

## Zeros of Polynomials and Fractional Order Differences of Their Coefficients

G. T. CARGO\*

*Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York  
and  
National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.*

AND

O. SHISHA

*National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.*

*Submitted by Richard Bellman*

### I. INTRODUCTION

In 1893 Eneström [1] proved that, if  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) are real numbers (not all zero) satisfying

$$c_0 \geq c_1 \geq c_2 \cdots \geq c_n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

then no zero of the polynomial  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k$  lies in the disk  $|z| < 1$ . The interested reader may consult Professor Marden's treatise [2, § 30] on this and related results. A generalization of Eneström's theorem for power series with complex coefficients was given by Krishnaiah [3].

Let  $\nabla$  denote the backward-difference operator defined by  $\nabla a_k \equiv a_k - a_{k-1}$  (see, e.g., [4, pp. 207-208]). Then (1) is equivalent to the condition

$$\nabla c_k \leq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1) \quad (2)$$

where  $c_{n+1}$  is taken to be zero. Eneström's conclusion follows from the observation that

$$(1 - z) E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \{\nabla c_k\} (z^k - 1)$$

---

\* This author's contribution was made during the tenure of a National Academy of Sciences — National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associateship while on leave from Syracuse University.

which implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \{(1 - z) E(z)\} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \{\nabla c_k\} \operatorname{Re} (z^k - 1) > 0$$

whenever  $|z| < 1$ .

In this paper we extend Eneström's theorem by replacing (2) by similar conditions involving fractional order differences.

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  denote the displacement operator defined by  $\mathbf{E}a_k \equiv a_{k+1}$ , and let  $\mathbf{I}$  be the identity operator:  $\mathbf{I}a_k \equiv a_k$ . Then, symbolically,

$$\nabla^\alpha = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}^{-1})^\alpha = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} \mathbf{E}^{-m}$$

for every complex  $\alpha$ .

Accordingly, we define  $\nabla^\alpha$  by means of the identity (see, e.g., [5, § 5.5])

$$\nabla^\alpha a_k \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} a_{k-m}. \quad (3)$$

If  $a_k = 0$  for  $k = -1, -2, \dots$ , then (3) yields, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\nabla^\alpha a_k = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} a_{k-m}. \quad (4)$$

Given complex numbers  $\alpha, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$ , we shall always mean by  $\nabla^\alpha a_k$  the right-hand side of (4).

## II. POLYNOMIALS WITH POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k$  ( $\neq 0, n \geq 1$ ) be a polynomial, and let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Assume that  $c_k \geq 0$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) and that  $\nabla^\alpha c_k \leq 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then no zero of  $E(z)$  lies in  $|z| < 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Consider the function

$$(1 - z)^\alpha \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} z^m \quad |z| \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

Setting  $c_k = 0$  for  $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ , we have throughout  $|z| \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - z)^\alpha E(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} c_{k-m} \right\} z^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \nabla^\alpha c_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z^k - 1) \nabla^\alpha c_k, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nabla^{\alpha} c_k = 0.$$

For  $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\nabla^{\alpha} c_k = \sum_{m=k-n}^k (-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} c_{k-m}. \tag{7}$$

Since

$$(-1)^m \binom{\alpha}{m} \leq 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{8}$$

$\nabla^{\alpha} c_k \leq 0$  for  $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ . Thus  $\nabla^{\alpha} c_k \leq 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and by (6), not all of these numbers  $\nabla^{\alpha} c_k$  are zero. Hence, for  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re} \{(1 - z)^{\alpha} E(z)\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\nabla^{\alpha} c_k\} \operatorname{Re} (z^k - 1) > 0,$$

and so  $E(z) \neq 0$ .

*Remarks.* (a) Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = 1$  is just Eneström's theorem. If  $n = 1$  and  $\alpha$  is a given number satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the test of Theorem 1 is weaker than Eneström's test.

(b) Let  $0 < \alpha < 1, c_0 = 16, c_1 = 2\alpha$ , and  $c_2 = \alpha(8 - 6\alpha)$ . One can apply Theorem 1 to  $E(z) \equiv c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2$  and conclude that it has no zero in  $|z| < 1$ . Eneström's theorem, however, is not applicable to this  $E(z)$ .

