)) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_x(e_i \wedge e_j) - \xi_x(e_j \wedge e_i)) = (M_x)_{ij} = \mathcal{X}(x)_{ij}$.

(iii) The basis $\underline{b} \wedge \underline{b}$ in $V \wedge V$ is understood to arise from \underline{b} just as $\underline{e} \wedge \underline{e}$ was explained to arise from \underline{e} . It is therefore appropriate to index the column set of $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$ by the sequence of double indices $I = (23, 31, 12 \mid 72, 17, 61 \mid 14, 24, 34 \mid 15, 26, 37 \mid 45, 46, 47 \mid 36, 53, 25 \mid 76, 57, 65)$. Accordingly we denote by $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{hij}$ the entry of $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$ situated in row $h \in \underline{7}$ and column $ij \in I$. Assertion (iii) thus means that $\eta(\kappa)(b_i \wedge b_j) = \sum_{h=1}^7 \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{hij} b_h$ holds for all $ij \in I$. The validity of this system of equations is checked by routine calculations. To present a sample, we find that $\eta(\kappa)(b_2 \wedge b_3) = \iota(b_2 b_3) = \iota((e_2, 0)(e_3, 0)) = \iota(e_2 e_3, 0) = \iota((\xi_x(e_2 \wedge e_3), \eta_{yd}(e_2 \wedge e_3)), 0) = (\eta_{yd}(e_2 \wedge e_3), 0) = (E_{yd} e_1, 0) = (d_1 e_1 + y_3 e_2 - y_2 e_3, 0) = d_1 b_1 + y_3 b_2 - y_2 b_3 = \sum_{h=1}^7 \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{h23} b_h$.

(iv) The identity $\mathcal{I}(B(\kappa)) = (V, \xi(\kappa), \eta(\kappa))$ holds by definition of the functor \mathcal{I} . The statements (ii) and (iii) can be rephrased in terms of the identities $\xi(\kappa) = \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x)(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$ and $\sigma \eta(\kappa) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$, thus establishing (iv). \square

Proposition 3.2 (i) For each configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$, the linear map $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ is a doubled dissident map on \mathbb{R}^7 .

(ii) Each doubled dissident map η on a 7-dimensional euclidean space is isomorphic to $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$, for some configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$.

(iii) If κ and κ' are isomorphic configurations in \mathcal{K} , then $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ are isomorphic doubled dissident maps.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 3.1(iv) we know that $A(\kappa) \in \mathcal{Q}_4$ such that

$$(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A(\kappa))$$

which establishes that $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ is a doubled dissident map.

(ii) For each doubled dissident map $\eta : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ there exist, by definition, an algebra $A \in \mathcal{Q}_4$ and a linear form $\xi : V \wedge V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A)$. Moreover, by Propositions 1.1 and 1.5 there exists a configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $A \xrightarrow{\sim} A(\kappa)$. Applying the composed functor \mathcal{IV} and Lemma 3.1(iv), we obtain the sequence of isomorphisms

$$(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$$

which, forgetting about the second components, yields the desired isomorphism of doubled dissident maps $(V, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$.

(iii) Given any isomorphism of configurations $\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa'$, we apply the composed functor \mathcal{IVHG} and Lemma 3.1(iv) to obtain the sequence of isomorphisms

$$(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IVHG}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IVHG}(\kappa') \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x'), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa'))$$

which, forgetting about the second components, yields the desired isomorphism of doubled dissident maps $(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa'))$. \square

We conjecture that even the converse of Proposition 3.2(iii) holds true.

Conjecture 3.3 *If κ and κ' are configurations in \mathcal{K} such that $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ are isomorphic, then κ and κ' are isomorphic.*

Denoting by \mathcal{E}^d the full subcategory of \mathcal{E} formed by all doubled dissident maps, Proposition 3.2 can be rephrased by stating that the map $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7, \mathbb{R}^7)$ induces a map $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_7^d$ which in turn induces a surjection $\overline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}} : \mathcal{K}/\simeq \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_7^d/\simeq$. The validity of Conjecture 3.3 would imply that $\overline{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ in fact is a bijection. This in turn would solve the problem of classifying all doubled dissident maps because, starting from the known cross-section \mathcal{C} for \mathcal{K}/\simeq (cf. [5, p. 17-18], [11, p. 12]), we would obtain the cross-section $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{C})$ for \mathcal{E}_7^d/\simeq .

The obstacle in proving Conjecture 3.3 arises from the fact that the doubling functor $\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{Q}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8$ indeed is faithful, but not full. Nevertheless there is evidence for the truth of Conjecture 3.3 (cf. section 5).

4 Doubled dissident maps η with collinear $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$

While we already know that the object class \mathcal{E}_7^d is exhausted by a 9-parameter family (Proposition 3.2), the main result of the present section asserts that the subclass $\{(V, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_7^d \mid \eta_{\mathbb{P}} \text{ is collinear}\}$ is exhausted by a single 1-parameter family, and that $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$ holds for each (V, η) in this subclass (Proposition 4.5). The proof of that rests on a series of four preparatory lemmas investigating the selfbijection $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^7) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ induced by the doubled dissident map $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) : \mathbb{R}^7 \wedge \mathbb{R}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$. The entire present section forms a streamlined version of [19, p. 8-12].

We introduce the short notation $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij} = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(e_i \wedge e_j)$, for all $ij \in \underline{7}^2$. It relates to the column notation for $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$, explained in the proof of Lemma 3.1(iii), through

