

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220249367>

Minty's lemma and vector variational-like inequalities

Article in *Journal of Global Optimization* · March 2008

Impact Factor: 1.29 · DOI: 10.1007/s10898-007-9177-6 · Source: DBLP

CITATIONS

7

READS

96

4 authors, including:



[Tooba Jabarootian](#)

Islamic Azad University Khomeini Shahr

5 PUBLICATIONS 63 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Mahboubeh Rezaie](#)

University of Isfahan

8 PUBLICATIONS 66 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Jafar Zafarani](#)

Sheikhbahaee University and University of I...

63 PUBLICATIONS 418 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Minty's Lemma and Vector Variational-Like Inequalities

M. Chinaie¹, T. Jabarootian², M. Rezaie¹ and J. Zafarani¹

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81745-163 Iran 1

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Khomeiny Shahr Branch, Isfahan 84175-119, Iran 2

Abstract. In this paper, we consider two vector versions of Minty's Lemma and obtain existence theorems for three kinds of vector variational-like inequalities. The results presented in this paper are extension and improvement of the corresponding results of other authors.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26B25, 49J52, 90C30, 49J40

Keywords: Fan's KKM theorem, Minty's Lemma, Vector variational-like inequality, Hausdorff metric.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Since Giannessi (1980) introduced the vector variational inequality, (for short, VVI) in finite dimensional Euclidian space, many authors have intensively studied (VVI) and its various extensions. Several authors have investigated relationships between (VVI) and vector optimization problems, vector complementarity problem. For details we refer to [Chen\(1992\)](#), [Chen and Yang \(1990\)](#), [Daniilidis and Hadjisavvas \(1996\)](#), [Giannessi\(2000\)](#), [Giannessi and Maugeri \(2005\)](#), [Huang and Fang\(2005\)](#) [Konnov and Yao \(1997\)](#), [Yang\(1997\)](#), [Yang and Goh\(1997\)](#), and [Zeng and Yao\(2006\)](#) and reference therein. The vector variational-like inequalities (for short, VVLI), a generalization of (VVI) was studied by [Ansari](#), [Siddiqi and Yao\(2000\)](#), [Chiang \(2005\)](#), [Fang and Huang \(2003\)](#), [Jabarootian and Zafarani\(2006\)](#), [Lin\(1996\)](#), [Yang \(1997\)](#). Minty's Lemma has been shown to be an important tool in the variational field including variational inequality problems, obstacle problems, confined plasmas, free boundary problems, elasticity problems and stochastic optimal control problems when the operator is monotone and the domain is convex; see [Baiocchi and Capelo \(1984\)](#) and [Giannessi \(1997\)](#). [Lee and Lee \(1999\)](#) obtained a vector version of Minty's lemma using Nadler's result(1969) and with their result they considered two kinds of vector variational-like inequalities for set-valued mappings under certain pseudomonotonicity condition and certain new hemicontinuity condition, respectively. Motivated by the above works, we first obtain two vector versions of Minty's Lemma and deduce existence theorems for the solvability of three classes of vector variational-like inequalities in normed spaces. In fact we prove the solvability results for these classes of generalized vector variational-like inequalities under certain pseudomonotonicity assumptions. We also prove the solvability of these classes of generalized vector variational-like inequalities without monotonicity assumptions.

Let X and Y be two normed spaces and let $L(X, Y)$ denote the family of all continuous linear operators from X into Y equipped with the uniform convergence norm . When Y is the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers, $L(X, Y)$ is the usual dual space X^* of X . For any $x \in X$ and any $u \in L(X, Y)$, we shall write the value $u(x)$ as $\langle u, x \rangle$. We suppose throughout this paper that K is a nonempty and convex subset of X , $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is a set-valued mapping, $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow X$ and $f : K \times K \rightarrow Y$ are functions, and $\{C(x) : x \in K\}$ is a family of closed, convex and pointed cones of Y .

