



Univalence criteria for a new integral operator

Nicoleta Breaz^a, Virgil Pescar^b, Daniel Breaz^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, “1 Decembrie 1918” University of Alba Iulia, 510009, Alba Iulia, Romania

^b Department of Mathematics, “Transilvania” University of Braşov, 500091 Braşov, Romania

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 November 2009

Received in revised form 5 February 2010

Accepted 9 February 2010

Keywords:

Integral operator

Univalence

Starlike

Real part

Integer part

ABSTRACT

In view of an integral operator $H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{[Re \eta]}, \beta, \eta}$ for an analytic function f in the open unit disk \mathcal{U} , sufficient conditions for univalence of this integral operator are discussed.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let S denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all univalent functions f in \mathcal{U} .

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the integral operator G_α is defined by

$$G_\alpha(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} du \tag{1.1}$$

for some complex numbers α ($\alpha \neq 0$).

In [1] Kim–Merkes have proved that the integral operator G_α is in the class \mathcal{S} for $\frac{1}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

In [2] Pascu and Pescar defined the integral operator

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \left[\beta \int_0^z u^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^\alpha du \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \tag{1.2}$$

for α, β being complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

In [2–5] we have the sufficient conditions of univalence for the integral operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}$.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: nbreaz@uab.ro (N. Breaz), virgilpescar@unitbv.ro (V. Pescar), dbreaz@uab.ro, breazdaniel@yahoo.com (D. Breaz).

Also, the integral operator J_γ for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$M_\gamma(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^z u^{-1} (f(u))^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} du \right\}^\gamma \tag{1.3}$$

where γ is a complex number, $\gamma \neq 0$.

Miller and Mocanu [6] have shown that the integral operator M_γ is in the class S for $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$, $\gamma > 0$, \mathcal{S}^* is the subclass of \mathcal{S} consisting of all starlike functions f in \mathcal{U} .

We introduce the general integral operator

$$H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}, \beta, \eta}(z) = \left\{ \eta \beta \int_0^z u^{\eta\beta-1} \left(\frac{f_1(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_{|\text{Re } \eta|}(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta\beta}} \tag{1.4}$$

for $f_j \in \mathcal{A}$, γ_j, η, β complex numbers, where $\gamma_j \neq 0$, $\text{Re } \eta \notin [0, 1)$, $j = \overline{1, |\text{Re } \eta|}$, $\beta \neq 0$, $|\text{Re } \eta|$ is the modulus of integer part of η .

If in (1.4) we take $\eta = 1$, $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $f_1 = f$ we obtain the integral operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}$.

For $\eta\beta = 1$, $|\text{Re } \eta| = 1$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha$, $f_1 = f$, for (1.4), we have the integral operator G_α .

For $\eta\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma$, $|\text{Re } \eta| = 1$, $f_1 = f$, from (1.4) we obtain the integral operator M_γ .

2. Preliminary results

We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([7]). Let α be a complex number, $\text{Re } \alpha > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2\text{Re } \alpha}}{\text{Re } \alpha} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \tag{2.1}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then for any complex number β , $\text{Re } \beta \geq \text{Re } \alpha$ the function

$$F_\beta(z) = \left[\beta \int_0^z u^{\beta-1} f'(u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \tag{2.2}$$

is in the class S .

Lemma 2.2 (Schwarz [8]). Let f be a function regular in the disk $\mathcal{U}_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$ with $|f(z)| < M$, M fixed. If $f(z)$ has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than m , for $z = 0$, then