$$\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{\bullet ij} & \text{if } ij \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ -\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{\bullet ji} & \text{if } ij \notin I \wedge i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Moreover we denote by $(v_1 : \dots : v_7)$ the line $[v]$ spanned by $(v_1 \dots v_7)^t \in \mathbb{R}^7 \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 4.1 *For each configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$, the selfbijection $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}} : \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^7) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ acts on the coordinate axes $[e_1], \dots, [e_7]$ as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_1] &= (y_1^2 + d_2 d_3 : y_1 y_2 + y_3 d_3 : y_1 y_3 - y_2 d_2 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_2] &= (y_1 y_2 - y_3 d_3 : y_2^2 + d_1 d_3 : y_2 y_3 + y_1 d_1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_3] &= (y_1 y_3 + y_2 d_2 : y_2 y_3 - y_1 d_1 : y_3^2 + d_1 d_2 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0) \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_4] &= [e_4] \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_5] &= (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : y_1^2 + d_2 d_3 : y_1 y_2 + y_3 d_3 : y_1 y_3 - y_2 d_2) \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_6] &= (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : y_1 y_2 - y_3 d_3 : y_2^2 + d_1 d_3 : y_2 y_3 + y_1 d_1) \\ \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_7] &= (0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : y_1 y_3 + y_2 d_2 : y_2 y_3 - y_1 d_1 : y_3^2 + d_1 d_2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition of $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ we obtain for each $i \in \underline{7}$ that $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_i] = (\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(e_i \wedge e_i^\perp))^\perp = [\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(e_i \wedge e_j)]_{j \in \underline{7} \setminus \{i\}}^\perp = [\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij}]_{j \in \underline{7} \setminus \{i\}}^\perp$. Reading off the columns on the matrix $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$, the identity $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_4] = [e_4]$ falls out directly, whereas for all $i \in \underline{7} \setminus \{4\}$ the calculation of $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_i]$ quickly boils down to the formation of the vector product in \mathbb{R}^3 of two columns of the matrix E_{yd} , resulting in the claimed identities. \square

We introduce the selfmap $\hat{?} : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, $M \mapsto \hat{M}$ on setting

$$\hat{M} = (\pi_3(M_{\bullet 2} \wedge M_{\bullet 3}) \mid \pi_3(M_{\bullet 3} \wedge M_{\bullet 1}) \mid \pi_3(M_{\bullet 1} \wedge M_{\bullet 2})),$$

where π_3 denotes the standard vector product on \mathbb{R}^3 (cf. introduction). In

particular, every configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ determines a matrix

$$\hat{E}_{yd} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^2 + d_2 d_3 & y_1 y_2 - y_3 d_3 & y_1 y_3 + y_2 d_2 \\ y_1 y_2 + y_3 d_3 & y_2^2 + d_1 d_3 & y_2 y_3 - y_1 d_1 \\ y_1 y_3 - y_2 d_2 & y_2 y_3 + y_1 d_1 & y_3^2 + d_1 d_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.2 *If $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $K \in GL_7(\mathbb{R})$ are related by the identity $\mathbb{P}(\underline{K}) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$, then there exist scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$K = \beta \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{yd} & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \hat{E}_{yd} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Evaluating $\mathbb{P}(\underline{K}) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $[e_i]$ for any $i \in \underline{7}$, we obtain $[K_{\bullet i}] = \mathbb{P}(\underline{K})[e_i] = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_i]$ which, together with Lemma 4.1, implies the existence of scalars $c_1, \dots, c_7 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{yd} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \hat{E}_{yd} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & c_7 \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

Evaluating $\mathbb{P}(\underline{K}) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $[e_i + e_j]$ for any $ij \in \underline{7}^2$ such that $i < j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [K_{\bullet i} + K_{\bullet j}] &= \mathbb{P}(\underline{K})[e_i + e_j] = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}[e_i + e_j] \\ &= (\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)((e_i + e_j) \wedge (e_i + e_j)^\perp))^\perp \\ &= [\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)((e_i + e_j) \wedge (e_i - e_j)), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)((e_i + e_j) \wedge e_k)]_{k \in \underline{7} \setminus \{i, j\}}^\perp \\ &= [\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij}, \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ik} + \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{jk}]_{k \in \underline{7} \setminus \{i, j\}}^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$(K_{\bullet i} + K_{\bullet j})^t (\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij} \mid \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ik} + \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{jk})_{k \in \underline{7} \setminus \{i, j\}} = 0 \quad (*)_{ij}$$

Substituting $K_{\bullet i} + K_{\bullet j}$ by means of (*), the complicated looking system of polynomial equations $(*)_{ij}$ gets a very simple interpretation. Namely, straightforward verifications show that $(*)_{ij}$ is equivalent to $c_i = c_j$ for all $ij \in \{12, 23, 56, 67\}$, while $(*)_{35}$ is equivalent to $c_3 = c_5 \wedge y_2 = 0$. Summarizing, we obtain $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_5 = c_6 = c_7$ which, together with (*), completes the proof on setting $\alpha = \frac{c_4}{c_1}$ and $\beta = c_1$. \square

Lemma 4.3 *If $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $K = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{yd} & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \hat{E}_{yd} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_7(\mathbb{R})$ are related by $\mathbb{P}(\underline{K}) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$, then $(x, y, d) = (0, 0, d_1 1_3)$ and $K = d_1^2 \mathbb{I}_7$.*

Proof. The system of polynomial equations $(*)_{ij}$ derived in the previous proof is still valid for each $ij \in \underline{7}^2$ such that $i < j$. Elimination of α from $(*)_{ij}$ for selected values of ij reveals the following conditions imposed on κ .

$$(*)_{14} \text{ implies } \begin{cases} x_2(y_1^2 + d_2d_3) = y_1y_3 - y_2d_2 & (1) \\ x_3(y_1^2 + d_2d_3) = -y_1y_2 - y_3d_3 & (2) \end{cases}$$

$$(*)_{24} \text{ implies } \begin{cases} x_1(y_2^2 + d_1d_3) = -y_2y_3 - y_1d_1 & (3) \\ x_3(y_2^2 + d_1d_3) = y_1y_2 - y_3d_3 & (4) \end{cases}$$

$$(*)_{34} \text{ implies } \begin{cases} x_1(y_3^2 + d_1d_2) = y_2y_3 - y_1d_1 & (5) \\ x_2(y_3^2 + d_1d_2) = -y_1y_3 - y_2d_2 & (6) \end{cases}$$

$$(*)_{45} \text{ implies } \begin{cases} x_2(y_1^2 + d_2d_3) = -y_1y_3 + y_2d_2 & (7) \\ x_3(y_1^2 + d_2d_3) = y_1y_2 + y_3d_3 & (8) \end{cases}$$

$$(*)_{46} \text{ implies } \begin{cases} x_1(y_2^2 + d_1d_3) = y_2y_3 + y_1d_1 & (9) \\ x_3(y_2^2 + d_1d_3) = -y_1y_2 + y_3d_3 & (10) \end{cases}$$

Now (3) + (9) implies $x_1 = 0$ which in turn, combined with (3) + (5), implies $y_1 = 0$. Similarly (1) \wedge (7) \wedge (6) implies $x_2 = y_2 = 0$, and (2) \wedge (8) \wedge (4) implies $x_3 = y_3 = 0$. So $x = y = 0$.