Let C be a closed, convex and pointed cone with $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$. Then a partial order \leq_C in Y is defined as for $y_1, y_2 \in Y$

$$y_1 \leq_C y_2 \Leftrightarrow y_2 - y_1 \in C.$$

Note that $C \neq Y$ iff $0 \notin \text{int}C$.

The purpose of this article is to prove the existence of solutions to the following three kinds of vector variational-like inequalities:

Problem (I): Find $x_0 \in K$ such that $\langle T(y), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0), \forall y \in K$.

Problem (II): Find $x_0 \in K$ such that $\langle T(x_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0), \forall y \in K$.

Problem (III): Find $x_0 \in K$ such that $\langle T(y), \eta(x_0, y) \rangle + f(x_0, y) \not\subseteq \text{int}C(x_0), \forall y \in K$.

We recall the following concepts and results which are essential in the sequel.

Definition 1.1. A set-valued mapping $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is said to be

(i) η - f pseudomonotone-type (I) if for each $x, y \in K$,

$$\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x) \implies \langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

(ii) η - f pseudomonotone-type (II) if for each $x, y \in K$,

$$\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x) \implies \langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle + f(x, y) \not\subseteq \text{int}C(x).$$

Definition 1.2. A set-valued mapping $F : K \rightrightarrows Y$ is said to be C -convex where C is a convex cone in Y if for all $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(1-t)F(x) + tF(y) \subseteq F((1-t)x + ty) + C.$$

A single valued function $F : K \rightarrow Y$ is said to be C -convex if for all $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(1-t)F(x) + tF(y) \in F((1-t)x + ty) + C.$$

Lemma 1.1. [Chen (1992) Lemma 2.1]. Let (Y, C) be an ordered topological vector space with a closed, convex and pointed cone C with $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset$. Then $\forall x, y \in Y$, one has

- (i) $y - x \in \text{int}C$ and $y \notin \text{int}C \Rightarrow x \notin \text{int}C$.
- (ii) $y - x \in C$ and $y \notin \text{int}C \Rightarrow x \notin \text{int}C$.
- (iii) $y - x \in -\text{int}C$ and $y \notin -\text{int}C \Rightarrow x \notin -\text{int}C$.
- (iv) $y - x \in -C$ and $y \notin -\text{int}C \Rightarrow x \notin -\text{int}C$.

Lemma 1.2. See [·], Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and H be a Hausdorff metric on the collection $CB(X)$ of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X , induced by a metric d in terms of $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|$, which is defined by

$$H(U, V) = \max\left(\sup_{u \in U} \inf_{v \in V} \|u - v\|, \sup_{v \in V} \inf_{u \in U} \|u - v\|\right),$$

for U and V in $CB(X)$. If U and V are compact sets in X , then for each $u \in U$, there exists $v \in V$ such that $\|u - v\| \leq H(U, V)$.

Definition 1.3. Let X and Y are normed space. A set-valued mapping $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ with compact values is said to be H -hemicontinuous on K if for every $x, y \in K$, the mapping $t \rightarrow H(T(x + t(y - x)), T(x))$ is continuous at 0^+ , where H is the Hausdorff metric defined on $CB(L(X, Y))$.

Let X be a nonempty set, we shall denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X . Let Y be a nonempty set, X a topological space and $F : Y \rightrightarrows X$ a set-valued mapping. Then F is said to be transfer closed-valued iff $\forall (y, x) \in Y \times X$ with $x \notin F(y)$, $\exists y' \in Y$, such that $x \notin \text{cl}F(y')$. It is clear that this definition is equivalent to:

$$\bigcap_{y \in Y} F(y) = \bigcap_{y \in Y} \text{cl}F(y).$$

If $B \subseteq Y$ and $A \subseteq X$, then we call $F : B \rightrightarrows A$ transfer closed-valued iff the multi-valued mapping $y \rightarrow F(y) \cap A$ is transfer closed-valued. When $X = Y$ and $A = B$, we call F transfer closed-valued on A . Let K be a convex subset of a vector space X . Then a mapping $F : K \rightrightarrows X$ is called a KKM mapping iff for each nonempty finite

subset A of K , $\text{conv}A \subset F(A)$, where $\text{conv}A$ denotes the convex hull of A , and $F(A) = \cup\{F(x) : x \in A\}$.

