

SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR BI-STARLIKE AND BI-CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF ORDER β

ERHAN DENIZ, MURAT ÇAĞLAR, AND HALIT ORHAN

ABSTRACT. In the present investigation the authors obtain upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ of the classes bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order β , represented by $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$, respectively. In particular, the estimates for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions which are important subclasses of bi-univalent functions are pointed out.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of functions f analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathcal{U} . The Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [7]) ensures that the image of \mathcal{U} under every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contain a disk of radius $1/4$. So, every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse function f^{-1} satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$) and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq 1/4)$$

where $f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathcal{U} if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathcal{U} . Let σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathcal{U} given by (1.1).

Two of the most famous subclasses of univalent functions are the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ of starlike functions of order β and the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ of convex functions of order β . By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta; z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \Re \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta; z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}.$$

The classes consisting of starlike and convex functions are usually denoted by $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(0)$, respectively.

For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, a function $f \in \sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ of *bi-starlike functions of order β* , or $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$ of *bi-convex functions of order β* if both f and its inverse map f^{-1} are, respectively, starlike or convex of order β . These classes were introduced by Brannan and Taha [2] in 1985. Especially the classes $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(0) = \mathcal{S}_\sigma^*$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(0) = \mathcal{K}_\sigma$ are *bi-starlike* and *bi-convex functions*, respectively. In 1967, Lewin [17] showed that for every functions $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1), the second coefficient of f satisfy the inequality $|a_2| < 1.51$. In 1967, Brannan and Clunie [1] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \sigma$. Later, Netanyahu [18] proved that $\max_{f \in \sigma} |a_2| = 4/3$. In 1985, Kedzierawski [13] proved Brannan and Clunie's conjecture for $f \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma^*$. In 1985, Tan [25] obtained the bound for a_2 namely $|a_2| < 1.485$ which is the best known estimate for functions in the class σ . Brannan and Taha [2] obtained estimates on the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the classes $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$. Recently, Deniz [6] and Kumar et al. [15] both extended and improved the results of Brannan and Taha [2] by generalizing their classes using subordination. The problem of estimating coefficients $|a_n|$, $n \geq 2$ is still open. However, a lot of results for $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$ and $|a_4|$ were proved for

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45, 30C50; Secondary 30C80.

Key words and phrases. Bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike functions of order β , bi-convex functions of order β , second Hankel determinant.

Corresponding author. edeniz36@gmail.com (Erhan Deniz).

some subclasses of σ (see [3], [5], [9], [11], [21], [23], [24], [26], [27]). Unfortunately, none of them are not sharp.

One of the important tools in the theory of univalent functions is Hankel Determinants which are utility, for example, in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in \mathcal{U} , i.e., a function which is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions, with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational [4]. The Hankel determinants [19] $H_q(n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$) of the function f are defined by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

This determinant was discussed by several authors with $q = 2$. For example, we can know that the functional $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ is known as the Fekete-Szegő functional and they consider the further generalized functional $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ where μ is some real number (see, [8]). In 1969, Keogh and Merkes [14] proved the Fekete-Szegő problem for the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} . Someone can see the Fekete-Szegő problem for the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ at special cases in the paper of Orhan *et.al.* [20]. On the other hand, very recently Zaprawa [28], [29] have studied on Fekete-Szegő problem for some classes of bi-univalent functions. In special cases, he gave Fekete-Szegő problem for the classes $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$. In 2014, Zaprawa [28] proved the following results for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta) \Rightarrow |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \beta; & \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{3}{2} \\ 2(1 - \beta)|\mu - 1|; & \mu \geq \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

and

$$f \in \mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta) \Rightarrow |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\beta}{3}; & \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3} \\ (1 - \beta)|\mu - 1|; & \mu \geq \frac{4}{3} \text{ and } \mu \leq \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}.$$

The second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ is given by $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$. The bounds for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ obtained for the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} in [12]. Recently, Lee et al. [16] established the sharp bound to $|H_2(2)|$ by generalizing their classes using subordination. In their paper, one can find the sharp bound to $|H_2(2)|$ for the functions in the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$.

In this paper, we seek upper bound for the functional $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$ for functions f belonging to the classes $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the class of functions with positive real part consisting of all analytic functions $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re p(z) > 0$.

To establish our main results, we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. [22] *If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the series*

$$(1.2) \quad p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

then the sharp estimate $|c_k| \leq 2$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) holds.