Evaluating $\mathbb{P}(\underline{K}) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ in $[\sum_{i=1}^4 e_i]$ and working with $(\sum_{i=1}^4 e_i)^\perp = [e_1 - e_j, e_k]_{\substack{j=2,3,4 \\ k=5,6,7}}$ we obtain, arguing as in the previous proof, the system

$$(\sum_{i=1}^4 K_{\bullet i})^t (\sum_{i=1}^4 (\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{i1} - \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ij}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{Y}(\kappa)_{ik})_{\substack{j=2,3,4 \\ k=5,6,7}} = 0 \quad (*)_{\underline{4}}$$

Reading off the involved columns from the matrices K and $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa)$, and taking into account that $x = y = 0$, we find that $(*)_{\underline{4}}$ is equivalent to $d_2d_3 = d_1d_3 = d_1d_2 = \alpha$. This proves both $d_1 = d_2 = d_3$ and $K = d_1^2 \mathbb{I}_7$. \square

In addition to the 3×3 -matrices M_x and $E_{yd} = M_y + D_d$ which we so far repeatedly associated with a given configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$, we introduce now as well the 3×3 -matrix

$$F_{yd} = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 - d_2 & y_3 & y_2 \\ -y_3 & d_3 - d_1 & -y_1 \\ y_2 & y_1 & d_2 - d_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, with any $v \in \mathbb{R}^7$ we associate $v_{<4} = (v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3)^t$ and $v_{>4} = (v_5 \ v_6 \ v_7)^t$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma 4.4 *For each configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ and for each $v \in \mathbb{R}^7$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) $\langle \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(u \wedge v), w \rangle = \langle u, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge w) \rangle$ for all $(u, w) \in \mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7$.

$$(ii) \begin{cases} M_x v_{<4} = M_x v_{>4} = 0 \\ D_y v_{<4} = D_y v_{>4} = 0 \\ F_{yd} v_{<4} = F_{yd} v_{>4} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The given data κ and v determine a linear endomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)$ on \mathbb{R}^7 which is represented in $\underline{\mathcal{e}}$ by a matrix $L_{\kappa v} \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7}$. Assertion (i) holds if and only if $L_{\kappa v}$ is antisymmetric. Writing down $L_{\kappa v}$ explicitly, a closer look

reveals (by elementary but lengthy arguments) that $L_{\kappa v}$ is antisymmetric if and only if the system (ii) is valid. \square

Proposition 4.5 *For each doubled dissident map η on a 7-dimensional euclidean space V and for each configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear.
- (ii) $\kappa = (0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ for some $\lambda > 0$.
- (iii) $\langle \eta(u \wedge v), w \rangle = \langle u, \eta(v \wedge w) \rangle$ for all $(u, v, w) \in V^3$.
- (iv) $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear then $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$ is collinear, by Lemma 2.3(ii). Hence we may apply the fundamental theorem of projective geometry (cf. [2, p. 88]) which asserts the existence of an invertible matrix $K \in GL_7(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathbb{P}(K) = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)_{\mathbb{P}}$. According to Lemma 4.2 we may assume that

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{yd} & & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \hat{E}_{yd} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. With Lemma 4.3 we conclude that $\kappa = (0, 0, d_1 1_3)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If κ is of the special form $(x, y, d) = (0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$, then $M_x = D_y = F_{yd} = 0$. Thus (iii) holds for $\eta = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$, by Lemma 4.4. Consequently (iii) also holds for each $(V, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_7^d$ admitting an isomorphism $\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). If $(V, \eta) \in \mathcal{E}_7^d$ satisfies (iii), then we obtain in particular for all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $w \in v^\perp$ that $\langle v, \eta(v \wedge w) \rangle = \langle \eta(v \wedge v), w \rangle = 0$. This means $\eta(v \wedge v^\perp) = v^\perp$, or equivalently $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}[v] = [v]$. So $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) is trivially true. \square

Recall that a *vector product* on a euclidean space V is, by definition, a linear map $\pi : V \wedge V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the conditions

- (a) $\langle \pi(u \wedge v), w \rangle = \langle u, \pi(v \wedge w) \rangle$ for all $(u, v, w) \in V^3$, and
- (b) $|\pi(u \wedge v)| = 1$ for all orthonormal pairs $(u, v) \in V^2$.

Every vector product is a dissident map. More precisely, the equivalence of categories $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ (Proposition 1.1) induces an equivalence between the full subcategories $\{(V, \xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{D} \mid \xi = o \text{ and } \eta \text{ is a vector product}\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{Q} \mid A \text{ is alternative}\}$ (cf. [18]). Moreover, famous theorems of Frobenius [12] and Zorn [23] assert that \mathcal{A} is classified by $\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$ (cf. [16],[17]). Accordingly there exist four isoclasses of vector products only, one in each of the dimensions 0,1,3 and 7. We call *standard* vector products the chosen representatives $\pi_m : \mathbb{R}^m \wedge \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$, defined by $\pi_0 = o$, $\pi_1 = o$, $(\pi_3(e_2 \wedge e_3), \pi_3(e_3 \wedge e_1), \pi_3(e_1 \wedge e_2)) = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ and $\pi_7 = \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, 1_3)$.

Proposition 4.6 *For each doubled dissident map η on a 7-dimensional euclidean space V and for each configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$, the*

following statements are equivalent.