Lemma 1.3. [Fackar and zafarani (2005)] Let K be a nonempty and convex subset of a Hausdorff t.v.s. X . Suppose that $\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma} : K \rightrightarrows K$ are two set-valued mappings such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1) $\hat{\Gamma}(x) \subseteq \Gamma(x)$, $\forall x \in K$,
- (A2) $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM map,
- (A3) $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}(K)$, Γ is transfer closed-valued on $\text{conv}A$,
- (A4) $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}(K)$, $\text{cl}_K(\bigcap_{x \in \text{conv}A} \Gamma(x)) \cap \text{conv}A = (\bigcap_{x \in \text{conv}A} \Gamma(x)) \cap \text{conv}A$,
- (A5) there is a nonempty compact convex set $B \subseteq K$, such that $\text{cl}_K(\bigcap_{x \in B} \Gamma(x))$ is compact.

Then, $\bigcap_{x \in K} \Gamma(x) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 1.1. When Γ is closed-valued, then conditions (A3)-(A4) are trivially satisfied.

2. Vector Variational-like Inequalities with Monotonicity

In this section, we prove the solvability of (VVLI) with monotone set-valued mappings.

In order to establish an existence result for problem (II), we state and prove the following generalized vector version of Minty's lemma first.

Lemma 2.1. Let X and Y be two normed spaces. Assume that $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is η - f pseudomonotone type(I) and H-hemicontinuous with compact values. If

- (i) For any fixed $x, y, z \in K$, and $v \in T(z)$ the vector-valued function $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x)$ is $C(x)$ -convex.
- (ii) For each $x, y \in K$, $\langle T(y), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq -C(x)$.

Then, Problems (I) and (II) are equivalent.

Proof. Since T is η - f pseudomonotone type(I), therefore any solution of Problem (II) is also a solution for Problem(I).

Conversely, suppose that we can find $x_0 \in K$, such that for each $y \in K$

$$\langle T(y), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

We consider $y_t = x_0 + t(y - x_0) \in K$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Replacing y by y_t in the above inequality, we deduce

$$\langle T(y_t), \eta(y_t, x_0) \rangle + f(y_t, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Therefore for each $t \in (0, 1)$ there exist $v_t \in T(y_t)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \langle v_t, \eta(y_t, x_0) \rangle + f(y_t, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

By condition (i), we have

$$(2) \quad t[\langle v_t, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0)] + (1-t)[\langle v_t, \eta(x_0, x_0) \rangle + f(x_0, x_0)] \\ - \langle v_t, \eta(y_t, x_0) \rangle - f(y_t, x_0) \in C(x_0).$$

From (1), (2) and lemma 1.1, we have

$$(3) \quad t[\langle v_t, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0)] + (1-t)[\langle v_t, \eta(x_0, x_0) \rangle + f(x_0, x_0)] \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Hence, (2), (3), Lemma 1.1, and condition (ii) imply that

$$(4) \quad \langle v_t, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Since $T(y_t)$ and $T(x_0)$ are compact, from Lemma 1.2 it follows that for each fixed $v_t \in T(y_t)$ there exists $u_t \in T(x_0)$ such that

$$\|v_t - u_t\| \leq H(T(y_t), T(x_0)).$$

As $T(x_0)$ is compact, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $u_t \rightarrow u_0 \in T(x_0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Since T is H-hemicontinuous, $H(T(y_t), T(x_0)) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus one has

$$\|v_t - u_0\| \leq \|v_t - u_t\| + \|u_t - u_0\| \leq H(T(y_t), T(x_0)) + \|u_t - u_0\| \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Therefore, letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain

$$\|\langle (v_t - u_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle\| \leq \|v_t - u_0\| \|\eta(y, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $Y \setminus -\text{int}C(x_0)$ is closed, hence from (4) we deduce that

$$\langle u_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Thus,

$$\langle T(x_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.1 improves Lemma 2.3 of Zeng and Yao (2006) in many aspects. It also generalizes Lemma 2.1 of Huang and Fang (2005).