(c) Let  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq 1$ , let  $n$  be an integer larger than 1, and set  $E_1(z) \equiv (-1)^{n+1} \binom{\alpha_1}{n}^{-1} + z^n, E_2(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_2^k z^k$ . We can conclude that no zero of  $E_1(z)$  lies in  $|z| < 1$  by means of Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ , but not by means of Eneström's theorem. On the other hand, one can apply to  $E_2(z)$  Eneström's theorem, but not Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ , for the condition  $\nabla^{\alpha_1} c_1 = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \leq 0$  is not fulfilled.

(d) Let  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq 1$ , and set  $E(z) \equiv 4 + 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)z + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_1)z^2$ . Then one can apply to this  $E(z)$  Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_2$ . One cannot, however, apply Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ .

(e) Let  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1$ , and set

$$E(z) \equiv [\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1)]^{-1} + [\alpha_2(1 - \alpha_2)]^{-1} + z^2.$$

Then one can apply to this  $E(z)$  Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ , but one cannot apply Theorem 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_2$ .

## III. COMPLEX COEFFICIENTS

We shall now consider polynomials with complex coefficients.

By a sector with vertex at the origin we mean a set of the form

$$\{\rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho \geq 0, \varphi_1 \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k$  ( $\neq 0, n \geq 1$ ) be a polynomial with complex coefficients, and let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Set  $c_k = 0$  ( $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ ), and let  $S$  be a sector with vertex at the origin whose angular measure  $2\theta$  satisfies  $0 \leq 2\theta < \pi$ . Then each of the following three hypotheses implies that  $E(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < \cos \theta$ : (I)  $-c_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) belong to  $S$ ; (II)  $\nabla^\alpha c_k \in S$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ ); (III)  $\nabla c_k \in S$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ ).*

Theorem 2 with hypothesis I and with  $S$  taken as the negative real axis (including the origin) is our previous Theorem 1.

To establish Theorem 2, we prove first the following

**LEMMA.** *Let  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  ( $\neq 0$ ) be a power series with complex coefficients converging at  $z = 1$ , and let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $r$  ( $0 < r \leq 1$ ) be real numbers such that, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\nabla^\alpha c_k = |\nabla^\alpha c_k| e^{i(\varphi_k + \gamma)}$  where  $\varphi_k$  is real and  $|\varphi_k| \leq \arccos r^k$ . Then  $E(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < r$ .*

(Whenever  $\arccos$ ,  $\arcsin$ , or  $\arg$  appears, its principal value is being used.)

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** Due to the absolute convergence of the right-hand member of (5) at  $z = 1$ , (6) holds in the present case throughout  $|z| < 1$ . Let  $\kappa$  be a positive integer for which  $\nabla^\alpha c_\kappa \neq 0$ . (Such a  $\kappa$  exists, for otherwise we would get from (6) that  $E(z) \equiv 0$ .) Then throughout  $|z| < r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg [e^{-i\gamma}(1 - z^\kappa) \nabla^\alpha c_\kappa]| &\leq |\arg (e^{-i\gamma} \nabla^\alpha c_\kappa)| + |\arg (1 - z^\kappa)| \\ &< \arccos r^\kappa + \arcsin r^\kappa = \pi/2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{Re} \{e^{-i\gamma}(1 - z^\kappa) \nabla^\alpha c_\kappa\} > 0.$$

