

# Unitarising measures for the representations of affine group and associated invariant operators

H. Airault <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, S. Jendoubi <sup>c</sup>, H. Ouerdiane <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Insset, 48, rue Raspail, 02100, Saint-Quentin (Aisne), France*

<sup>b</sup> *LAMFA, UMR 7352 CNRS, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 33, rue Saint-Leu, 80039, Amiens, France*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El-Manar, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia*

Received 23 March 2013

Available online 22 April 2013

---

## Abstract

Let  $G$  denote the group of affine transformations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or one of its subgroups such as the translation group or the Euclidean motion group. In this paper, we study unitarisability of holomorphic representations  $T$  of  $G$  into the space of square integrable  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions with respect to a positive real measure  $\mu$  with support in a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover, we investigate the infinitesimal representation approach of a unitary representation  $(T_g, \mu)$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $G$  in order to determine a class of second-order differential operators (called of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type) invariant with respect to the unitarising measures  $\mu$ . © 2013 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

*MSC:* primary 58D20, 58J65; secondary 17B15, 22E45, 43A85

*Keywords:* Affine group; Invariant measures; Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators; Unitary holomorphic representations

---

## 1. Introduction

Unitary representation theory of Lie groups plays a significant role in pure and applied mathematics, particularly in harmonic analysis [9–11,15,17–19], as well as in relativistic physics, quantum mechanics [20] and coherent states theory [8,13]. Among modern problems in harmonic

---

\* Corresponding author at: LAMFA, UMR 7352 CNRS, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 33, rue Saint-Leu, 80039, Amiens, France.

*E-mail addresses:* [helene.airault@u-picardie.fr](mailto:helene.airault@u-picardie.fr) (H. Airault), [souheyl.jendoubi@ipein.rnu.tn](mailto:souheyl.jendoubi@ipein.rnu.tn) (S. Jendoubi), [habib.ouerdiane@fst.rnu.tn](mailto:habib.ouerdiane@fst.rnu.tn) (H. Ouerdiane).

analysis related to group representation theory, we study unitarity of holomorphic representations of a given Lie group  $G$  on  $L^2(D, \mu)$ , where  $D$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), having the form

$$(T_g f)(z) = h_g(z) f(k_g(z)), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $h_g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_g : D \rightarrow D$  are holomorphic functions. Our central goal is to establish a correspondence between the existence of unitarising measures  $\mu$  and the corresponding second-order differential operators of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type, denoted  $\Delta^{OU}$ , invariant with respect to  $\mu$ , i.e.,

$$\int_D \Delta^{OU} \Phi(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(z) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for all  $\Phi$  in a suitable class of test functions. This problem was suggested by P. Malliavin in [16] and [2,4] for infinite dimensional representations of the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle  $\text{Diff}(S^1)$  acting on the manifold of univalent functions in the unit disc. Motivated by Malliavin ideas and the approach of infinitesimal representation, H. Airault and H. Ouerdiane studied in [6] the problem of unitarising measure corresponding to holomorphic representation like (1.1) for finite dimensional Lie groups as  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^*, \times)$ , the linear group  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. Recently, H. Airault constructed in [3] the mentioned correspondence for the representation of the symplectic group  $Sp(2n)$  acting on the Siegel disc of complex symmetric matrices. In this paper, we study holomorphic representations of the semidirect product group  $G = G_0 \ltimes V$ , where  $G_0$  is a subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $V$  is the Euclidean vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the non-commutative group law

$$(A, h) \star (A', h') = (AA', Ah' + h), \tag{1.3}$$

for  $A, A' \in G_0$  and  $h, h' \in V$ , and acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  via the affine action

$$\psi((A, h), z) = Az + h. \tag{1.4}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}_0$  be the Lie algebra of  $G_0$  with Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$ . The Lie algebra of  $G$  is the vector space  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \times V$  with Lie bracket  $[(K, h), (K', h')] = ([K, K']_0, Kh' - K'h)$  for  $K, K' \in \mathcal{G}_0$  and  $h, h' \in V$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider unitarising measures, see [5,6], for holomorphic representations of a Lie group  $G$  acting on a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In Theorem 2.1, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of unitarising measure when  $h_g$  in (1.1) is given by

$$h_g(z) = (\theta(g))^\beta [\det(k'_g(z))]^\alpha e^{\varphi(k_g(z)) - \varphi(z)}, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\theta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a group homomorphism and  $k'_g(z)$  is the holomorphic Jacobian of  $k_g$ . Let  $\rho(v) = H(v) + \beta(v)I$  be the infinitesimal representation of a unitary holomorphic representation  $(T_g, \mu)$ , let  $v$  and  $w$  belong to the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  and assume that  $\rho(v)$ ,  $i\rho(v)$ ,  $\rho(w)$  and  $i\rho(w)$  are vectors fields coming from the infinitesimal representation, we show in Theorem 2.2 that the operator  $\Delta_{v,w} = \rho(v)\rho(w) + \rho(w)\rho(v)$  is invariant with respect to  $\mu$ . In Section 3, with the affine action (1.4) of the complex affine group  $\mathbf{A}_c(n) = GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we obtain the holomorphic representation

$$(\mathcal{E}_g^{p,\varphi} F)(z) = (\det(A))^p \exp(\varphi(A^{-1}(z - h)) - \varphi(z)) F(A^{-1}(z - h)), \tag{1.6}$$

where  $p$  is an integer and  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If the unitarising measure  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , i.e.,  $d\mu(z) = \Theta(z, \bar{z}) d\lambda(z)$ , then  $\Lambda(z) := \Theta(z)e^{-\varphi(z)-\overline{\varphi(z)}}$  satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(z) = |\det(A)|^{2p+2} \Lambda(Az + h) \tag{1.7}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and all  $(A, h) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . See Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4. Non-zero constants are solutions of (1.7) when  $p = -1$ . If we suppose in addition that the density  $\Theta(z, \bar{z})$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} (\Theta(z, \bar{z})e^{-\varphi(z)-\overline{\varphi(z)}}) < +\infty$ , they are the only solutions of (1.7). This leads us to determine a class of non-Gaussian unitarising measures for  $\mathcal{E}_g^{-1,\varphi}$ , given by

$$d\mu_\varphi(z) = e^{\varphi(z)+\overline{\varphi(z)}} d\lambda(z). \tag{1.8}$$