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is η - f pseudomonotone type(I), H-hemicontinuous and compact valued. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The set-valued mapping $W : K \rightrightarrows Y$ defined by $W(x) = Y \setminus (-\text{int}C(x))$ is $w \times \tau$ -closed, where w is the weak topology of X .
- (ii) f and η are weak-norm continuous in the second argument.
- (iii) For each $x, y \in K$, $\langle T(y), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq -C(x)$, and $\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq \{0\}$.
- (iv) For any fixed $x, y, z \in K$ and $v \in T(z)$ the vector-valued function $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x)$ is $C(x)$ -convex.
- (v) There exist a nonempty weak compact set $M \subset K$, and a nonempty weak compact convex set $B \subset K$ such that for each $x \in K \setminus M$, there is $y \in B$ such that

$$\langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. We show that for each $y \in K$, the set

$$\Gamma(y) = \{x \in K : \langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}$$

is weakly closed. Let $\{x_\beta\}$ be a net in $\Gamma(y)$ weakly convergent to $x_0 \in K$. Since $x_\beta \in \Gamma(y)$ there exists $v_\beta \in T(y)$ satisfying

$$z_\beta = \langle v_\beta, \eta(y, x_\beta) \rangle + f(y, x_\beta) \notin -\text{int}C(x_\beta),$$

then $z_\beta \in W(x_\beta)$ and hence $(x_\beta, z_\beta) \in G_r(W)$. Since $T(y)$ is compact, $\{v_\beta\}$ has a convergent subnet in $T(y)$. Let $\{v_\lambda\}$ be a subnet of $\{v_\beta\}$ that converges to $v_0 \in T(y)$. By continuity of η , $\{\eta(y, x_\lambda)\}$ is a convergent net with norm. Hence, there exists λ_0 such that the set $\{\eta(y, x_\lambda) : \lambda \geq \lambda_0\}$ is norm bounded and therefore, by Proposition 2.3 of [Chiang \(2005\)](#) and continuity of f in the second argument, we have

$$z_0 = \lim_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0} z_\lambda = \langle v_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0).$$

Since $G_r(W)$ is $w \times \tau$ -closed, then $(x_0, z_0) \in G_r(W)$ and hence,

$$\langle v_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Thus, $x_0 \in \Gamma(y)$, this means $\Gamma(y)$ is a weakly closed subset of K . Now, for each $y \in K$, we define the set-valued mapping $\hat{\Gamma} : K \rightrightarrows K$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(y) := \{x \in K : \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}.$$

We show that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping. Since if $\hat{\Gamma}$ is not a KKM mapping, then there exists $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $t_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \notin \cup_{i=1}^n \hat{\Gamma}(x_i)$. Thus for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + f(x_i, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x),$$

therefore, we deduce

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(x_i, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

On the other hand by (iv), for each $v \in T(x)$

$$(6) \quad \langle v, \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i [\langle v, \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + f(x_i, x)] \notin -C(x).$$

Thus by (5) and (6), we have

$$(7) \quad \langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x),$$

Now, from (iii), we deduce that

$$(8) \quad 0 \in -\text{int}C(x),$$

which contradicts $C(x) \neq Y$. Hence, $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping. Since T is η - f pseudomonotone type (I), we have $\hat{\Gamma}(y) \subseteq \Gamma(y)$ for each $y \in K$. Hence, Γ is also a KKM mapping. Thus all of the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are fulfilled by the mappings $\hat{\Gamma}$ and Γ . Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} \Gamma(y) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, Problem (I) holds. Since Lemma 2.1 implies the equivalence between Problem (I) and (II), the result follows. \square

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 is a refinement of Theorem 2.1 of Zeng and Yao (2006) and improves Theorem 2.1 of Huang and Fang (2005).