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^m} |z|^m, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}_R \tag{2.3}$$

the equality (in the inequality (2.3) for $z \neq 0$) can hold only if

$$f(z) = e^{i\theta} \frac{M}{R^m} z^m,$$

where θ is constant.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let γ_j, β, η be complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$, $\text{Re } \eta \notin [0, 1)$, $j = \overline{1, |\text{Re } \eta|}$, $a = \sum_{j=1}^{|\text{Re } \eta|} \text{Re } \frac{1}{\gamma_j} > 0$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{A}$, $f_j(z) = z + b_{2j}z^2 + b_{3j}z^3 + \dots$, $j = \overline{1, |\text{Re } \eta|}$.
If

$$\left| \frac{zf'_j(z)}{f_j(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(2a+1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2|\text{Re } \eta|} |\gamma_j|, \quad j = \overline{1, |\text{Re } \eta|}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\text{Re } \eta\beta \geq a$, then the function

$$H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}, \beta, \eta}(z) = \left\{ \eta \beta \int_0^z u^{\eta\beta-1} \left(\frac{f_1(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_{|\text{Re } \eta|}(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta\beta}} \tag{3.2}$$

is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. We consider the function

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f_1(u)}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_{|\text{Re } \eta|}(u)}{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}}} du. \tag{3.3}$$

The function g is regular in \mathcal{U} . We define the function $p(z) = \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and we obtain

$$p(z) = \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\text{Re } \eta|} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma_j} \left(\frac{zf'_j(z)}{f_j(z)} - 1 \right) \right], \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.1) and (3.4) we have

$$|p(z)| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and applying Lemma 2.2 we get

$$|p(z)| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2} |z|, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.4) and (3.6) we have

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{(1 - |z|^{2a})|z|}{a} \cdot \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Because

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{(1 - |z|^{2a})|z|}{a} = \frac{2}{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}},$$

from (3.7) we have

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \tag{3.8}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. So, by the Lemma 2.1, the integral operator $H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}, \beta, \eta}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S} . \square

Corollary 3.2. Let γ be a complex number, $a = \text{Re } \frac{1}{\gamma} > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z) = z + b_{21}z^2 + b_{31}z^3 + \dots$.
If

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2} |\gamma| \tag{3.9}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then the integral operator M_γ defined by (1.3) belongs to the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. We take $\eta = 1$, $\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma$, $f_1 = f$ in Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let α, β be complex numbers, $\beta \neq 0$, $a = \text{Re } \alpha > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z) = z + b_{21}z^2 + b_{31}z^3 + \dots$.
If

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2 |\alpha|} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then for $\text{Re } \beta \geq \text{Re } \alpha$ the integral operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For $\eta = 1$, $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $f_1 = f$, from Theorem 3.1 we have Corollary 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.4. Let α be a complex number, $a = \text{Re } \frac{1}{\alpha} \in (0, 1]$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $f(z) = z + b_{21}z^2 + b_{31}z^3 + \dots$.
If

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2} |\alpha| \tag{3.11}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then the integral operator G_α given by (1.1) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. We take $\eta\beta = 1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta| = 1, \gamma_1 = \alpha, f_1 = f$ in Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let α, η be complex numbers $a = |\operatorname{Re} \eta| \cdot \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\alpha}, \operatorname{Re} \eta \notin [0, 1), a \in (0, 1]$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{A}, f_j(z) = z + b_{2j}z^2 + \dots, j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|}$.
If

$$\left| \frac{zf'_j(z)}{f_j(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{(2a + 1)^{\frac{2a+1}{2a}}}{2|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} |\alpha|, \quad j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|} \tag{3.12}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, then the function

$$L_\alpha(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f_1(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \dots \left(\frac{f_{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|}(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} du \tag{3.13}$$

is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For $\eta\beta = 1, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} = \alpha$ from Theorem 3.1. we obtain the Corollary 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $\gamma_j, \alpha, \beta, \eta$ be complex numbers, $\gamma_j \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} \eta \notin [0, 1), \beta \neq 0, a = \operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0, j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|}$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{S}, f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty b_{kj}z^k, j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|}$.
If

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} \frac{1}{|\gamma_j|} \leq \frac{a}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.14}$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} \frac{1}{|\gamma_j|} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.15}$$

then for $\operatorname{Re} \eta\beta \geq a$, the integral operator $H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|}, \beta, \eta}$ given by (1.4) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. We consider the function

$$g(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f_1(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \dots \left(\frac{f_{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|}(u)}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|}}} du. \tag{3.16}$$