Since  $(\mathcal{E}_g^{-1,\varphi}, d\mu_\varphi)$  is also a unitary holomorphic representation of the real affine group  $A_r(n) = GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ , by direct calculation we show that the unitarising measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  in (1.8) is invariant for the second-order differential operators

$$\Delta_1 := \sum_{k=1}^n \{(\rho(A_{kk}) + \overline{\rho(A_{kk})})\overline{H_k} - (\rho(V_k) + \overline{\rho(V_k)})\overline{H_{kk}}\} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

and  $\Delta_2 := \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n \rho(A_{k,l})\overline{H_{k,l}}$  where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(A_{k,l}, V_k)_{1 \leq k,l \leq n}$  is a basis of the Lie algebra of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\overline{H_k} = \overline{H(V_k)}$ ,  $H_{kk} = H(A_{kk})$ .

In Sections 4 and 5, we obtain non-constant solutions of (1.7) by considering actions of the translation and the Euclidean subgroups on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This provides a large class of unitarising measures (see Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1). Hence, with parametric actions, we find a family of complex OU operators invariant w.r.t. the Gaussian measure.

## 2. Preliminaries and theoretical results

### 2.1. The problem of unitarising measures

Given a Lie group  $G$  and a non-negative real measure  $\mu$  on a domain  $D$  in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , let  $\mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu) := \mathcal{H}(D) \cap L^2(\mu)$  be the vector space of holomorphic functions  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|f|^2$  is  $\mu$ -integrable. The space  $\mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu)$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_D f_1(z)\overline{f_2(z)} d\mu(z), \quad f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu).$$

Consider the operators  $T_g : \mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu)$ ,  $g \in G$ , with the following properties:

1.  $T_g$  is given by  $(T_g f)(z) = h_g(z)f(k_g(z))$  where  $h_g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $k_g : D \rightarrow D$  are holomorphic functions.
2. The map  $g \mapsto T_g$  is group homomorphism from  $G$  into the group of automorphisms on  $\mathcal{H}(D)$ , i.e., for any  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and for any holomorphic function  $f$  on  $D$ , we have  $T_{g_1 g_2} f = T_{g_1}(T_{g_2} f)$ .

3.  $T_g$  is unitary, that is for  $f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu)$ , it holds

$$\int_D |T_g(f)(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = \int_D |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z).$$

If  $e$  denotes the neutral element of  $G$  then  $(T_e f)(z) = f(z)$ . Therefore, for any  $g \in G$ , the operator  $T_g$  is invertible with  $(T_g)^{-1} = T_{g^{-1}}$ .

Conditions 1 and 2 together give the following system on  $h_g$  and  $k_g$ ,

$$h_{g_1}(z)h_{g_2}(k_{g_1}(z)) = h_{g_1g_2}(z), \tag{2.1}$$

$$k_{g_2}(k_{g_1}(z)) = k_{g_1g_2}(z). \tag{2.2}$$

When conditions 1, 2 and 3 are satisfied, we say that the measure  $\mu$  is *unitarising* for  $T_g$  and we denote the unitary representation of  $G$  by  $(T_g, \mu)$ .

The following remarks (see [6]) are useful to obtain functions  $h_g$  satisfying (2.1).

**Remarks 2.1.** Assuming that the map  $k_g$  is determined, then:

1. For any  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued holomorphic function  $\varphi$  on  $D$ ,

$$h_g(z) = \exp(\varphi(k_g(z)) - \varphi(z)) \tag{2.3}$$

satisfies (2.1).

2. If  $\hat{h}_g$  and  $\tilde{h}_g$  are both solutions of (2.1), then the product  $h_g = \hat{h}_g \tilde{h}_g$  is also solution of (2.1).

3. Let  $\det(k'_g(z)) =: \det(\frac{\partial k_g^j}{\partial z^p}(z))$  be the Jacobian determinant of the map  $k_g$ . Then  $h_g(z) = \det(k'_g(z))$  is solution of (2.1).

4. Let  $\theta$  be a group homomorphism from  $G$  into the complex multiplicative group, then  $h_g$  given by  $h_g(z) = \theta(g)$  for  $(z, g) \in D \times G$ , is solution of (2.1).

**Notation 2.1.** Let  $n \geq 1$ , for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $z_k = x_k + iy_k$ , we denote the  $2n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $d\lambda(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n dx_k dy_k$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $k_g$  be a holomorphic solution of (2.2) on the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\theta$  be a group homomorphism from  $G$  to the complex multiplicative group and  $\alpha, \beta$  be two real numbers. Then

$$T_g^{\alpha, \varphi}(f)(z) = (\theta(g))^\beta [\det(k'_g(z))]^\alpha e^{\varphi(k_g(z)) - \varphi(z)} f(k_g(z)) \tag{2.4}$$

defines a holomorphic representation of the group  $G$ . Assume that there is a real-valued map  $\Lambda(z, \bar{z})$  on  $D$  satisfying

$$|\theta(g)|^{2\beta} |\det(k'_g(z))|^{2\alpha-2} \Lambda(z, \bar{z}) = \Lambda(k_g(z), \overline{k_g(z)}) \quad \forall g \in G, \forall z \in D. \tag{2.5}$$

Then the measure

$$d\mu(z) = \exp(\varphi(z) + \overline{\varphi(z)}) \Lambda(z, \bar{z}) d\lambda(z) \tag{2.6}$$

is unitarising for  $T_g^{\alpha, \varphi}$ .