If  $|z| < r$ , then by (6),

$$\operatorname{Re} \{e^{i(\pi - \gamma)} (1 - z)^\alpha E(z)\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \{e^{-i\gamma} (1 - z^k) \nabla^\alpha c_k\} > 0;$$

and, consequently,  $E(z) \neq 0$ . This proves the Lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For a suitable real constant  $\gamma$ , every  $z \in S$  can be written in the form  $|z| e^{i(\varphi(z) + \gamma)}$  where  $-\theta \leq \varphi(z) \leq \theta$ . To prove Theorem 2 (with hypothesis II), observe that for every  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\nabla^\alpha c_k = |\nabla^\alpha c_k| e^{i(\varphi_k + \gamma)}$$

where  $\varphi_k$  is real and

$$|\varphi_k| \leq \theta = \arccos \cos \theta \leq \arccos (\cos \theta)^k.$$

By the lemma,  $E(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < \cos \theta$ . Next, we prove Theorem 2 with hypothesis I. It is sufficient to show that  $\nabla^\alpha c_k \in S$  for every  $k > n$ . Now, for such a  $k$ , we see from (7) and (8) that  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  is a weighted sum of  $-c_0, -c_1, \dots, -c_n$  with real, nonnegative weights. Since  $-c_m \in S$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  also belongs to  $S$ . Finally, hypothesis III obviously implies II (with  $\alpha = 1$ ).

Let  $c_0$  be a positive number, and let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  be nonnegative real numbers. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and for every  $r \geq 0$ , let  $\mu(r) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \nabla^\alpha(r^k c_k)$ . Since  $\mu(0) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} [(-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} c_0] < 0$ , there exists a positive  $r$  for which  $\mu(r) \leq 0$ . Every such  $r$  has the property that all zeros of  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k$  lie in  $|z| \geq r$ . Indeed, by Theorem 1, no zero of  $E(rz) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k r^k z^k$  lies in  $|z| < 1$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $E(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k (\neq 0)$  converge at  $z = 1$ . Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and assume that all numbers  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) lie in some sector with vertex at the origin whose angular measure  $2\theta$  satisfies  $0 \leq 2\theta < \pi$ . Then no zero of  $E(z)$  lies in  $|z| < \cos \theta$ .

The proof is the same as that of Theorem 2 (with hypothesis II).

#### IV. FURTHER RESULTS

Let  $S$  be a sector as in Theorem 2, let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and let  $c_0, c_1, \dots$  be complex numbers (not all zero) such that  $-c_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) and  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) lie in  $S$ . Then, as one easily concludes,  $c_0 \neq 0$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $E_n(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k$ . By Theorem 2 (with hypothesis I), no  $E_n(z)$  can have a zero in  $|z| < \cos \theta$ . If  $\lim |c_n|^{1/n}$  were larger than  $(\cos \theta)^{-1}$ , then we could find an  $n$  such that  $|c_n/c_0|^{1/n} > (\cos \theta)^{-1}$ . For such an  $n$ , the geometric mean of the moduli of the zeros of  $E_n(z)$  would be smaller than  $\cos \theta$ ; and therefore  $E_n(z)$  would have at least one zero in  $|z| < \cos \theta$ , contradicting our above observation. Thus, the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  is  $\geq \cos \theta$ ; and, by Hurwitz's theorem relating the zeros of a power series to those of its partial sums,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k \neq 0$  throughout  $|z| < \cos \theta$ .

Taking a more general consideration, let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , let  $\gamma$  and  $r$  be real numbers ( $0 < r \leq 1$ ), and let  $C_0, C_1, \dots$  be complex numbers (not all zero) such that  $-C_k = |C_k| e^{i(\psi_k + \gamma)}$ ,  $\psi_k$  real,  $|\psi_k| \leq \arccos r^{k+1}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ), and such that  $\nabla^\alpha C_k = |\nabla^\alpha C_k| e^{i(\phi_k + \gamma)}$ ,  $\phi_k$  real,  $|\phi_k| \leq \arccos r^k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Again it follows that  $C_0 \neq 0$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , set  $E_n(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n C_k z^k$ , and consider some arbitrary  $E_n(z)$ . Let  $c_k = C_k$  and  $\phi_k = \Phi_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $c_k = 0$  for  $k = n+1, n+2, \dots$ . If  $k$  is larger than  $n$ , then all the numbers  $|\psi_0|, |\psi_1|, \dots, |\psi_n|$  are equal to or less than  $\arccos r^k$ , and therefore  $-c_0, -c_1, \dots, -c_n$  lie in the sector  $\{\rho e^{i\varphi} : \rho \geq 0, \gamma - \arccos r^k \leq \varphi \leq \gamma + \arccos r^k\}$ . Thus, in view of (7) and (8),  $\nabla^\alpha c_k$  lies in that sector; and, consequently, we may write  $\nabla^\alpha c_k = |\nabla^\alpha c_k| e^{i(\varphi_k + \gamma)}$ , where  $\varphi_k$  is real and  $|\varphi_k| \leq \arccos r^k$ . Applying the lemma to  $E_n(z)$  we conclude that  $E_n(z)$  has no zero in  $|z| < r$ . Now, exactly as before we can show that the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} C_\nu z^\nu$  is at least  $r$  and that this power series is different from zero throughout  $|z| < r$ .