Lemma 1.2. [10] *If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the series (1.2), then*

$$(1.3) \quad 2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)$$

$$(1.4) \quad 4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1 x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

for some x, z with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Our first main result for the class $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}(1 - \beta)^2(4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5), & \beta \in \left[0, \frac{29 - \sqrt{137}}{32}\right] \\ (1 - \beta)^2 \left(\frac{13\beta^2 - 14\beta - 7}{16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5}\right), & \beta \in \left(\frac{29 - \sqrt{137}}{32}, 1\right). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \text{ and } \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} = \beta + (1-\beta)q(w)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ and $q(w) = 1 + d_1w + d_2w^2 + \dots$ in \mathcal{P} .

Comparing coefficients in (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad a_2 = (1-\beta)c_1,$$

$$(2.4) \quad 2a_3 - a_2^2 = (1-\beta)c_2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad 3a_4 - 3a_3a_2 + a_2^3 = (1-\beta)c_3$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad -a_2 = (1-\beta)d_1,$$

$$(2.7) \quad 3a_2^2 - 2a_3 = (1-\beta)d_2,$$

$$(2.8) \quad -10a_2^3 + 12a_3a_2 - 3a_4 = (1-\beta)d_3.$$

From (2.3) and (2.6), we arrive at

$$(2.9) \quad c_1 = -d_1$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad a_2 = (1-\beta)c_1.$$

Now, from (2.4), (2.7) and (2.10), we get that

$$(2.11) \quad a_3 = (1-\beta)^2 c_1^2 + \frac{(1-\beta)}{4} (c_2 - d_2).$$

Also, from (2.5) and (2.8), we find that

$$(2.12) \quad a_4 = \frac{2}{3} (1-\beta)^3 c_1^3 + \frac{5}{8} (1-\beta)^2 c_1 (c_2 - d_2) + \frac{1}{6} (1-\beta) (c_3 - d_3).$$

Thus, we can easily establish that

$$(2.13) \quad \left| a_2a_4 - a_3^2 \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{3} (1-\beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{8} (1-\beta)^3 c_1^2 (c_2 - d_2) + \frac{1}{6} (1-\beta)^2 c_1 (c_3 - d_3) - \frac{1}{16} (1-\beta)^2 (c_2 - d_2)^2 \right|.$$

According to Lemma 1.2 and (2.9), we write

$$(2.14) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} 2c_2 &= c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) \\ 2d_2 &= d_1^2 + x(4 - d_1^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies c_2 - d_2 = \frac{4 - c_1^2}{2} (x - y)$$

and

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2) (1 - |x|^2) z,$$

$$4d_3 = d_1^3 + 2(4 - d_1^2)d_1y - d_1(4 - d_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - d_1^2) (1 - |y|^2) w,$$

(2.15)

$$c_3 - d_3 = \frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{2} (x + y) - \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{2} (x^2 + y^2) + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \left((1 - |x|^2) z - (1 - |y|^2) w \right).$$

for some x, y, z, w with $|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, |z| \leq 1$ and $|w| \leq 1$. Using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.13), and applying the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| -\frac{1}{3}(1-\beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{16}(1-\beta)^3 c_1^2(4-c_1^2)(x-y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6}(1-\beta)^2 c_1 \left[\frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{(4-c_1^2)c_1}{2}(x+y) - \frac{(4-c_1^2)c_1}{4}(x^2+y^2) + \frac{(4-c_1^2)}{2} \left((1-|x|^2)z - (1-|y|^2)w \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{64}(1-\beta)^2(4-c_1^2)^2(x-y)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}(1-\beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{12}(1-\beta)^2 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{6}(1-\beta)^2 c_1(4-c_1^2) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{16}(1-\beta)^3 c_1^2(4-c_1^2) + \frac{1}{12}(1-\beta)^2 c_1^2(4-c_1^2) \right] (|x|+|y|) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{24}(1-\beta)^2 c_1^2(4-c_1^2) - \frac{1}{12}(1-\beta)^2 c_1(4-c_1^2) \right] (|x|^2+|y|^2) + \frac{1}{64}(1-\beta)^2(4-c_1^2)^2(|x|+|y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{P}$, so $|c_1| \leq 2$. Letting $c_1 = c$, we may assume without restriction that $c \in [0, 2]$. Thus, for $\lambda = |x| \leq 1$ and $\mu = |y| \leq 1$ we obtain