- (i) η is composed.
- (ii) $\kappa = (0, 0, 1_3)$.
- (iii) η is a vector product.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If η admits a factorization $\eta = \varepsilon\pi$ into a vector product π on V and a definite linear endomorphism ε of V , then $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon^{-*})$ is collinear, by Proposition 2.4. Applying Proposition 4.5 we conclude that $\kappa = (0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\eta_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}$. Hence $\varepsilon = \mu \mathbb{I}_V$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and therefore $\eta = \mu\pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$. Accordingly we obtain for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 7$ that $|\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)(e_i \wedge e_j)| = |\mu|$. Special choices of (i, j) yield $\lambda = |\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)(e_1 \wedge e_2)| = |\mu| = |\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)(e_3 \wedge e_4)| = 1$, proving that $\kappa = (0, 0, 1_3)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If $\kappa = (0, 0, 1_3)$, then we derive with Lemma 3.1 the sequence of isomorphisms

$$(V, o, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, o, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, 1_3)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}(B(0, 0, 1_3)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{O}).$$

Because \mathbb{O} is a real alternative division algebra, η is a vector product (cf. [18]).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivially true, since $\eta = \mathbb{I}_V \eta$. \square

Corollary 4.7 *The class of all dissident maps on a euclidean space which are both composed and doubled coincides with the class of all vector products on a non-zero euclidean space. This object class constitutes three isoclasses, represented by the standard vector products π_1 , π_3 and π_7 .*

Proof. Let η be a dissident map on V which is both composed and doubled. Being doubled dissident means, by definition, that $(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A)$ for some linear form $\xi : V \wedge V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some real quadratic division algebra A . Since $\dim V \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$ and $\dim A \in \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ are related by $\dim V = 2 \dim A - 1$, we infer that $\dim V \in \{1, 3, 7\}$ and $\dim A \in \{1, 2, 4\}$. If $\dim V = 1$, then $(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^1, o, \pi_1)$ holds trivially. With Proposition 1.1 we conclude that $\{\mathbb{C}\}$ classifies \mathcal{Q}_2 . Hence if $\dim V = 3$, then

$$(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^3, o, \pi_3).$$

Finally if $\dim V = 7$, then we conclude with Proposition 4.6 directly that η is a vector product.

Conversely, let π be a vector product on a non-zero euclidean space V . Then $\pi = \mathbb{I}_V \pi$ is trivially composed dissident. Moreover $B = \mathcal{H}(V, o, \pi)$ is a real alternative division algebra such that $\dim B \geq 2$. Hence B is isomorphic to one of the representatives $\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathbb{O} = \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{H})$. Accordingly $(V, o, \pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IH}(V, o, \pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{IV}(A)$ for some $A \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$, proving that π also is doubled dissident. \square

Let us record two interesting features that are implicit in the preceding

results. Whereas η composed dissident always implies $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ collinear (Proposition 2.4), the converse is in general not true. Namely each of the doubled dissident maps $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$, $\lambda > 0$ induces the collinear selfbijection $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^7)}$ (Proposition 4.5), while $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ is composed dissident if and only if $\lambda = 1$ (Proposition 4.6).

Moreover we have already obtained two sufficient criteria for the heteromorphism of doubled dissident maps, in terms of their underlying configurations.

(1) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{(0, 0, \lambda 1_3) \mid \lambda > 0\}$, then $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) \not\sim \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

(2) If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \{1\}$, then $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, \lambda 1_3) \not\sim \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(0, 0, 1_3)$.

Indeed, (1) follows from Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 2.3(ii), while (2) follows from Proposition 4.6. The next section is devoted to refinements of the sufficient criteria (1) and (2).

5 On the isomorphism problem for doubled dissident maps

With any dissident map η on a euclidean space V we associate the subspace $V_{\eta} = \{v \in V \mid \langle \eta(u \wedge v), w \rangle = \langle u, \eta(v \wedge w) \rangle \text{ for all } (u, w) \in V^2\}$ of V . Dissident maps (V, η) with $V_{\eta} = V$ are called *weak* vector products [9]. In general, the subspace $V_{\eta} \subset V$ measures how close η comes to being a weak vector product. The investigation of V_{η} proves to be useful in our search for refined sufficient criteria for the heteromorphism of doubled dissident maps.

Lemma 5.1 *Each isomorphism of dissident maps $\sigma : (V, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V', \eta')$ induces an isomorphism of euclidean spaces $\sigma : V_{\eta} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_{\eta'}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : (V, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V', \eta')$ be an isomorphism of dissident maps. If $v \in V_{\eta}$, then we obtain for all $u, w \in V$ the chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta'(\sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)), \sigma(w) \rangle' &= \langle \sigma \eta(u \wedge v), \sigma(w) \rangle' = \langle \eta(u \wedge v), w \rangle \\ &\quad \parallel \\ \langle \sigma(u), \eta'(\sigma(v) \wedge \sigma(w)) \rangle' &= \langle \sigma(u), \sigma \eta(v \wedge w) \rangle' = \langle u, \eta(v \wedge w) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\sigma(v) \in V'_{\eta'}$. So σ induces a morphism of euclidean spaces $\sigma : V_{\eta} \rightarrow V'_{\eta'}$. Applying the same argument to $\sigma^{-1} : (V', \eta') \xrightarrow{\sim} (V, \eta)$, we find that the induced morphism $\sigma : V_{\eta} \rightarrow V'_{\eta'}$ is an isomorphism. \square

We proceed by determining the subspace $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$, for any configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$. The description of the outcome will be simplified by partitioning \mathcal{K} into the pairwise disjoint subsets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_7 &= \{(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x = y = 0 \wedge d_1 = d_2 = d_3\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_{31} &= \{(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x \neq 0 \wedge y = 0 \wedge d_1 = d_2 = d_3\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_{32} &= \{(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x_1 = x_2 = 0 \wedge y = 0 \wedge d_1 = d_2 < d_3\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_{33} &= \{(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x_2 = x_3 = 0 \wedge y = 0 \wedge d_1 < d_2 = d_3\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_{34} &= \{(x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x \in [x_{yd}] \wedge y = \pm \varrho_d e_2 \wedge d_1 < d_2 < d_3\}, \\
\mathcal{K}_1 &= \mathcal{K} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_7 \cup \mathcal{K}_{31} \cup \mathcal{K}_{32} \cup \mathcal{K}_{33} \cup \mathcal{K}_{34}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the definition of \mathcal{K}_{34} we used the notation $x_{yd} = (-y_2 \ 0 \ d_3 - d_2)^t$ and $\varrho_d = \sqrt{(d_3 - d_2)(d_2 - d_1)}$. We introduce moreover the linear injections $\iota_{<4} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, $\iota_{<4}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_i$ and $\iota_{>4} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, $\iota_{>4}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i e_{4+i}$ identifying \mathbb{R}^3 with the first respectively last factor of $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^7$.