**Proof.** Eq. (2.2) and Remarks 2.1 imply that the  $T_g^{\alpha, \varphi}$  given in (2.4) satisfy  $T_{g_1}^{\alpha, \varphi} T_{g_2}^{\alpha, \varphi} = T_{g_1g_2}^{\alpha, \varphi}$ . The change of variables  $u = k_g(z)$  shows the unitarity of  $T_g^{\alpha, \varphi}$ .  $\square$

If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then  $\Lambda = 1$  is always solution of (2.5). If  $\alpha \neq 1$  or  $\beta \neq 0$ , there exist groups  $G$  where (2.5) has non-constant solutions  $\Lambda$ . We give below some simple examples. See (4.3)–(4.4) and (5.3)–(5.4).

2.2. Invariant operators of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type

We introduce a class of second-order differential operators, called of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type, invariant with respect to the unitarising measure of an holomorphic representation  $T_g$  of a Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A real measure  $\mu$  on the domain  $D$  is called invariant for the operator  $\Delta$ , or equivalently we say that  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to  $\mu$  if

$$\int_D (\Delta \Psi)(z, \bar{z}) \, d\mu(z) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

for all differentiable functions  $\Psi$  with compact support strictly contained in  $D$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $T_g$  be an unitary representation of a Lie group into  $\mathcal{H}L^2(D, \mu)$ . We say that a second-order differential operator  $\Delta^{OU}$  is of Ornstein–Uhlenbeck type if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $\Delta^{OU}$  has the form

$$\Delta^{OU} = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{j,k}(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} + \sum_{k=1}^n u_k(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + \sum_{k=1}^n v_k(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $a_{j,k}(z, \bar{z})$ ,  $u_k(z, \bar{z})$  and  $v_k(z, \bar{z})$  are continuous functions on  $D$ .

2.  $\Delta^{OU}$  is invariant w.r.t. the unitarising measure  $\mu$  of the representation  $T_g$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $d\mu(z) = \Theta(z, \bar{z}) \, d\lambda(z)$ , where  $\Theta(z, \bar{z})$  is a positive differentiable function defined on  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $u_{j,k}(z, \bar{z})$  and  $Q_{j,k}(z, \bar{z})$  be two differentiable functions on  $D$  satisfying for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{j,k}}{\partial z_j} - \frac{\partial \log(\Theta u_{j,k})}{\partial z_j} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Then

$$\Delta := \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{j,k}(z, \bar{z}) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} + \frac{\partial Q_{j,k}}{\partial z_j}(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \right) \tag{2.10}$$

is invariant with respect to  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** Integrating by parts with respect to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$ , we prove with condition (2.9) that  $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \Delta \Psi(z, \bar{z}) \, d\mu(z) = 0$  when  $\Psi$  is continuously twice-differentiable with compact support.  $\square$

Of course, there exist several operators of the form (2.10) which are invariant with respect to the same measure  $\mu$ . For example, see (4.10) for such operators. In our work, we consider the

cases when  $\Delta^{OU}$  is associated to a Kählerian metric on  $D$ , thus the matrix  $u = (u_{j,k})$  satisfies  ${}^t\bar{u} = u$ , see [7], and furthermore we would like  $\Delta^{OU}$  to be written with vector fields of the infinitesimal representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ .

2.3. Infinitesimal representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  and OU operators on  $\mathbb{C}^n$

In [1,3,2,5,6] and [16], the unitarising measure and its relation to the OU operator has been studied for the representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  and not directly for the group  $G$ . Let  $\epsilon \mapsto g_\epsilon$  be a differentiable curve on  $G$  such that  $g_0 = e$ , we put

$$v = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} g_\epsilon. \tag{2.11}$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{HL}^2(D, \mu)$ , the infinitesimal representation  $\rho(v)$  of  $T_g$  is given by

$$(\rho(v)f)(z) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} T_{g_\epsilon} f(z). \tag{2.12}$$

Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D$ . Since  $k_g(z) = ((k_g)_1(z), (k_g)_2(z), \dots, (k_g)_n(z)) \in D$  and  $h_g(z) \in \mathbb{C}$ , we put

$$\alpha_j(v)(z) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} (k_{g_\epsilon})_j(z), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v)(z) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} h_{g_\epsilon}(z),$$

then

$$\rho(v) = H(v) + \beta(v)I, \tag{2.13}$$

where  $H(v)$  is the holomorphic vector field given by

$$(H(v)f)(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(v)(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} f(k_{g_\epsilon}(z)). \tag{2.14}$$

Let  $f$  and  $\phi$  be two holomorphic functions. Since the representation  $T_g$  is unitary, we have

$$\int (\rho(v)f)(z) \overline{\phi(z)} d\mu(z) + \int f(z) \overline{(\rho(v)\phi)(z)} d\mu(z) = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

**Remark 2.1.** The divergence of a vector field  $V$  with respect to a measure  $\mu$  is the function, denoted  $div_\mu$ , satisfying  $\int (div_\mu V)(z) \Phi(z) d\mu(z) = \int (V\Phi)(z) d\mu(z)$ , for any differentiable function  $\Phi$  with compact support in  $D$  and vanishing out of the support of  $\mu$ . Then Eq. (2.15) implies that  $div_\mu(H(v) + \overline{H(v)}) = -(\beta(v) + \overline{\beta(v)})$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $F(z, \bar{z}) = f(z)\overline{\phi(\bar{z})}$  where  $f, \phi \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ . Then,

$$\int (\rho(v) + \overline{\rho(v)})F(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(z) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

This stays true for functions  $F(z, \bar{z})$  which can be approximated by polynomials in  $(z, \bar{z})$ .

**Proof.** With (2.15), since we have  $\int \rho(v)F(z) d\mu(z) = \int (\rho(v)f(z))\overline{\phi(\bar{z})} d\mu(z)$  and  $\int \overline{\rho(v)}F(z) d\mu(z) = \int f(z)\overline{(\rho(v)\phi(z))} d\mu(z)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a complex group. Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two independent elements of its Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . We consider the vector fields  $\rho(v)$ ,  $\rho(w)$ . Assume that  $i\rho(v)$  is also a vector field in the infinitesimal representation, then*

$$\Delta_{v,w} := \rho(v)\overline{\rho(w)} + \rho(w)\overline{\rho(v)} \tag{2.17}$$

is a real operator invariant with respect the unitarising measure, more precisely for all  $F$  such that (2.16) is true, it holds:  $\int_D \Delta_{v,w} F(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(z) = 0$ .