Assume again the hypotheses of Eneström's theorem. Since  $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k > 0$ ,  $E(1) \neq 0$ . Therefore, by considerations as presented in the Introduction, if  $\nabla c_1 \neq 0$ ,  $E(z)$  has no zero on  $|z| = 1$ . If  $E(z) = 0$ ,  $|z| = 1$ , then  $\nabla c_1 = 0$ , and  $z^k = 1$  for each  $k$  satisfying  $2 \leq k \leq n+1$ ,  $\nabla c_k \neq 0$ ; in particular,  $z^{n+1} = 1$  if  $c_n \neq 0$ . See [6]. Furthermore, if for some  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ ,  $\nabla c_k \neq 0$ , a zero  $z$  of  $E(z)$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}(z^k) < 1$ , then there exists a  $k'$  ( $1 \leq k' \leq n+1$ ,  $\nabla c_{k'} \neq 0$ ) such that  $\operatorname{Re}(z^{k'}) > 1$ .

Similarly, assume the hypothesis of Theorem 1 with  $\alpha < 1$ . Again  $E(1) \neq 0$ . However, now we have the result that  $E(z)$  has no zero on the unit circumference. Indeed, by (7) and (8) it follows that  $\nabla^\alpha c_k < 0$  for  $k = n+1, n+2, \dots$ . Also, by hypothesis,  $\nabla^\alpha c_k \leq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $E(z) = 0$ ,  $|z| = 1$ , then since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\nabla^\alpha c_k\} \operatorname{Re}(z^k - 1) = 0$ , we have  $z^k = 1$ ,  $k = n+1, n+2, \dots$ . Therefore  $z = 1$ , contradicting our above remark that  $E(1) \neq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. ENESTRÖM, G. Härledning af en allmän formel för antalet pensionärer, som vid en godtycklig tidpunkt förefinnas inom en sluten pensionskassa. *Öfversigt af Kongl. Vetenskaps Akad. Förhandl.* 50, 405-415 (1893).
2. MARDEN, M. "The Geometry of the Zeros of a Polynomial in a Complex Variable." *Mathematical Surveys*, No. III. Am. Math. Soc., 1949.
3. KRISHNAIAH, P. V. On Kakeya's theorem. *J. London Math. Soc.* 30, 314-319 (1955).
4. HOUSEHOLDER, A. S. "Principles of Numerical Analysis." McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
5. HARDY, G. H. "Divergent Series." Oxford Univ. Press, 1949.
6. HURWITZ, A. Über einen Satz der Herrn Kakeya. *Tôhoku Math. J.* 4, 89-93 (1913-1914).

7. ENESTRÖM, G. Remarque sur un théorème relatif aux racines de l'équation  $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$  où tous les coefficients  $a$  sont réels et positifs. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **18**, 34-36 (1920).
8. HAYASHI, T. On a theorem of Mr. Takeya's. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **2**, 215 (1912-1913).
9. HAYASHI, T. On the roots of an algebraic equation. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **3**, 110-115 (1913).
10. TAKEYA, S. On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equation with positive coefficients. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **2**, 140-142 (1912-1913).
11. TAKEYA, S. On the zero points of a power series with positive coefficients. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **3**, 23-24 (1913).
12. KEMPNER, A. Extract of a letter to the editor. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **4**, 94-95 (1913-1914).
13. KUROKAWA, R. A theorem in algebra. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **3**, 173-174 (1913).