Lemma 5.2 *The subspace $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$, determined by any configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$, admits the following description.*

- (i) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_7$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = \mathbb{R}^7$.
- (ii) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_{31}$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = [\iota_{<4}(x), e_4, \iota_{>4}(x)]$.
- (iii) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_{32}$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = [e_3, e_4, e_7]$.
- (iv) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_{33}$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = [e_1, e_4, e_5]$.
- (v) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_{34}$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = [\iota_{<4}(x_{yd}), e_4, \iota_{>4}(x_{yd})]$.
- (vi) If $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_1$ then $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = [e_4]$.

Proof. The statements (i)–(vi) are easy consequences of Lemma 4.4, by straightforward linear algebraic arguments. \square

Let us introduce the map $\delta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$, $\delta(\kappa) = \dim \mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7$. Moreover we set $\mathcal{K}_3 = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{K}_{3i}$.

Proposition 5.3 (i) *The image and the nonempty fibres of δ are given by $im\delta = \{1, 3, 7\}$ and $\delta^{-1}(m) = \mathcal{K}_m$ for all $m \in \{1, 3, 7\}$.*

(ii) *If κ and κ' are configurations in \mathcal{K} such that $\underline{y}(\kappa)$ and $\underline{y}(\kappa')$ are isomorphic, then $\{\kappa, \kappa'\} \subset \mathcal{K}_m$ for a uniquely determined index $m \in \{1, 3, 7\}$.*

Proof. (i) can be read off directly from Lemma 5.2.

(ii) If $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfy $\underline{y}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{y}(\kappa')$, then we conclude with Lemma 5.1 that $\delta(\kappa) = \dim \mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa)}^7 = \dim \mathbb{R}_{\underline{y}(\kappa')}^7 = \delta(\kappa')$. Setting $m = \delta(\kappa) = \delta(\kappa')$ we obtain by means of (i) that $\mathcal{K}_m = \delta^{-1}(\delta(\kappa)) = \delta^{-1}(\delta(\kappa'))$, hence $\{\kappa, \kappa'\} \subset \mathcal{K}_m$. The uniqueness of m follows again from (i). \square

Proposition 5.3(ii) decomposes the problem of proving Conjecture 3.3 into the three pairwise disjoint subproblems which one obtains by restricting \mathcal{K} to the subsets $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_3$ and \mathcal{K}_7 respectively. In the present article we content ourselves with solving the subproblem given by \mathcal{K}_7 (Proposition 5.6), along with a slightly weakened version of the subproblem given by

\mathcal{K}_{31} (Proposition 5.7). The proofs of Propositions 5.6 and 5.7 make use of the preparatory Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 which in turn rest upon the following elementary observation.

Given any configuration $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, the linear endomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)$ of \mathbb{R}^7 has kernel $[v]$ and induces an antisymmetric linear automorphism of v^\perp . Accordingly there exist an orthonormal basis \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^7 and an ascending triple t of positive real numbers $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3$ such that $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)$ is represented in \underline{b} by the matrix

$$N_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & \\ & 0 & -t_1 & & & & \\ & t_1 & 0 & & & & \\ & & & 0 & -t_2 & & \\ & & & t_2 & 0 & & \\ & & & & & 0 & -t_3 \\ & & & & & t_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $t \in \mathcal{T}$ (see introduction) is uniquely determined by the given data $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$. We express this by introducing for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ the map $\tau_\kappa : \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, $\tau_\kappa(v) = t$.

Lemma 5.4 *Let κ and κ' be configurations in \mathcal{K} . If $\sigma : \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ is an isomorphism of dissident maps, then the identity $\tau_{\kappa'}\sigma(v) = \tau_\kappa(v)$ holds for all $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $\sigma : \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ be an isomorphism of dissident maps. Then σ induces a bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, by Lemma 5.1. Given $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, set $\tau_\kappa(v) = t$. This means that the linear endomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)$ of \mathbb{R}^7 is represented in some orthonormal basis \underline{b} in \mathbb{R}^7 by N_t . Accordingly the linear endomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')(\sigma(v) \wedge ?)$ of \mathbb{R}^7 is represented in the orthonormal basis $\sigma(\underline{b}) = (\sigma(b_1), \dots, \sigma(b_7))$ in \mathbb{R}^7 by N_t as well. Hence $\tau_{\kappa'}\sigma(v) = t = \tau_\kappa(v)$. \square

In order to exploit Lemma 5.4 we need explicit descriptions of the maps τ_κ . These we shall attain as follows. Given $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $L_{\kappa v}$ the antisymmetric matrix representing $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)$ in the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^7 . Subtle calculations with $L_{\kappa v}^2$ will reveal the eigenspace decomposition of v^\perp with respect to the symmetric linear automorphism which $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)(v \wedge ?)^2$ induces on v^\perp . This insight being obtained, the aspired explicit formula for $\tau_\kappa(v)$ falls out trivially. The two cases to which we restrict ourselves in the present article are covered by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *If $\kappa = (x_1 e_1, 0, \lambda 1_3) \in \mathcal{K}$ with $x_1 \geq 0$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}_{\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)}^7 \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$\tau_\kappa(v) = \begin{cases} (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, |v|) & \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \\ (|v|, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < \infty \end{cases},$$

where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\lambda^2(|v_{<4}|^2 + |v_{>4}|^2) + v_4^2}$.