**Proof.** The commutation  $\overline{\rho(v)}\rho(w) = \rho(w)\overline{\rho(v)}$  implies  $\overline{\Delta_{v,w}} = \Delta_{v,w}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2,  $\int [\rho(v) + \overline{\rho(v)}]\overline{\rho(w)} F d\mu = 0$  and  $\int [i\rho(v) + i\overline{\rho(v)}]\overline{\rho(w)} F d\mu = 0$ . This implies  $\int \rho(v)\overline{\rho(w)} F d\mu = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a complex Lie group and  $(e_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ ,  $(ie_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$  be independent elements in its Lie algebra. Then, for any sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_{k,j})_{1 \leq k, j \leq N}$  of complex numbers, the operators*

$$\Delta_\alpha = \sum_{k,j=1}^N \alpha_{k,j} \Delta_{e_k, ie_j} \tag{2.18}$$

are invariant with respect to the unitarising measure.

### 3. Unitary representations of the group of affine transformations on $\mathbb{C}^n$

The group  $\mathbf{A}_c(n)$  of affine transformations of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the semi-product  $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Elements of  $\mathbf{A}_c(n)$  are written as pairs  $g = (A, h)$ , where  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $h \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . The product in  $\mathbf{A}_c(n)$  is given by

$$(A, h) \circ (A', h') = (AA', Ah' + h). \tag{3.1}$$

The inverse of  $g = (A, h)$  is  $g^{-1} = (A^{-1}, -A^{-1}h)$ . The group  $\mathbf{A}_c(n)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $GL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ , via the assignment to each  $(A, h)$  the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & h \\ 0_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $h = {}^t(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $0_n = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Throughout this section, we consider the affine group action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by

$$\psi(g, z) = Az + h, \quad \text{for } g = (A, h) \in \mathbf{A}_c(n), z \in \mathbb{C}^n. \tag{3.2}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a complex-valued holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then for  $g = (A, h)$ , the transformation  $\Xi_g^{p,\varphi}$  given by (1.6) defines a holomorphic representation of  $G$  into  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . For  $g^{-1} = (A^{-1}, -A^{-1}h)$ ,*

$$(\Xi_{g^{-1}}^{p,\varphi} F)(z) = (\det(A))^{-p} e^{\varphi(Az+h)-\varphi(z)} F(Az + h). \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, the measure  $d\mu(z) = \Theta(z, \bar{z}) d\lambda(z)$  is a unitarising for  $\Xi_g^{p,\varphi}$  if the function

$$\Lambda(z) = \Theta(z, \bar{z}) e^{-\varphi(z)-\overline{\varphi(\bar{z})}} \tag{3.4}$$

satisfies (1.7) for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and all  $(A, h) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Proof.** Remarks 2.1 imply that the transformation  $\Xi_g^{p,\varphi}$  in (1.6) is a holomorphic representation. We verify by change of variables that (3.4) satisfies (1.7).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $p = -1$ , the holomorphic representation  $\Xi_g^{-1,\varphi}$  is unitary into the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu_\varphi)$  where  $d\mu_\varphi$  is the non-Gaussian measure*

$$d\mu_\varphi(z) = e^{\varphi(z) + \overline{\varphi(z)}} d\lambda(z). \tag{3.5}$$

**Proof.** For  $p = -1$ , (1.7) has constants as only solutions. The measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  is non-Gaussian since no holomorphic function  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\varphi(z) + \overline{\varphi(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \bar{z}_k, \forall z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** If we assume the condition  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} (\Theta(z, \bar{z}) e^{-\varphi(z) - \overline{\varphi(z)}}) < +\infty$ , for the continuous function  $\Theta$ , we can pass to the limit in (1.7) when  $\|A\| \rightarrow 0$  to find that the unique solution of (1.7) is the null map if  $p > -1$ . Similarly, for  $p < -1$ , just pass to the limit in (1.7) when  $\|A\| \rightarrow +\infty$ , to see that  $\Lambda$  is the null map, where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the classical maximum norm on  $M(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

Theorem 3.1 and corollary 3.1 remain valid for affine action on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of the real Lie group  $A_r(n) = GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with the group law (3.1). In that case, by considering subgroups of  $A_r(n)$ , we shall find more non-trivial solutions for (1.7). See Theorems 4.1 and 5.1.

3.1. The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}_r(n)$  and its infinitesimal representation

The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}_r(n)$  of the real affine group  $A_r(n)$  is the vector space of  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  real matrices spanned by

$$\left\{ A_{k,l} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & E_{k,l} & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, V_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \delta_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq k, l \leq n \right\}, \tag{3.6}$$

where the matrix  $E_{k,l}$  has exactly one non-zero entry which is 1 in the  $(k, l)$  position,  $\delta_k$  is the vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose components are zero except the  $k$ -th equal to 1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1, z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . Consider  $(\Xi_g^{-1,\varphi}, d\mu_\varphi)$  as in Corollary 3.1, then the associated infinitesimal representation on the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}_r(n)$  is given by*

$$\rho_{k,k} = \rho(A_{k,k})F(z) = -z_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_k}(z) - \left(1 + z_k \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z)\right) F(z), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\rho_{k,l} = \rho(A_{k,l})F(z) = -z_l \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_k}(z) - z_l \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z) F(z), \quad \text{if } k \neq l, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\rho_q = \rho(V_q)F(z) = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_q}(z) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_q}(z) F(z). \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof.** As in (2.12), for the curves  $g_\epsilon^{(k,l)} := I_{n+1} + \epsilon A_{k,l}$  and  $\tilde{g}_\epsilon^k := I_{n+1} + \epsilon V_k$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *We denote  $\overline{H}_k = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}$  and  $\overline{H}_{k,k} = -\bar{z}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}$ . The unitarising measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  in (3.5) is invariant for*