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq T_1 + T_2(\lambda + \mu) + T_3(\lambda^2 + \mu^2) + T_4(\lambda + \mu)^2 = F(\lambda, \mu)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= T_1(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{12} \left[(1+4(1-\beta)^2)c^4 - 2c^3 + 8c \right] \geq 0, \\ T_2 &= T_2(c) = \frac{1}{48}(1-\beta)^2 c^2(4-c^2)(7-3\beta) \geq 0, \\ T_3 &= T_3(c) = \frac{1}{24}(1-\beta)^2 c(4-c^2)(c-2) \leq 0, \\ T_4 &= T_4(c) = \frac{1}{64}(1-\beta)^2(4-c^2)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to maximize $F(\lambda, \mu)$ in the closed square $\mathbb{S} = \{(\lambda, \mu) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1\}$. Since $T_3 < 0$ and $T_3 + 2T_4 > 0$ for $c \in [0, 2)$, we conclude that

$$F_{\lambda\lambda} \cdot F_{\mu\mu} - (F_{\lambda\mu})^2 < 0.$$

Thus the function F cannot have a local maximum in the interior of the square \mathbb{S} . Now, we investigate the maximum of F on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} .

For $\lambda = 0$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ (similarly $\mu = 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$), we obtain

$$F(0, \mu) = G(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 + T_2\mu + T_1.$$

i. *The case $T_3 + T_4 \geq 0$* : In this case for $0 < \mu < 1$ and any fixed c with $0 \leq c < 2$, it is clear that $G'(\mu) = 2(T_3 + T_4)\mu + T_2 > 0$, that is, $G(\mu)$ is an increasing function. Hence, for fixed $c \in [0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\mu)$ occurs at $\mu = 1$, and

$$\max G(\mu) = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

ii. *The case $T_3 + T_4 < 0$* : Since $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) \geq 0$ for $0 < \mu < 1$ and any fixed c with $0 \leq c < 2$, it is clear that $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) < 2(T_3 + T_4)\mu + T_2 < T_2$ and so $G'(\mu) > 0$. Hence for fixed $c \in [0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\mu)$ occurs at $\mu = 1$.

Also for $c = 2$ we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad F(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{4}{3}(1-\beta)^2(4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5).$$

Taking into account the value (2.16), and the cases *i* and *ii*, for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ and any fixed c with $0 \leq c \leq 2$,

$$\max G(\mu) = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

For $\lambda = 1$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ (similarly $\mu = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$), we obtain

$$F(1, \mu) = H(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4)\mu^2 + (T_2 + 2T_4)\mu + T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

Similarly to the above cases of $T_3 + T_4$, we get that

$$\max H(\mu) = H(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Since $G(1) \leq H(1)$ for $c \in [0, 2]$, $\max F(\lambda, \mu) = F(1, 1)$ on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} . Thus the maximum of F occurs at $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 1$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} .

Let $K : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.17) \quad K(c) = \max F(\lambda, \mu) = F(1, 1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Substituting the values of T_1, T_2, T_3 and T_4 in the function K defined by (2.17), yield

$$K(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{48} [(16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5)c^4 + 24(2-\beta)c^2 + 48].$$

Assume that $K(c)$ has a maximum value in an interior of $c \in [0, 2]$, by elementary calculation we find

$$(2.18) \quad K'(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{12} [(16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5)c^3 + 12(2-\beta)c].$$

As a result of some calculations we can do the following examine:

Case 1: Let $16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5 \geq 0$, that is, $\beta \in \left[0, \frac{13-\sqrt{89}}{16}\right]$. Therefore $K'(c) > 0$ for $c \in (0, 2)$. Since K is an increasing function in the interval $(0, 2)$, maximum point of K must be on the boundary of $c \in [0, 2]$, that is, $c = 2$. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} K(c) = K(2) = \frac{4}{3} (1-\beta)^2 (4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5).$$

Case 2: Let $16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5 < 0$, that is, $\beta \in \left(\frac{13-\sqrt{89}}{16}, 1\right)$. Then $K'(c) = 0$ implies the real critical point $c_{0_1} = 0$ or $c_{0_2} = \sqrt{\frac{-12(2-\beta)}{16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5}}$. When $\beta \in \left(\frac{13-\sqrt{89}}{16}, \frac{29-\sqrt{137}}{32}\right]$, we observe that $c_{0_2} \geq 2$, that is, c_{0_2} is out of the interval $(0, 2)$. Therefore the maximum value of $K(c)$ occurs at $c_{0_1} = 0$ or $c = c_{0_2}$ which contradicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of $c \in [0, 2]$. Since K is an increasing function in the interval $(0, 2)$, maximum point of K must be on the boundary of $c \in [0, 2]$, that is, $c = 2$. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} K(c) = K(2) = \frac{4}{3} (1-\beta)^2 (4\beta^2 - 8\beta + 5).$$