Proof. If κ and v are given as in the statement, then

$$L_{\kappa v} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \lambda M_{v_{<4}} & -v_{>4} & v_{<4} \mathbb{I}_3 - \lambda M_{v_{>4}} \\ \hline -(v_{>4})^t & 0 & -(v_{<4})^t \\ \hline -v_4 \mathbb{I}_3 - \lambda M_{v_{>4}} & v_{<4} & -\lambda M_{v_{<4}} \end{array} \right).$$

Observing that $M_a b = \pi_3(a \wedge b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and using both Graßmann identity and Jacobi identity for π_3 , one derives the identity (*)

$$L_{\kappa v}^2 w = -\varepsilon^2 w + (1 - \lambda^2) \left(w_4(v_4 v - |v|^2 e_4) + \begin{vmatrix} v_{<4} & w_{<4} \\ v_{>4} & w_{>4} \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{>4} \\ 0 \\ -v_{<4} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for all $w \in v^\perp$, where $\begin{vmatrix} v_{<4} & w_{<4} \\ v_{>4} & w_{>4} \end{vmatrix} = \langle v_{<4}, w_{>4} \rangle - \langle v_{>4}, w_{<4} \rangle$. Denote by E_α

the eigenspace in v^\perp corresponding to a nonzero eigenvalue α of $L_{\kappa v}^2$. The eigenspace decomposition of v^\perp with respect to $L_{\kappa v}^2$ is now easily read off from (*). If $\lambda = 1$ or $v \in [e_4] \setminus \{0\}$, then $v^\perp = E_{-|v|^2}$. If $\lambda \neq 1$ and $v \notin [e_4]$, then $v^\perp = E_{-|v|^2} \oplus E_{-\varepsilon^2}$, where $E_{-|v|^2} = [v_4 v - |v|^2 e_4, \iota_{<4}(v_{>4}) - \iota_{>4}(v_{<4})]$ is 2-dimensional. This information results in the claimed description of $\tau_\kappa(v)$. \square

Proposition 5.6 *If $\kappa = (0, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ and $\kappa' = (0, 0, \lambda' 1_3)$ are configurations in \mathcal{K}_7 such that the dissident maps $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ are isomorphic, then $\lambda = \lambda'$.*

Proof. Let κ and κ' be given as in the statement and let $\sigma : \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ be an isomorphism of dissident maps. We may assume that $\lambda' \neq 1$. Applying Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 to $v = e_4$ we obtain $\tau_{\kappa'} \sigma(e_4) = \tau_\kappa(e_4) = (1, 1, 1)$. This implies $\sigma(e_4) = \pm e_4$ and hence $\sigma(e_4^\perp) = e_4^\perp$. Applying the same two lemmas now to any $v \in e_4^\perp$ with $|v| = 1$, we deduce that $\{1, \lambda\} = \{1, \lambda'\}$, hence $\lambda = \lambda'$. \square

Proposition 5.7 *If $\kappa = (x, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ and $\kappa' = (x', 0, \lambda' 1_3)$ are configurations in \mathcal{K}_{31} such that the dissident triples $(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$ and $(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x'), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa'))$ are isomorphic, then κ and κ' are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_7$ be the composed functor $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}$ (cf. introduction) and recall from Lemma 3.1(iv) that $\mathcal{F}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$ holds for all $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$. In particular $\kappa = (x, 0, \lambda 1_3) \in \mathcal{K}_{31}$, then we choose $\kappa_n = (|x|e_1, 0, \lambda 1_3) \in \mathcal{K}_{31}$ as its normal form. Any $R \in SO_3(\mathbb{R})$ with $Rx = |x|e_1$ is an isomorphism $R : \kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa_n$ in \mathcal{K} , determining an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(R) : \mathcal{F}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\kappa_n)$ in \mathcal{D}_7 . This observation reduces the proof of Proposition 5.7 to the special case where both κ and κ' are in normal form.

So let $\kappa = (x, 0, \lambda 1_3)$ and $\kappa' = (x', 0, \lambda' 1_3)$ be configurations in \mathcal{K}_{31} satisfying $x = x_1 e_1$ with $x_1 > 0$ and $x' = x'_1 e_1$ with $x'_1 > 0$. Moreover, let $\sigma : (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x'), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa'))$ be an isomorphism of dissident triples, i.e. an isomorphism of dissident maps $\sigma : \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa')$ which in addition satisfies $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x) = \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x')(\sigma \wedge \sigma)$. Applying Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 just as in the previous proof, the first property implies $\lambda = \lambda'$. The second property is equivalent to $S\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x)S^t = \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x')$, where $S \in O_7(\mathbb{R})$ is the matrix representing σ in \underline{e} . Accordingly the eigenvalues of $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x)^2$ and the eigenvalues of $\underline{\mathcal{X}}(x')^2$ coincide. In view of Lemma 3.1(ii) this means that $\{0, -x_1^2\} = \{0, -(x'_1)^2\}$, hence $x_1 = x'_1$. \square

6 On the classification of real quadratic division algebras

So far we strongly emphasized the viewpoint of dissident maps. However, in view of Proposition 1.1, any insight gained into dissident maps entails insight into real quadratic division algebras. Let us now bring in the harvest and summarize what the results of the previous sections mean for the problem of classifying all real quadratic division algebras.

To this end we need to introduce more terminology and notation. Let B be a real quadratic division algebra, with corresponding dissident triple $\mathcal{I}(B) = (V, \xi, \eta)$ (cf. introduction). We call B *disguised doubled* in case η is doubled, and we call B *composed* in case η is composed. Furthermore we denote by \mathcal{Q}_8^d , \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd} and \mathcal{Q}_8^c respectively the full subcategories of \mathcal{Q}_8 formed by all objects $B \in \mathcal{Q}_8$ which are doubled, disguised doubled and composed respectively. These full subcategories are partially ordered under inclusion, with inclusion diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{Q}_8 & \\ & \nearrow & \nwarrow \\ \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd} & & \mathcal{Q}_8^c \\ \uparrow & & \\ \mathcal{Q}_8^d & & \end{array}$$

Moreover, these full subcategories occur as codomains of dense functors $\mathcal{F}^d : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^d$, $\mathcal{F}^{dd} : \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd}$ and $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^c$ which we proceed to describe.

The composed functor $\mathcal{VHG} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8$ (cf. introduction) induces a dense and faithful (but not full) functor $\mathcal{F}^d : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^d$ which in turn induces an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{K} , setting $\kappa \sim \kappa'$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}^d(\kappa) = \mathcal{F}^d(\kappa')$.

Recall that $\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 7} \mid X^t = -X\}$. The object set $\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K}$ is endowed with the structure of a category by declaring as morphisms $S : (X, \kappa) \rightarrow (X', \kappa')$ those \mathcal{K} -morphisms $S : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa'$ satisfying $\tilde{S}X\tilde{S}^t = X'$,

where $\tilde{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & S \end{pmatrix}$. The functor $\mathcal{F}^{dd} : \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd}$, given on objects by $\mathcal{F}^{dd}(X, \kappa) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{X}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$ and on morphisms by $\mathcal{F}^{dd}(S) = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{S})$, is dense and faithful (but not full). The functor \mathcal{F}^{dd} induces an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K}$, setting $(X, \kappa) \sim (X', \kappa')$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}^{dd}(X, \kappa) = \mathcal{F}^{dd}(X', \kappa')$.