$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \{(\rho_{kk} + \overline{\rho_{kk}})\overline{H_k} - (\rho(V_k) + \overline{\rho(V_k)})\overline{H_{kk}}\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ (z_k - \bar{z}_k) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k} + \left( 1 + (z_k - \bar{z}_k) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Hence, the OU operator is given by  $\Delta^{OU} = i(\Delta_1 - \overline{\Delta_1})$ ,

$$\Delta^{OU} = - \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} y_k \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2} \right) + 2y_k \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \left( 1 - 2y_k \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_k} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right\}, \tag{3.11}$$

where  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are respectively the real and the imaginary part of  $\varphi$ .

On the domain  $D = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \forall k = 1, \dots, n; z_k \neq \bar{z}_k\}$ , the metric associated to the differential operator  $\Delta_1$  on  $D$  is Kählerian with potential  $K$  given by

$$K(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\bar{z}_k - z_k) \log(z_k - \bar{z}_k) + (\bar{z}_k - z_k). \tag{3.12}$$

**Proof.** To prove the invariance of the operator  $\Delta_1$  w.r.t. the unitarising measure  $d\mu_\varphi$ , we can use Lemma 2.2 or just integrate by parts. Moreover, according to [7, Theorem 4.17], the metric associated to the potential  $K$  in (3.12) is Kählerian.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Integrating by parts, we can verify that the unitarising measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  (3.5) is also invariant for

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &:= \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n \rho_{k,l} \overline{H_{k,l}} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n z_l \bar{z}_l \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The real part of  $\Delta_2$  is given by

$$\Delta_2 + \overline{\Delta_2} = \sum_{k,l=1, k \neq l}^n \frac{1}{2} (x_l^2 + y_l^2) \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2} + 2 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} - 2 \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} \right\}. \tag{3.14}$$

We note that there is no Kählerian structure associated to  $\Delta_2$  and we observe that the unitarising measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  is not invariant for  $\sum_{k=1}^n \rho_{kk} \overline{H_{kk}}$ .

### 3.2. Infinitesimal representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_c(n)$ and Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators

Let  $(A_{k,l}, V_j)$  as in (3.6). The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}_c(n)$  of the complex affine group  $\mathbf{A}_c(n)$  is the real vector space spanned by  $(A_{k,l}, V_j)$  and  $(iA_{k,l}, iV_j)$ . Consider the representation  $(\mathcal{E}_g^{-1}, d\mu_\varphi)$  obtained in Corollary 3.1. The infinitesimal representation of  $\mathcal{A}_c(n)$  associated to  $(\mathcal{E}_g^{-1}, d\mu_\varphi)$  is given by the vector fields  $(\rho_{k,k}, \rho_{k,l}, \rho_q)$  obtained in (3.7)–(3.8)–(3.9) and in addition the vector fields  $(i\rho_{k,k}, i\rho_{k,l}, i\rho_q)$ . We can apply Theorem 2.2 and its corollary. We give an example when  $n = 2$ .

**Example 3.1.** The measure  $d\mu_\varphi$  is invariant for

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_{1,2}\overline{\rho_{1,2}} + \rho_{2,1}\overline{\rho_{2,1}} + \sum_{j=1,2} \rho_{j,j}\overline{\rho_{j,j}} + \rho_j\overline{\rho_j} \\ &= p(z_1, z_2) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial \bar{z}_2} \right) + \text{first-order differential operator} \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where  $p(z_1, z_2) = 1 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$ ; No Kählerian structure is associated to (3.15).

### 3.3. Non-constant solutions of the functional equation (1.7)

Let  $G_0$  be a subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . For an affine action of the semidirect product  $G_0 \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we may have non-constant solutions of the functional equation (1.7). To obtain unitarising measures for representations of such groups, we proceed as follows:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , the map  $Y_t : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by

$$Y_t(z) = i(\bar{t}z - t\bar{z}) \tag{3.16}$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$Y_t(Az + th) = AY_t(z), \quad \forall A \in G_0, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.17}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $(\det(A))^{2p+2}L(AY) = L(Y)$  for all  $A \in G_0$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, the functional  $\Lambda$  defined by

$$\Lambda(z) = L(Y_t(z)) \tag{3.18}$$

is solution of (1.7). Furthermore, the measures  $(\mu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$  defined by

$$d\mu_t(z) = L(Y_t(z))e^{\varphi(z)+\overline{\varphi(z)}} d\lambda(z) \tag{3.19}$$

are unitarising for the holomorphic representation  $\Xi_g^{p,\varphi}$  given by (1.6).

In the next two sections, we give applications of Theorem 3.3. On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the usual scalar product  $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n$ , where  $x = (x_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$  and  $y = (y_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ . We extend the bilinear form  $\langle x, y \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by putting

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1w_1 + \dots + z_nw_n \tag{3.20}$$

for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular  $\langle z, z \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^2$ .

## 4. Parametric holomorphic actions of the translation group on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$

In this section we study the problem of unitarising measure for the holomorphic representation of the group of translations  $G_1 = \{(I_n, h), h \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  with the parametric group action  $\psi_U (U \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ , defined by  $\psi_U(h, z) = z + Uh$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $U \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  be an invertible complex matrix such that  $\bar{U}U = U\bar{U}$ ,  $\phi_U$  be a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $L$  be a real-valued mapping defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the holomorphic parametric representation  $T_h^{U,\phi_U}$  given by

$$(T_h^{U, \phi_U} F)(z) = \exp(\phi_U(z - Uh) - \phi_U(z)) F(z - Uh), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.1}$$

is unitary in  $\mathcal{HL}^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu_{L,U,\phi_U})$ , where  $\mu_{L,U,\phi_U}$  is given by

$$d\mu_{L,U,\phi_U}(z) = L(\langle \bar{U}z - U\bar{z}, \bar{U}z - U\bar{z} \rangle) \exp(\phi_U(z) + \overline{\phi_U(z)}) d\lambda(z), \tag{4.2}$$

and  $\langle , \rangle$  is the symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined in Eq. (3.20).