The function g is regular in \mathcal{U} . We have

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} \left[\frac{1}{|\gamma_j|} \left| \frac{zf'_j(z)}{f_j(z)} - 1 \right| \right]. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $f_j \in \mathcal{S}, j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'_j(z)}{f_j(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, j = \overline{1, |\operatorname{Re} \eta|}. \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.17) and (3.18) we obtain

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \frac{2}{1 - |z|} \sum_{j=1}^{|\operatorname{Re} \eta|} \frac{1}{|\gamma_j|} \tag{3.19}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{1 - |z|} = 1$$

and from (3.14), (3.19) we get

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{a} \left| \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{3.20}$$

For $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1 - |z|^{2a}}{1 - |z|} = 2a$$

and from (3.15), (3.19) we obtain (3.20).

From (3.20) and Lemma 2.1 it results that the integral operator $H_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|}, \beta, \eta}$ belongs to the class \mathcal{S} . \square

Corollary 3.7. Let α, γ be complex numbers, $a = \text{Re } \alpha > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k1} z^k$.
If

$$\frac{1}{|\gamma|} \leq \frac{a}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.21}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{|\gamma|} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.22}$$

then for $\text{Re } \frac{1}{\gamma} \geq a$, the integral operator M_γ given by (1.3) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. We take in Theorem 3.6, $\eta\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma}, |\text{Re } \eta| = 1, \gamma_1 = \gamma, f_1 = f$. \square

Corollary 3.8. Let α, β, γ be complex numbers, $a = \text{Re } \gamma > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k1} z^k$.
If

$$|\alpha| \leq \frac{a}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.23}$$

or

$$|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.24}$$

then for $\text{Re } \beta \geq a$, the integral operator $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ given by (1.2) belongs to the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For $\eta = 1, f_1 = f, \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, from Theorem 3.6 we obtain Corollary 3.8. \square

Corollary 3.9. Let α, γ be complex numbers, $a = \text{Re } \gamma \in (0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}, f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k1} z^k$.
If

$$\frac{1}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{a}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.25}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.26}$$

then the integral operator G_α given by (1.1) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For $\eta\beta = 1, |\text{Re } \eta| = 1, \gamma_1 = \alpha, f_1 = f$, from Theorem 3.6 we obtain Corollary 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.10. Let α, η, γ be complex numbers, $\text{Re } \eta \notin [0, 1), a = \text{Re } \gamma \in (0, 1], f_j \in \mathcal{S}, f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{kj} z^k, j = \overline{1, |\text{Re } \eta|}$.
If

$$\frac{|\text{Re } \eta|}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{a}{2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.27}$$

or

$$\frac{|\text{Re } \eta|}{|\alpha|} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{3.28}$$

then the integral operator L_α given by (3.13) is in the class \mathcal{S} .

Proof. For $\eta\beta = 1, \text{Re } \eta \notin [0, 1), \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_{|\text{Re } \eta|} = \alpha$, from Theorem 3.6. we obtain the Corollary 3.10. \square

References

- [1] Y.J. Kim, E.P. Merkes, On an integral of powers of a spirallike function, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 12 (1972) 249–253.
- [2] N.N. Pascu, V. Pescar, On the integral operators of Kim–Merkes and Pfaltzgraff, 32 (55), 2, *Mathematica, Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca*, 1990, pp. 185–192.
- [3] V. Pescar, Univalence conditions for certain integral operators, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 7 (4) (2006) Article 147.
- [4] V. Pescar, Integral operators on a certain class of analytic functions, *Libertas Mathematica* XXVII (2007) 95–98.
- [5] V. Pescar, S. Owa, Univalence problems for integral operators by Kim–Merkes and Pfaltzgraff, *Journal of Approximation Theory and Applications* 3 (1–2) (2007) 17–21.
- [6] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications*, in: *Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [7] N.N. Pascu, An improvement of Becker’s univalence criterion, in: *Proceedings of the Commemorative Session Simion Stoilow*, University of Braşov, 1987, pp. 43–48.
- [8] O. Mayer, *The Functions Theory of One Variable Complex*, Bucureşti, 1981.