Corollary 2.1. Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X with $0 \in K$ and Y be a normed space. Assume that $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is H-hemicontinuous with compact values. Suppose that the conditions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and there exists some $r > 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad \langle T(x), \eta(0, x) \rangle + f(0, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x), \quad x \in K \text{ with } \|x\| = r.$$

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. Let $B_r = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$. By Theorem 2.1 there exists $x_r \in K \cap B_r$ such that

$$(10) \quad \langle T(x_r), \eta(y, x_r) \rangle + f(y, x_r) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_r), \quad \forall y \in K \cap B_r.$$

Putting $y = 0$ in the above inequality, one has

$$(11) \quad \langle T(x_r), \eta(0, x_r) \rangle + f(0, x_r) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_r).$$

Combining (9) and (11), we know that $\|x_r\| < r$. For any $z \in K$, choose $t \in (0, 1)$ enough small such that $z_t = (1-t)x_r + tz \in K \cap B_r$, hence from (10), one has

$$\langle T(x_r), \eta((1-t)x_r + tz, x_r) \rangle + f(z_t, x_r) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_r).$$

Then there exist, $v_r \in T(x_r)$, such that

$$(12) \quad \langle v_r, \eta((1-t)x_r + tz, x_r) \rangle + f(z_t, x_r) \notin -\text{int}C(x_r).$$

Condition (iv) implies that

$$(13) \quad t[\langle v_r, \eta(z, x_r) \rangle + f(z, x)] + (1-t)[\langle v_r, \eta(x_r, x_r) \rangle + f(x_r, x_r)] \\ - \langle v_r, \eta(z_t, x_r) \rangle - f(z_t, x_r) \in C(x_r).$$

Then from (12), (13), condition (iii) and Lemma 1.1, we deduce

$$(14) \quad \langle T(x_r), \eta(z, x_r) \rangle + f(z, x_r) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_r), \quad \forall z \in K.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. Corollary 2.1 improves Theorem 2.1 of Zeng and Yao (2006) in many aspects.

Theorem 2.2. Let X and Y be normed spaces. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is η - f pseudomonotone type(I) and H-hemicontinuous with compact values.
- (ii) The set-valued mapping $W : K \rightrightarrows Y$ defined by $W(x) = Y \setminus (-\text{int}C(x))$ is closed.
- (iii) f and η are continuous in the second argument.
- (iv) For each $x, y \in K$, $\langle T(y), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq -C(x)$, and $\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq \{0\}$.
- (v) For any fixed $x, y, z \in K$, and $v \in T(z)$ the vector-valued function $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x)$ is $C(x)$ -convex.
- (vi) There exist a nonempty compact set $M \subset K$ and a nonempty compact convex set $B \subset K$ such that for each $x \in K \setminus M$, there is $y \in B$ such that

$$\langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. By a similar proof as that of Theorem 2.1, one can deduce the result.

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.2 is a generalized version of Corollary 2.1 of Zeng and Yao (2006).

In the following we will establish another vector version of Minty's Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let X and Y be two normed spaces. Assume that $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ is η - f pseudomonotone type(II) and H-hemicontinuous with compact values. If

- (i) For each $x, y \in K$, $\langle T(y), \eta(y, y) \rangle + f(y, y) \subseteq C(x)$.
- (ii) For each $x, y, z \in K$, and $v \in T(z)$ the vector-valued function $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, z) \rangle + f(y, z)$ is $C(x)$ -convex.
- (iii) f and η are continuous in the second argument.

Then, Problems (II) and (III) are equivalent.

Proof. Since T is η - f pseudomonotone type(II), therefore any solution of Problem (II) is also a solution for Problem(III).