**Proof.**  $k_g^U(z) = z - Uh$  is solution of (2.2) and  $H_h^U(z) = \exp(\phi_U(z - Uh) - \phi_U(z))$  is solution of (2.1). Hence, the transformations  $T_h^{U, \phi_U}$  in Eq. (4.1) define a holomorphic representation of the translation group,  $T_{h_1}^{U, \phi_U} T_{h_2}^{U, \phi_U} = T_{h_1+h_2}^{U, \phi_U}$  for every  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$\Lambda_U(z) = \Theta_U(z, \bar{z}) \exp(-\phi_U(z) - \overline{\phi_U(z)})$$

where  $\Theta_U$  is the density of the unitarising measure with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Unitarity is obtained when  $\Lambda_U$  satisfies

$$\Lambda_U(z) = \Lambda_U(z + Uh). \tag{4.3}$$

Let  $M_U : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be defined by  $M_U(z) = \bar{U}z - U\bar{z}$ . Since  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\bar{U}U = U\bar{U}$ , we have  $M_U(z) = M_U(z + Uh)$ . This implies that

$$\Lambda_U(z) = L(\langle \bar{U}z - U\bar{z}, \bar{U}z - U\bar{z} \rangle) \tag{4.4}$$

is a solution of (4.3).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $\sigma$  be a positive number, assuming that the complex matrix  $U$  in the previous theorem is unitary, i.e., it satisfies  ${}^t U \bar{U} = I_n$ . Then for

$$L(x) = e^{\sigma x} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_U(z) := -\sigma \langle \bar{U}z, \bar{U}z \rangle,$$

the measure in (4.2) is Gaussian

$$d\mu_\sigma(z) = e^{-2\sigma \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle} d\lambda(z) \tag{4.5}$$

and also unitarising for the Fock representation

$$(T_h^{U, \sigma} F)(z) = e^{2\sigma \langle z, \bar{U}h \rangle - \sigma \langle h, h \rangle} F(z - Uh), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.6}$$

**Proof.**  $\phi_U(z - Uh) - \phi_U(z) = -\sigma \langle \bar{U}Uh, \bar{U}Uh \rangle + 2\sigma \langle \bar{U}z, \bar{U}Uh \rangle$ . Since  $\bar{U}U = U\bar{U}$ , we deduce that  $\langle \bar{U}Uh, \bar{U}Uh \rangle = \langle h, h \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.1.** The infinitesimal representation associated to the unitary representation  $T_h^{U, \sigma}$  in (4.6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{j,U} F)(z) &:= \left. \frac{d}{dh_j} \right|_{h=0_{\mathbb{R}^n}} (T_h^{U, \sigma} F)(z) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^n U_{k,j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_k}(z) + 2\sigma \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{U}_{k,j} z_k F(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We put  $H_{j,U} := - \sum_{k=1}^n U_{k,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two  $n \times n$  symmetric unitary matrices, i.e.,  ${}^t\bar{U}U = I_n$ ,  ${}^tU = U$  and  ${}^t\bar{V}V = I_n$ ,  ${}^tV = V$ . If  $UV = VU$ , then we have  $U\bar{V} = \bar{V}U$ . But in general  $U\bar{V} \neq V\bar{U}$ . For example, let  $t_1, t_2$  be two distinct real numbers. For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we put

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t) & i \sin(t) \\ i \sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} i \sin(t) & \cos(t) \\ \cos(t) & i \sin(t) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then  $U(t_1)V(t_2) = V(t_2)U(t_1)$  and  $U(t_1)\bar{V}(t_2) - V(t_2)\bar{U}(t_1) = 2i \sin(t_1 - t_2)I$ , where  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two complex matrices which commute and each of them satisfy:  ${}^t\bar{U}U = I_n$  and  ${}^tU = U$ . We put

$$\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n ((\rho_{j,U} + \overline{\rho_{j,U}})\overline{H_{j,V}} - (\rho_{j,V} + \overline{\rho_{j,V}})\overline{H_{j,U}}). \tag{4.9}$$

Then

$$\Delta = \sum_{k,q=1}^n (U\bar{V} - V\bar{U})_{k,q} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_q} - 2\sigma \bar{z}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_q} \right], \tag{4.10}$$

where  $(U\bar{V} - V\bar{U})_{k,q}$  is the entry at line  $k$  and column  $q$  of  $U\bar{V} - V\bar{U}$ . The metric associated to  $i\Delta$  is Kählerian.

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \Delta \Phi(z) e^{-2\sigma \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle} d\lambda(z) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

for all continuously twice-differentiable function  $\Phi$  with compact support.

**Proof.** For all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j &:= (\rho_{j,U} + \overline{\rho_{j,U}})\overline{H_{j,V}} - (\rho_{j,V} + \overline{\rho_{j,V}})\overline{H_{j,U}} \\ &= \sum_{k,q=1}^n (U_{k,j}\overline{V_{q,j}} - V_{k,j}\overline{U_{q,j}}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_q} \\ &\quad - 2\sigma \sum_{k,q=1}^n (U_{k,j}\overline{V_{q,j}} - V_{k,j}\overline{U_{q,j}}) \bar{z}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_q} \\ &\quad - 2\sigma \sum_{k,q=1}^n (\overline{U_{k,j}}\overline{V_{q,j}} - \overline{V_{k,j}}\overline{U_{q,j}}) z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_q}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The coefficient of  $z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_q}$  in  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j$  is equal to 0, in fact