The object set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathbb{R}_{sympos}^{7 \times 7}$ (cf. notation preceding Proposition 1.6) is endowed with the structure of a category by declaring as morphisms $S : (X, Y, D) \rightarrow (X', Y', D')$ those orthogonal matrices $S \in O_{\pi_7}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ satisfying $(SX S^t, SY S^t, SDS^t) = (X', Y', D')$. Denote by \mathcal{D}_7^c the full subcategory of \mathcal{D}_7 formed by all objects $(V, \xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{D}_7$ such that η is composed. The functor $\mathcal{G}_7 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_7^c$, given on objects by $\mathcal{G}_7(X, Y, D) = (\mathbb{R}^7, \xi_X, \eta_{YD})$, where $\xi_X(v \wedge w) = v^t X w$ and $\eta_{YD}(v \wedge w) = (Y + D)\pi_7(v \wedge w)$ for all $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7$, and acting on morphisms identically, is an equivalence of categories. (This is the categorical version of [6, Theorem 10], [8, Theorem 8], emphasizing the analogy to Proposition 1.5.) Moreover, the equivalence of categories $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ (Proposition 1.1) induces an equivalence of full subcategories $\mathcal{H}_7^c : \mathcal{D}_7^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^c$. Hence the composition $\mathcal{F}^c = \mathcal{H}_7^c \mathcal{G}_7$ is an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^c$.

The functors $\mathcal{F}^d, \mathcal{F}^{dd}$ and \mathcal{F}^c enable “in principle” the classification of $\mathcal{Q}_8^d, \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd}$ and \mathcal{Q}_8^c to be attained by restricting these functors to cross-sections for the equivalence relations induced on their respective domains. It is however still a very hard problem to present such cross-sections explicitly. Our up to date knowledge in this respect is expressed in Theorem 6.1 (a)–(d) below. In statement (a), the symbol $[\mathbb{O}]$ denotes the isoclass of the octonion algebra.

Theorem 6.1 (i) *The object class \mathcal{Q} of all real quadratic division algebras decomposes into the pairwise heteromorphic subclasses $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{Q}_4$ and \mathcal{Q}_8 .*

(ii) *The subclasses \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 are classified by $\{\mathbb{R}\}$ and $\{\mathbb{C}\}$ respectively.*

(iii) *The subclass \mathcal{Q}_4 is classified by $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$, whenever \mathcal{C} is a cross-section for \mathcal{K}/\simeq . Such a cross-section \mathcal{C} is presented explicitly in [5],[11],[19].*

(iv) *The subclass \mathcal{Q}_8 contains the object classes $\mathcal{Q}_8^d, \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd}$ and \mathcal{Q}_8^c which admit the following description.*

(a) $\mathcal{Q}_8^d \cap \mathcal{Q}_8^c = [\mathbb{O}]$.

(b) *The object class \mathcal{Q}_8^d is classified by $\mathcal{F}^d(\mathcal{C}^d)$, whenever \mathcal{C}^d is a cross-section for \mathcal{K}/\sim . There exists a cross-section \mathcal{C}^d which is contained in the cross-section \mathcal{C} presented explicitly in [5],[11],[19]. A subset of such a cross-section \mathcal{C}^d is given by the 2-parameter family $\{(x_1 e_1, 0, \lambda 1_3) \in \mathcal{K} \mid x_1 \geq 0 \wedge \lambda > 0\}$ of pairwise non-equivalent configurations. A complete cross-section \mathcal{C}^d is not known as yet.*

(c) *The object class \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd} is classified by $\mathcal{F}^{dd}(\mathcal{C}^{dd})$, whenever \mathcal{C}^{dd} is a cross-section for $(\mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K})/\sim$. Such a cross-section \mathcal{C}^{dd} is not known as yet.*

(d) The object class \mathcal{Q}_8^c is classified by $\mathcal{F}^c(\mathcal{C}^c)$, whenever \mathcal{C}^c is a cross-section for \mathcal{L}/\simeq . A subset of such a cross-section \mathcal{C}^c , forming a 49-parameter family of pairwise heteromorphic objects in \mathcal{L} , is presented explicitly in [6],[8]. A complete cross-section \mathcal{C}^c is not known as yet.

Proof. (i) is the (1,2,4,8)-Theorem of Bott [3] and Milnor [20], specialized to real quadratic division algebras.

(ii) follows with Proposition 1.1 from the trivial fact that \mathcal{D}_0 is classified by $\{(\{0\}, o, o)\}$ and \mathcal{D}_1 is classified by $\{(\mathbb{R}, o, o)\}$.

(iii) The composed functor $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_4$ is an equivalence of categories, by Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 1.1.

(a) Let $B \in [\mathbb{O}]$. Then $B \in \mathcal{Q}_8^d$ because $B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{H})$, and $B \in \mathcal{Q}_8^c$ because $\mathcal{I}(B) = (V, o, \pi)$, where π is a vector product on V (cf. [18]).

Conversely, let $B \in \mathcal{Q}_8^d \cap \mathcal{Q}_8^c$, with corresponding dissident triple $\mathcal{I}(B) = (V, \xi, \eta)$. Since B is doubled, there exists a configuration $\kappa = (x, y, d) \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^d(\kappa) = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$. Applying Lemma 3.1(iv) we conclude that $(V, \xi, \eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa))$. Since B is both doubled and composed, $\underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)$ is both doubled and composed which in turn implies that $\kappa = (0, 0, 1_3)$, by Proposition 4.6. Hence $(\mathbb{R}^7, \underline{\mathcal{X}}(x), \underline{\mathcal{Y}}(\kappa)) = (\mathbb{R}^7, o, \pi_7)$, and therefore $B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{I}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^7, o, \pi_7) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{O}$.