When $\beta \in \left(\frac{29-\sqrt{137}}{32}, 1\right)$ we observe that $c_{0_2} < 2$, that is, c_{0_2} is interior of the interval $[0, 2]$. Since $K''(c_{0_2}) < 0$, the maximum value of $K(c)$ occurs at $c = c_{0_2}$. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} K(c) = K(c_{0_2}) = K\left(\sqrt{\frac{-12(2-\beta)}{16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5}}\right) = (1-\beta)^2 \left(\frac{13\beta^2 - 14\beta - 7}{16\beta^2 - 26\beta + 5}\right).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.1. \square

For $\beta = 0$, Theorem 2.1 readily yields the following coefficient estimates for bi-starlike functions.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class \mathcal{S}_σ^* . Then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{20}{3}.$$

Our second main result for the class $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$ is following:

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Then

$$(2.19) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{24} \left(\frac{5\beta^2 + 8\beta - 32}{3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4}\right)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then

$$(2.20) \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \beta + (1-\beta)p(z) \text{ and } 1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} = \beta + (1-\beta)q(w)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ and $q(w) = 1 + d_1 w + d_2 w^2 + \dots$ in \mathcal{P} .

Now, equating the coefficients in (2.20), we have

$$(2.21) \quad 2a_2 = (1-\beta)c_1,$$

$$(2.22) \quad 6a_3 - 4a_2^2 = (1-\beta)c_2,$$

$$(2.23) \quad 12a_4 - 18a_3 a_2 + 8a_2^3 = (1-\beta)c_3$$

and

$$(2.24) \quad -2a_2 = (1 - \beta)d_1,$$

$$(2.25) \quad 8a_2^2 - 6a_3 = (1 - \beta)d_2,$$

$$(2.26) \quad -32a_2^3 + 42a_3a_2 - 12a_4 = (1 - \beta)d_3.$$

From (2.21) and (2.24), we arrive at

$$(2.27) \quad c_1 = -d_1$$

and

$$(2.28) \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)c_1.$$

Now, from (2.22), (2.25) and (2.28), we get that

$$(2.29) \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1^2 + \frac{1}{12}(1 - \beta)(c_2 - d_2).$$

Also, from (2.23) and (2.26), we find that

$$(2.30) \quad a_4 = \frac{5}{48}(1 - \beta)^3 c_1^3 + \frac{5}{48}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1(c_2 - d_2) + \frac{1}{24}(1 - \beta)(c_3 - d_3).$$

Thus, we can easily establish that

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| -\frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^3 c_1^2(c_2 - d_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{48}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1(c_3 - d_3) - \frac{1}{144}(1 - \beta)^2(c_2 - d_2)^2 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| -\frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{192}(1 - \beta)^3 c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)(x - y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{48}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1 \left[\frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)c_1}{2}(x + y) - \frac{(4 - c_1^2)c_1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \left((1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{288}(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c_1^2)^2(x - y)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^4 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1^4 + \frac{1}{48}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1(4 - c_1^2) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{192}(1 - \beta)^3 c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) + \frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) \right] (|x| + |y|) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{192}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) - \frac{1}{96}(1 - \beta)^2 c_1(4 - c_1^2) \right] (|x|^2 + |y|^2) + \frac{1}{576}(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c_1^2)^2(|x| + |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{P}$, so $|c_1| \leq 2$. Taking $c_1 = c$, we may assume without restriction that $c \in [0, 2]$. Thus, for $\lambda = |x| \leq 1$ and $\mu = |y| \leq 1$ we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq M_1 + M_2(\lambda + \mu) + M_3(\lambda^2 + \mu^2) + M_4(\lambda + \mu)^2 = \Psi(\lambda, \mu)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= M_1(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{96} \left[\left(1 + (1 - \beta)^2 \right) c^4 - 2c^3 + 8c \right] \geq 0, \\ M_2 &= M_2(c) = \frac{1}{192}(1 - \beta)^2 c^2(4 - c^2)(3 - \beta) \geq 0, \\ M_3 &= M_3(c) = \frac{1}{192}(1 - \beta)^2 c(4 - c^2)(c - 2) \leq 0, \\ M_4 &= M_4(c) = \frac{1}{576}(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c^2)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we need to maximize $\Psi(\lambda, \mu)$ in the closed square $\mathbb{S} = \{(\lambda, \mu) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1\}$. To show that the maximum of Ψ we can follow the maximum of F in the Theorem 2.1. Thus the maximum of Ψ occurs at $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 1$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} . Let $\Phi : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(2.32) \quad \Phi(c) = \max \Psi(\lambda, \mu) = \Psi(1, 1) = M_1 + 2M_2 + 2M_3 + 4M_4.$$