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\overline{U_{k,j}}\overline{V_{q,j}} - \overline{V_{k,j}}\overline{U_{q,j}}) = (\overline{U\bar{V}})_{k,q} - (\overline{V\bar{U}})_{k,q} = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

since  $U$  and  $V$  are symmetric and commute. On the other hand, the matrix  $A = U\bar{V} - V\bar{U}$  satisfies  $\bar{A} + A = 0$  and  ${}^tA = -\bar{A}$ . Thus  $P = iA$  satisfies  ${}^tP = P$ . This proves that the metric associated to  $i\Delta$  is Kählerian.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $U\bar{V} - V\bar{U} = \beta I$  as it is the case for the example in Remark 4.1. We obtain

$$\Delta = \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_k} - 2\sigma \bar{z}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} \right]. \tag{4.14}$$

However, we cannot obtain (4.14) as an expression  $\sum_{j=1}^n (\rho_{j,U} + \overline{\rho_{j,U}}) \overline{H_{j,U}}$ . We need to use two different parametric actions to obtain  $\Delta$ , with the vector fields of the infinitesimal representation. The operator  $\Delta$  given in Eq. (4.14) is a complex OU operator corresponding to the Kählerian metric  $ds^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n dz_k d\bar{z}_k$ .

**5. The Euclidean Motion group  $E(n) = SO(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$**

The Euclidean motion group  $E(n)$  is the group of one-to-one maps preserving the Euclidean distance of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Elements of  $E(n)$  can be written as  $X \mapsto RX + h$ , with  $R \in SO(n)$ , where  $SO(n)$  is the special orthogonal group and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The group  $E(n)$  is isomorphic to the subgroup of  $GL(n + 1, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} R & h \\ 0_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and is isomorphic to the semi-product group  $SO(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with the (non-commutative) law,  $(R, h) \circ (R', h') = (RR', Rh' + h)$ , see [12].

*5.1. Euclidean motion group actions and unitarising measures*

In order to apply Theorem 3.3, we consider the action  $\psi_t(g, z) = Rz + th$ , with  $g = (R, h) \in SO(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  and where  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  is a parameter.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $L$  be a real continuous mapping defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the measure*

$$d\mu_{L, \varphi_t}(z) = L\left(\left\langle i(\bar{t}z - t\bar{z}), i(\bar{t}z - t\bar{z}) \right\rangle\right) e^{\varphi_t(z) + \overline{\varphi_t(z)}} d\lambda(z) \tag{5.1}$$

is unitarising for the holomorphic representation  $\mathcal{E}_g^{\varphi_t}$  defined by

$$\left(\mathcal{E}_g^{\varphi_t} F\right)(z) = e^{\varphi_t(R^{-1}(z-th)) - \varphi_t(z)} F\left(R^{-1}(z - th)\right), \quad g = (R, h) \in \mathbf{E}(n). \tag{5.2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , we assume that the unitarising measure is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, let  $\Theta$  be its density. We put  $\Lambda_t(z) = \Theta(z) e^{-\varphi_t(z) - \overline{\varphi_t(z)}}$ . The unitarising condition implies

$$\Lambda_t(z) = \Lambda_t(Rz + th), \tag{5.3}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and all  $(R, h) \in \mathbf{E}(n)$ . According to Theorem 3.3, the function

$$\Lambda_t(z) = L\left(\left\langle i(\bar{t}z - t\bar{z}), i(\bar{t}z - t\bar{z}) \right\rangle\right) \tag{5.4}$$

is a solution of the functional equation (5.3).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , assume that  $\varphi_t(z) = -\sigma t^2 \langle z, z \rangle$  and  $L(y) = e^{-\sigma y}$ . Then, the Gaussian measure*

$$d\mu_{t, \sigma}(z) = e^{-2\sigma t \bar{t} \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle} d\lambda(z) \tag{5.5}$$

is unitarising for the holomorphic representation  $\mathcal{E}_g^{t,\sigma}$  given by

$$(\mathcal{E}_g^{t,\sigma} F)(z) = e^{2\sigma t(\bar{t})^2 \langle z, h \rangle - \sigma(\bar{t})^2 \langle h, h \rangle} F(R^{-1}(z - thf)). \tag{5.6}$$

**Remark 5.1.** The unitary Euclidean representation  $\mathcal{E}_g^{t,\sigma}$  on  $\mathcal{H}L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu_{t,\sigma})$  and defined in Eq. (5.6), generalizes the Gaussian holomorphic representation  $V_{(R,h)}$ ,

$$V_{(R,h)} = \mathcal{E}_{(R,h)}^{\frac{1}{2},2}, \quad (R, h) \in E(n),$$

studied by Driver and Hall in [8].

5.2. The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{E}(n)$  and its infinitesimal representation

The Lie algebra  $\mathcal{E}(n)$  is the real vector space of dimension  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  generated by the  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrices  $A_{k,l} - A_{l,k}$  and  $V_j$ ,  $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  where  $A_{k,l}$  and  $V_j$  are defined in (3.6). Let  $g_\epsilon^{(k,l)} := \exp(\epsilon A_{k,l})$  and  $\tilde{g}_\epsilon^j := I_{n+1} + \epsilon V_j$ , we calculate the infinitesimal representation as in (2.12).

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $t = 1$  and put  $\varphi := \varphi_1$  in (5.2). The infinitesimal representation for  $(\mathcal{E}_g^{\varphi_1}, d\mu_{L,\varphi_1})$  defined in (5.2) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{k,l} = \rho(A_{k,l}) &= z_l \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} + \left( z_l \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_k}(z) - z_k \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_l}(z) \right) I, \quad 1 \leq k < l \leq n, \\ \rho_j = \rho(V_j) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z) I, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Let  $n \geq 3$ , we put

$$H_{k,l} = z_l \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} \quad \text{and} \quad H_j = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}. \tag{5.8}$$

If  $(k, l, j)$  are three distinct integers in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have the commutation relations  $[H_{k,l}, H_{l,j}] = H_{j,k} = -H_{k,j}$  and  $[H_{k,l}, H_k] = H_l$ . For  $1 \leq k < j < l \leq n$ , we have

$$H_{k,j} H_l - H_{k,l} H_j + H_{j,l} H_k = 0 \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k,j,l} &:= \rho_{k,j} H_l - \rho_{l,k} H_j + \rho_{j,l} H_k \\ &= (H_{k,j}\varphi)(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_l} - (H_{k,l}\varphi)(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + (H_{j,l}\varphi)(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

As consequence of (5.9)–(5.10) and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

**Theorem 5.2.** *For any  $1 \leq k < j < l \leq n$ , the operators*

$$\Delta_{k,j,l} = \rho_{k,j} \overline{H_l} - \rho_{k,l} \overline{H_j} + \rho_{j,l} \overline{H_k} + \overline{D_{k,j,l}} \tag{5.11}$$

are invariant with respect to the unitarising measure in (5.1).