Conversely, suppose that we can find $x_0 \in K$, such that for each $y \in K$

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x_0, y) \rangle + f(x_0, y) \not\subseteq \text{int}C(x_0).$$

We consider $y_t = x_0 + t(y - x_0) \in K$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Replacing y by y_t in the above inequality, we deduce

$$\langle T(y_t), \eta(x_0, y_t) \rangle + f(x_0, y_t) \not\subseteq \text{int}C(x_0).$$

Then there exist $v_t \in T(y_t)$, such that,

$$(15) \quad \langle v_t, \eta(x_0, y_t) \rangle + f(x_0, y_t) \notin \text{int}C(x_0).$$

From condition (i), we obtain that

$$(16) \quad \langle T(y_t), \eta(y_t, y_t) \rangle + f(y_t, y_t) \subseteq C(x_0).$$

Hence, condition (ii) and (16) imply that

$$(17) \quad t[\langle v_t, \eta(y, y_t) \rangle + f(y, y_t)] + (1-t)[\langle v_t, \eta(x_0, y_t) \rangle + f(x_0, y_t)] \in C(x_0).$$

Therefore, from (15) and (17), we have

$$(18) \quad \langle v_t, \eta(y, y_t) \rangle + f(y, y_t) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Now Since $T(y_t)$ and $T(x_0)$ are compact, and T is H-hemicontinuous, by the same argument as that of the proof of Lemma 2.1, for each $v_t \in T(y_t)$ we can find $u_0 \in T(x_0)$ such that $v_t \rightarrow u_0 \in T(x_0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. By continuity of η and f in the second argument, $\eta(y, y_t) \rightarrow \eta(y, x_0)$ and $f(y, y_t) \rightarrow f(y, x_0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, respectively. Furthermore, $\{\eta(y, y_t)\}$ for small enough $t > 0$ is bounded. Thus by Proposition 2.3 of Chiang (2005)

$$(19) \quad \langle v_t, \eta(y, y_t) \rangle + f(y, y_t) \rightarrow \langle u_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Since $Y \setminus -\text{int}C(x_0)$ is closed, hence from (18) and (19) we deduce that

$$\langle u_0, \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \notin -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle T(x_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle + f(y, x_0) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x_0).$$

Remark 2.5. If for each $y, z \in K$, and $v \in T(z)$ the mapping $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, z) \rangle + f(y, z)$ is affine, then condition (ii) is satisfied. Hence, the above Lemma improves Theorem 3.1 of Firdosh Khan et al. (2004) and therefore Theorem 2.3 of Lee and Lee (1999), if we replace their mapping $T \circ A$, by our mapping T . Lemma 2.2 is also a vector version of Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 of Jabarootian and Zafarani (2006).

Theorem 2.3. Let X and Y be normed spaces. Assume that all of the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied and

- (i) The set-valued mapping $W : K \rightrightarrows Y$ defined by $W(x) = Y \setminus (\text{int}C(x))$ is closed.
- (ii) There exist a nonempty compact set $M \subset K$, and a nonempty compact convex set $B \subset K$ such that for each $x \in K \setminus M$, there is $y \in B$ such that

$$\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle + f(x, y) \subseteq \text{int}C(x).$$

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. For each $y \in K$, we define the set-valued mapping $\hat{\Gamma} : K \rightrightarrows K$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(y) := \{x \in K : \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}.$$

We show that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping. Since if $\hat{\Gamma}$ is not a KKM mapping, then there exists $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $t_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i \notin \cup_{i=1}^n \hat{\Gamma}(x_i)$. Thus for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + f(x_i, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x),$$

therefore, we deduce

$$(20) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(x_i, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

and by condition (ii) of Lemma 2.2, for each $v \in T(x)$, we have

$$(21) \quad \langle v, \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i [\langle v, \eta(x_i, x) \rangle + f(x_i, x)] \subseteq -C(x),$$

From (20), (21) and condition (i) of Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$(22) \quad \langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq C(x) \cap -\text{int}C(x),$$

which contradicts with the fact that $C(x) \neq Y$. Hence, $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping.