(b) The first statement is due to the density of the functor $\mathcal{F}^d : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^d$. The second statement is explained by the trivial fact that $\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa'$ only if $\kappa \sim \kappa'$. The third statement is an easy consequence of the combined Propositions 1.1, 5.3, 5.5 and 5.6.

(c) The functor $\mathcal{F}^{dd} : \mathbb{R}_{ant}^{7 \times 7} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^{dd}$ is dense.

(d) The functor $\mathcal{F}^c : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_8^c$ is an equivalence of categories. \square

7 Epilogue

The problem of constructing and, ultimately, classifying all real division algebras originated in the discovery of the quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} (Hamilton 1843) and the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} (Graves 1843, Cayley 1845). The once vivid interest in this problem was severely inhibited by theorems of Frobenius [12] and Zorn [23], asserting that the associative real division algebras are classified by $\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ and the alternative real division algebras are classified by $\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$. Hopf's contribution [15] awoke the interest of topologists and launched a new phase in this subject, culminating in Bott and Milnor's (1,2,4,8)-Theorem [3],[20] and Adams's Formula [1] for the span of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Real division algebras seemed to have been wrested from algebraists for good. Many a mathematician interpreted the (1,2,4,8)-Theorem as the final word on the subject, overlooking that the triumphant progress of topology had not produced a single new example of a real division algebra. The erroneous view that $\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$ classifies all real division algebras spread

and became “folklore knowledge”, documented even in print in a widely use and otherwise highly reputed textbook (cf. [10]).

Attempting to recover the algebraic view of real division algebras by generalizing the results of Frobenius and Zorn, it is natural to aspire the classification of all power-associative real division algebras. These coincide with the quadratic real division algebras, in view of [5, Lemma 5.3]. An approach to the latter was opened by Osborn’s Theorem [21, p. 204] which, however, took effect only hesitantly. Its true impact was obscured for decades by applications of Osborn [21] and Hefendehl-Hebeker [13],[14] which partly contain a misleading flaw (cf. [8]) and partly conceal the conceptual core of the matter in technical complications (cf. [11]). Osborn’s Theorem was rediscovered by Dieterich [6] and it reappears, in categorical formulation, as our Proposition 1.1. In this shape it forms the foundation for most of the present article.

Shafarevich [22, p. 201] suggests the structure of a real division algebra as a test problem for a possible future understanding of various types of algebras from a unified point of view. It is our intention to present some first fragments of a solution to this test problem.

References

- [1] Adams, J.F.: Vector fields on spheres, *Ann. of Math.* 75, 603-632 (1962).
- [2] Artin, E.: *Geometric Algebra*, Interscience tracts in pure and applied mathematics, Number 3 (1957).
- [3] Bott, R.: The stable homotopy of the classical groups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 43, 933-935 (1957).
- [4] Dickson, L.E.: Linear algebras with associativity not assumed, *Duke Math. J.* 1, 113-125 (1935).
- [5] Dieterich, E.: Zur Klassifikation vierdimensionaler reeller Divisionsalgebren, *Math. Nachr.* 194, 13-22 (1998).
- [6] Dieterich, E.: Dissident algebras, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 82, 13-23 (1999).
- [7] Dieterich, E.: Real quadratic division algebras, *Communications in Algebra* 28(2), 941-947 (2000).
- [8] Dieterich, E.: Quadratic division algebras revisited (Remarks on an article by J.M. Osborn), *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128, 3159-3166 (2000).
- [9] Dieterich, E.: Eight-dimensional real quadratic division algebras, *Algebra Montpellier Announcements* 01-2000, 1-5 (2000).
- [10] Dieterich, E.: Fraleighs misstag: en varning, *normat* 48, 153-158 (2000).

- [11] Dieterich, E. and Öhman, J.: On the classification of four-dimensional quadratic division algebras over square-ordered fields, U.U.D.M. Report 2001:8, 1-20 (Uppsala 2001). To appear in the Journal of the London Mathematical Society (accepted 12/10/2001).
- [12] Frobenius, F.G.: Über lineare Substitutionen und bilineare Formen, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 84, 1-63 (1878).
- [13] Hefendehl, L.: Vierdimensionale quadratische Divisionsalgebren über Hilbert-Körpern, Geometriae Dedicata 9, 129-152 (1980).
- [14] Hefendehl-Hebeker, L.: Isomorphieklassen vierdimensionaler quadratischer Divisionsalgebren über Hilbert-Körpern, Arch. Math. 40, 50-60 (1983).
- [15] Hopf, H.: Ein topologischer Beitrag zur reellen Algebra, Comment. Math. Helv. 13, 219-239 (1940/41).
- [16] Koecher, M. und Remmert, R.: Isomorphiesätze von Frobenius, Hopf und Gelfand-Mazur. Zahlen, Springer-Lehrbuch, 3. Auflage, 182-204 (1992).
- [17] Koecher, M. und Remmert, R.: Cayley-Zahlen oder alternative Divisionsalgebren. Zahlen, Springer-Lehrbuch, 3. Auflage, 205-218 (1992).
- [18] Koecher, M. und Remmert, R.: Kompositionsalgebren. Satz von Hurwitz. Vektorprodukt-Algebren. Zahlen, Springer-Lehrbuch, 3. Auflage, 219-232 (1992).
- [19] Lindberg, L.: Separation av två klasser av åtta-dimensionella reella divisionsalgebror, U.U.D.M. Project Report 2001:P4, 1-13 (Uppsala 2001).
- [20] Milnor, J.: Some consequences of a theorem of Bott, Ann. of Math. 68, 444-449 (1958).
- [21] Osborn, J.M.: Quadratic division algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105, 202-221 (1962).
- [22] Shafarevich, I.R.: Algebra I, Basic Notions of Algebra, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 11, A.I. Kostrikin and I.R. Shafarevich (Eds.), Springer-Verlag 1990.
- [23] Zorn, M.: Theorie der alternativen Ringe, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg 8, 123-147 (1931).

Ernst Dieterich
Matematiska institutionen
Uppsala universitet, Box 480
SE-751 06 Uppsala
Sweden
 Ernst.Dieterich@math.uu.se

Lars Lindberg
Matematiska institutionen
Uppsala universitet, Box 480
SE-751 06 Uppsala
Sweden
 Lars.Lindberg@math.uu.se