5.3. The case  $n = 3$

Let  $(k, j, l) = (1, 2, 3)$ , then  $\Delta_{1,2,3} = \Delta_{1,2,3}^S + \Delta_{1,2,3}^F$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{1,2,3}^S &= \left( z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_3} + \left( z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + \left( z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}, \\ \Delta_{1,2,3}^F &= 2\Re[(H_{2,3}\varphi)(z)] \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + 2\Re[(H_{3,2}\varphi)(z)] \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} + 2\Re[(H_{1,2}\varphi)(z)] \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *In real coordinates,  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ , the second-order part  $\Delta_{(2)}$  of the operator  $\Delta := -(\Delta_{1,2,3} + \overline{\Delta_{1,2,3}})$  is equal to*

$$\Delta_{(2)} = \left( y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} - y_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \left( y_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \left( y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} - y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}. \tag{5.13}$$

In the space  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(X, Y) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3)\}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} - y_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, & W_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + y_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \\ W_3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} - y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *The operator  $\Delta_{(2)}$  in (5.13) can be expressed as*

$$\Delta_{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(W_1^2 + W_2^2 + W_3^2 - \Delta_{S^2}^Y - \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}^X), \tag{5.14}$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^3}^X := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}$  and  $\Delta_{S^2}^Y$  is the usual Laplacian on the 2-dimensional sphere  $S^2 = \{Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Proof.** We deduce (5.14) with the expression of  $\Delta_{S^2}^Y$  in [14, p. 99].  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** We fix  $1 \leq j < k < l \leq n$ . If  $\varphi(z) = z_j^2 + z_k^2 + z_l^2$ , then by Eqs. (5.7), (5.10) and (5.11), we have  $\Delta_{1,2,3}^F = 0$  in (5.12).

**Acknowledgements**

Souheyl Jendoubi thanks the University of Tunis El Manar for the grant which was attributed to him for research in May–June 2012 and for his visit to LAMFA. The project was finalized during the stay of Habib Ouerdiane in Paris in March 2013.

**References**

[1] H. Airault, Mesure unitarisante: algèbre de Heisenberg, algèbre de Virasoro, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 334 (2002) 787–792.  
 [2] H. Airault, P. Malliavin, Unitarizing probability measures for representations of Virasoro algebra, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2001) 627–667.

- [3] H. Airault, Identities for vector fields in the infinitesimal representation of the symplectic group into the Siegel disk of complex symmetric matrices, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012) 763–802.
- [4] H. Airault, P. Malliavin, A. Thalmaier, Support of Virasoro unitarizing measures, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* 335 (2002) 621–626.
- [5] H. Airault, H. Ouerdiane, Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operators and unitarizing measures in the Poincaré disk, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 133 (2009) 671–692.
- [6] H. Airault, H. Ouerdiane, Invariant measure for some differential operators and Unitarizing measures for representation of a Lie group. Examples in finite dimension, *Banach Center Publ.* 96 (2012) 1–34.
- [7] W. Ballmann, *Lectures on Kähler Manifolds*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [8] B.K. Driver, B.C. Hall, The energy representation has no non-zero fixed vectors, in: *Stochastic Processes, Physics and Geometry: New Interplays, II*, Leipzig, 1999, in: *CMS Conf. Proc.*, vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 143–155.
- [9] J. Faraut, Espaces Hilbertiens invariant de fonctions holomorphes, in: *Analyse sur les groupes de Lie et théorie de représentation*, in: *Semin. Congr.*, vol. 7, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 2003, pp. 101–167.
- [10] L. Gross, P. Malliavin, Hall’s transform and the Segal–Bargmann map, in: M. Fukushima, N. Ikeda, H. Kunita, S. Watanabe (Eds.), *Itô’s Stochastic Calculus and Probability Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1996, pp. 73–116.
- [11] I.M. Gelfand, M.A. Naimark, Unitary representations of the group of linear transformations of a straight line, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 55 (1947) 567–570;  
I.M. Gelfand, *Collected Papers*, vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, p. 18.
- [12] B.C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Representations. An Elementary Introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 222, Springer, 2003.
- [13] C.J. Isham, J.R. Klauder, Coherent states for  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean groups  $E(n)$  and their application, *J. Math. Phys.* 32 (1991) 607–620.
- [14] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Groups and Symmetries: From Finite Groups to Lie Groups*, Universitext, Springer, 2010.
- [15] B. Li, The Berezin transform and the Laplace–Beltrami operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007) 1155–1166.
- [16] P. Malliavin, Invariant or quasi-invariant probability measures for infinite dimensional groups II. Unitarizing measures or Berezinian measures, *Jpn. J. Math.* 3 (2008) 19–47.
- [17] Y.A. Neretin, *Lectures on Gaussian Integral Operators and Classical Groups*, European Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [18] M. Sugiura, *Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis, An Introduction*, second ed., North-Holland/Kodansha, 1990.
- [19] G. Van Dijk, *Introduction to Harmonic Analysis and Generalized Gelfand Pairs*, Studies in Mathematics, vol. 36, De Gruyter, 2009.
- [20] H. Weyl, *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics*, Methuen, London, 1931.