Now for each $y \in K$, we define the set-valued mapping $\Gamma : K \rightrightarrows K$ by

$$\Gamma(y) = \{x \in K : \langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle + f(x, y) \not\subseteq \text{int}C(x)\}.$$

Since T is η - f pseudomonotone type (II), we have $\hat{\Gamma}(y) \subseteq \Gamma(y)$ for each $y \in K$. Hence, Γ is also a KKM mapping. We will show that for each $y \in K$, the set $\Gamma(y)$ is closed. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $\Gamma(y)$ convergent to $x_0 \in K$. Since $x_n \in \Gamma(y)$ there exists $v_n \in T(y)$ satisfying

$$z_n = \langle v_n, \eta(x_n, y) \rangle + f(x_n, y) \notin \text{int}C(x_n)$$

Therefore, $z_n \in W(x_n)$ and hence $(x_n, z_n) \in G_r(W)$. Since $T(y)$ is compact, $\{v_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $T(y)$. Let $\{v_m\}$ be such a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ that converges to $v_0 \in T(y)$. By continuity of η , $\{\eta(x_m, y)\}$ is a convergent sequence. Hence, it is norm bounded and therefore, by Proposition 2.3 of Chiang (2005) and continuity of f , we have

$$z_0 = \lim_m z_m = \langle v_0, \eta(x_0, y) \rangle + f(x_0, y).$$

Since $G_r(W)$ is closed, then $(x_0, z_0) \in G_r(W)$ and hence,

$$\langle v_0, \eta(x_0, y) \rangle + f(x_0, y) \notin \text{int}C(x_0).$$

Thus, $x_0 \in \Gamma(y)$, this means $\Gamma(y)$ is closed. Thus all of the conditions of Lemma 1.3 are fulfilled by the mappings $\hat{\Gamma}$ and Γ . Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} \Gamma(y) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, Problem (III) holds and from Lemma 2.2, Problem (II) is deduced. □

Remark 2.6. The above result improves Theorem 3.2 of Firdosh Khan et al. (2004) and Theorem 3.2 of Lee and Lee (1999), if we replace their mapping $T \circ A$, by our mapping T . Theorem 2.3 is also a vector version of Theorem 6.2 of Jabarootian and zafarani (2006).

3. Vector Variational-like Inequalities without Monotonicity

In this section, some existence results for vector variational-like inequality problem without any monotonicity are obtained. We suppose that $\{C(x) : x \in K\}$ is a family of closed and convex cones in Y .

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the conditions (v) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and for each $y \in K$, the set-valued mapping Γ defined by

$$\Gamma(y) = \{x \in K : \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}$$

is weakly closed valued, and

- (i) For each $x \in K$, $\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq C(x)$.
- (ii) For each $x, y \in K$, and $v \in T(x)$ vector-valued function, $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(x, y) \rangle + f(x, y)$, is $C(x)$ -convex.

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. By the same argument as that of second part of the proof of Theorem 2.1, one can deduce that $\Gamma = \hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping. Hence the result follows from Lemma 1.3.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem 3.2 of Zeng and Yao (2006) and Theorem 2.2 of Huang and Fang (2005) in many aspects.

Corollary 3.2. Let X and Y be normed spaces and let $T : K \rightrightarrows L(X, Y)$ be a set-valued mapping. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For each $y \in K$, the set-valued mapping Γ defined by

$$\Gamma(y) = \{x \in K : \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \not\subseteq -\text{int}C(x)\}$$

is closed valued

- (ii) For each $x, y \in K$, and $v \in T(x)$ the vector-valued function $y \mapsto \langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x)$ is $C(x)$ -convex in the first argument.
- (iv) For each $x \in K$, $\langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle + f(x, x) \subseteq C(x)$,
- (v) There exist a nonempty compact set $M \subset K$ and a nonempty compact convex set $B \subset K$ such that for each $x \in K \setminus M$, there is $y \in B$ such that

$$\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) \subseteq -\text{int}C(x).$$

Then Problem (II) holds.