Substituting the values of M_1, M_2, M_3 and M_4 in the function Φ given by (2.32), yield

$$\Phi(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{288} [(3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4)c^4 + 4(8 - 3\beta)c^2 + 32].$$

Assume that $\Phi(c)$ has a maximum value in an interior of $c \in [0, 2]$, by elementary calculation we find

$$\Phi'(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{72} [(3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4)c^3 + 2(8 - 3\beta)c].$$

Setting $\Phi'(c) = 0$, since $0 < c < 2$, and $3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4 < 0$ and $8 - 3\beta > 0$ for every $\beta \in [0, 1)$ we have the real critical poin $c_{0_3} = \sqrt{\frac{2(3\beta-8)}{3\beta^2-3\beta-4}}$. Since $c_{0_3} \leq 2$ for every $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and so $\Phi''(c_{0_3}) < 0$, the maximum value of $\Phi(c)$ corresponds to $c = c_{0_3}$, that is,

$$\max_{0 < c < 2} \Phi(c) = \Phi(c_{0_3}) = \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2(3\beta-8)}{3\beta^2-3\beta-4}}\right) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{24} \left(\frac{5\beta^2 + 8\beta - 32}{3\beta^2 - 3\beta - 4}\right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{9} \text{ and } \Phi(2) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{6} (\beta^2 - 2\beta + 2).$$

Consequently, since $\Phi(0) < \Phi(2) \leq \Phi(c_{0_3})$ we obtain $\max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} \Phi(c) = \Phi(c_{0_3})$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.3. \square

For $\beta = 0$, Theorem 2.3 readily yields the following coefficient estimates for bi-convex functions.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class \mathcal{K}_σ . Then*

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Durham, July 120, 1979, Academic Press New York, London, 1980.
- [2] D.A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, in: S.M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, N.S. Faour (Eds.), Math. Anal. and Appl., Kuwait; February 18–21, 1985, in: KFAS Proceedings Series, vol. 3, Pergamon Press, Elsevier Science Limited, Oxford, 1988, pp. 53–60. see also Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 31 (2) (1986), 70–77.
- [3] S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 352 (6) (2014), pp. 479–484.
- [4] D. G. Cantor, Power series with integral coefficients, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 362–366.
- [5] M. Çağlar, H. Orhan and N. Yağmur, Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27:7 (2013), 1165–1171.
- [6] E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, J. Class. Anal. 2(1) (2013), 49–60.
- [7] P. L. Duren, Univalent functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [8] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, Eine Bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte Funktionen, J. London Math. Soc. 8 (1933), 85–89.
- [9] B.A. Frasin, M.K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011) 1569–1573.
- [10] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, Toeplitz forms and their applications, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences Univ. California Press, Berkeley, 1958.
- [11] S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 352(2014) 17–20.
- [12] A, Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Hankel Determinant for starlike and convex functions. Int. J. Math. Anal. 1(13) (2007), 619–625.
- [13] A. W. Kedzierawski, Some remarks on bi-univalent functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 39 (1985), 77–81 (1988).
- [14] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [15] S. S. Kumar, V. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, Estimates for the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions, Tamsui Oxford J. Inform. Math. Sci. 29(4) (2013), 487–504.
- [16] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions, J. Ineq. Appl. 2013, 2013:281.
- [17] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63–68.

- [18] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 32 (1969), 100–112.
- [19] J.W. Noonan and D.K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 223(2) (1976), 337-346.
- [20] H. Orhan, E. Deniz and D. Raducanu, The Fekete Szegő problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 283-295.
- [21] H. Orhan, N. Magesh, and V. K. Balaji, Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions, Filomat, (2014) in press.
- [22] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010) 1188–1192.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar, N. Yağmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat 27:5 (2013), 831-842.
- [25] D. L. Tan, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 5 (5) (1984), 559–568.
- [26] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) 990–994.
- [27] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 11461-11465.
- [28] P. Zaprawa, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 21 (2014), 169–178.
- [29] P. Zaprawa, Estimates of initial coefficients for bi-univalent functions, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014, Article ID 357480, 6 pages.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTERS, KAFKAS UNIVERSITY, KARS, TURKEY.
E-mail address: edeniz36@gmail.com (Erhan Deniz), mcaglar25@gmail.com (Murat Çağlar)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ATATURK UNIVERSITY, ERZURUM, 25240, TURKEY.
E-mail address: orhanhalit607@gmail.com (Halit Orhan)