Proof. By the same argument as that of first part of the proof of Theorem 2.3, one can deduce that $\Gamma = \hat{\Gamma}$ is a KKM mapping. Hence the result follows from Lemma 1.3.

Remark 3.2. Corollary 3.2 generalizes Theorem 3.2 of Zeng-Yao (2006) and Theorem 2.2 of Huang-Fang (2005).

References

- Ansari, Q. H., Siddiqi, A. H., and Yao, J. C. A. (2000) Generalized Vector Variational-like Inequalities and their scalarizations , In: F. Giannessi (Ed.), *Vector Variational inequalities and vector equilibria*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland.
- Baiocchi, C. and Capelo, A.(1984), *Variational and Quasi-variational Inequalities, Applications to Free Boundary Problems*, Wiley, New York.
- Chen, G. Y.(1992), Existence of Solutions for a Vector Variational Inequality: An Extension of Hartman-Stampachia Theorem, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 74, 445-456.
- Chen, G. Y. and Yang, X. Q.(1990), The Vector Complementarity Problem and its Equivalence with the Weak Minimal Element in Ordered Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 153, 136-158.
- Chiang, Y.(2005), Semicontinuous mapping in t. v. s. with applications to mixed vector variational-like inequalities, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 32, 467-486.
- Daniilidis, A. and Hadjisavvas, N.(1996), Existence Theorems for Vector Variational Inequalities, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 54, 473-481.
- Fakhar, M., Zafarani, J. (2005), Generalized vector equilibrium problems for pseudomonotone bi-functions, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Application*, 126, 109-124.
- Fang. Y. P, and Huang. N. J.(2003), Variational-like Inequalities with Generalized Monotone Mapping in Banach Spaces, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 118, 327-338.
- Giannessi, F.(1980), Theorems of Alternative Quadratic Programs and Complementarity Problems, in: *Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems* (R. W. Cottle, F. Giannessi, and J. L. Lions, Eds.), 151-186, Wiley Chichester.
- Giannessi, F.(1997), On Minty Variational Principle, *New Trends in Mathematical Programming*, Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Giannessi, F. (Ed.)(2000), *Vector Variational Inequalities and Vector Equilibria*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland.
- Giannessi, F. and Maugeri, A.(2005), *Variational Analysis and Applications, Nonconvex Optimization and Its Applications*, Vol. 79, Springer, New York.
- Huang. N. J. and Fang, Y. P.(2005), On Vector Variational-like Inequalities in Reflexive Banach Spaces, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 32, 495-505.
- Jabarootian, T. and Zafarani, J.(2006), Generalized Invariant Monotonicity and Invexity of Non-differentiable Functions, to appear in *Journal of Global Optimization*.
- Jabarootian, T. and Zafarani, J.(2006), Generalized Vector Variational-like Inequalities, to appear in *Journal of Optimization Theory and Application*.
- Firdosh Khan, M. and Salahuddin (2004), On Generalized Vector Variational-like Inequalities, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 59, 879-889.

- Konnov, I. V. and Yao, J. C.(1997), On the Generalized Vector Variational Inequality Problem, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 206, 42-58.
- [Lee, B. S. and Lee, G. M.\(1999\), A Vector Version of Minty Lemma and Applications, *Applied Mathematics Letter*, 12, 43-50.](#)
- [Lin, L. J.\(1996\), Pre-vector Variational Inequalities, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 53, 63-70.](#)
- Nadler, Jr. S. B.(1969), Multi-valued Contraction Mappings, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 30, 475-488.
- [Yang, X. Q.\(1997\), On Vector Variational Inequalities: Application to Vector Equilibria, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 95, 729-734.](#)
- [Yang, X. Q. and Goh, C. J.\(1997\), On Vector Variational Inequality with Application to Vector Traffic Equilibria, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 95, 431-443.](#)
- [Zeng, L. and Yao, J.\(2006\), Existence of Solutions of Generalized Vector Variational Inequalities in Reflexive Banach spaces, *Journal of global Optimization*.](#)